cs302 Theory of Computation

UVa Spring 2008

Notes: Pushdown Automata

Tuesday, 5 February

Upcoming Schedule

Wednesday, 6 February (9:30-10:30am): Theory Coffee Hours (Wilsdorf Coffee Shop, I may be at one of the tables upstairs)
Wednesday, 6 February (6-7pm): Problem-Solving Session (Olsson 226D)
Thursday, 7 February: Problem Set 2 is due at the beginning of class.

Proving Non-Regularity

Pumping Lemma. If *A* is a regular language, then there is a number *p* (the pumping length) where for any string $s \in A$ and $|s| \ge p$, *s* may be divided into three pieces, s = xyz, such that |y| > 0, $|xy| \le p$, and for any $i \ge 0$, $xy^i z \in A$.

To use the pumping lemma to prove a language A is non-regular, assume A is regular and find a contradiction using the pumping lemma. Since the pumping lemma says that the property holds for *any* string $s \in A$, if we can find *one* string $w \in A$ for which the property does not hold (that is, we need to show there is *no way* to divide w into xyz with the necessary properties) then we have our contradiction.

Example 1. Prove the language $\{0^i 1^j | i \leq j\}$ is not regular.

Proof by Contradiction.

- Assume $\{0^i 1^j | i \leq j\}$ is regular and p is the pumping length for A. Then, we will identify a string that cannot be pumped.
- Choose $w = 0^p 1^p$. $w \in A$ since we can choose i = j = p.
- The pumping lemma says that w = xyz for some x, y, and z such that $xy^iz \in A$ for all $i \ge 0$, and $|xy| \le p$.
- Since the first *p* symbols in *w* are 0s, no matter how we choose *x*, *y*, and *z*, we know $|xy| \le p$, so *y* must be withing the first *p* symbols of *w*, hence it can only contain 0s.
- But, since *y* only includes 0s, pumping *y* increases the number of 0s, without changing the number of 1s. To be in the language, though, the number of 0s (*i*) must be \geq the number of 1s (*j*).
- Thus, we have a contradiction. This proves that the language is not regular.

Example 2. Prove the language $\{ww | w \in \Sigma^*\}$ is not regular.

Example 3. Prove the language $\{w | w \in \{0, 1\}^*$ and the number of 0s in w exceeds the number of 1s $\}$ is not regular.

Pushdown Automata

A *pushdown automata* is a finite automaton with a stack. A stack is a data structure that can contain any number of elements, but for which only the top element may be accessed. We can represent a stack as a sequence of elements, $[s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_n]$. We use Γ (Gamma) to represent the stack alphabet. Γ is a finite set of symbols. So, a stack is represented by Γ^* .

There are two operations on a stack:

push: $\Gamma^* \times \Gamma_{\epsilon} \to \Gamma^*$. *push* is defined by:

 $push(s, \epsilon) = s$ for $v \in \Gamma$, $s = [s_0, ..., s_n]$, $(s, v) = [v, s_0, s_1, ..., s_n]$

pop: $\Gamma^* \to \Gamma^* \times \Gamma_{\epsilon}$. *pop* is defined by:

 $pop([]) = ([], \epsilon)$ $pop([s_0, ..., s_n]) = (s_0, [s_1, ..., s_n])$

A *deterministic pushdown automaton*¹ (DPDA) is a 6-tuple $(Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, F)$ where Q, Σ, q_0 , and F are defined as they are for a deterministic finite automaton, Γ is a finite state (the stack alphabet), and

 $\delta: Q \times \Sigma \times \Gamma_{\epsilon} \to Q \times \Gamma_{\epsilon}$

We can use any symbols we want in the stack alphabet, Γ . As with state labels, in designing a DPDA, it is important to give symbols names that have meaning. Typically, we use \$ as a special symbol, often meaning the bottom of the stack.

We use label arrows in a DPDA as $\Sigma, \Gamma_{\epsilon} \to \Gamma_{\epsilon}$. For $a \in \Sigma, b, c \in \Gamma$:

- *a*, *b* → *c* means if the current input is *a* and the top-of-stack is *b*, follow this transition and pop the *b* off the stack, and push the *c*.
- *a*, *ϵ* → *c* means if the current input is *a*, follow this transition and push *c* on the stack. (It doesn't matter what is on the stack.)
- *a*, *b* → *ϵ* means if the current input is *a* and the top-of-stack is *b*, follow this transition and pop the *b* off the stack.
- $a, \epsilon \rightarrow \epsilon$ means if the current input is *a*, follow this transition (and don't modify the stack).

Here is an example DPDA - what language does it recognize?

Prove that a DPDA is more powerful than a DFA.

¹Note that the book (Definition 2.1) defines a *nondeterministic pushdown automaton*, but does not define a *deterministic pushdown automaton*.