## CS 1120-001 Introduction to Computing - Fall 2011

## ENGR (17968)

INSTRUCTORS: Evans, David (dee2b)

Respondents: 29 / Enrollment: 49

Summary: CS 1120-001 Introduction to Computing - I	Fall 2011 (17968)								
Overall Course Rating		Overall Instructor Rating							
CS-1120-001 Mean 4.20		INSTRUCTOR: Evans, David Mean 4.72							
CS-1120-001 Std Dev 0.89 CS-1120-001 Response Count 140		Std Dev 0.49 Response Count 194							
Difference from Category Mean, Expressed in Category Standard Deviations	z    1     0     1     z       image: constraint of the second								
SEAS, 1000-level courses Mean 3.94 SEAS, 1000-level courses Std Dev 0.99 SEAS, 1000-level courses Response Count 9194		SEAS, 1000-level courses Mean 4.15 SEAS, 1000-level courses Std Dev 0.94 SEAS, 1000-level courses Response Count 15213							
~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~		~ ANSWER MATRICES ~							
1. Describe this course in one sentence:	Results for CS-1120-	001, Evans, David							
Question Type: Short Answer	Total	Individual Answers							
$\sim$ contributed by Evans, David (dee2b)	29	See below for Individual Results							
	"Oh freddled grun	tbuggly/thy micturations are to me/As plurdled gabbleblotchits on a lurgid bee."							
	Whirlwind exposition computer science.	to the programming and the history, current state, and future potential of							
	Computers are fun!								
	I learned how a com	puter thinks.							
	Hardest and most w	orthwhile course at UVA.							
	CS 1120 is tough bu	it rewarding once you understand the basic concepts.							
	A broad introduction	to multiple computing languages and the logic of computing.							
	This course was a g the amount of work	reat introduction to computing for those of us who had never studied it before, but that was put into this class did not reflect my grades.							
	Being in CS 1120 is and the prospects a all that I've learned,	like being on a rollercoaster - at times things go so fast that everything is a blur re a little scary, but in the end, now that I realize the extent of this experience and the only thing left to say is, "That was fun! Let's do it again!"							
	This course was frue	stratingly challenging and moved very fast, but I learned a lot.							
	This course is challe so don't be afraid to decide not to start h	enging, but run by an incredible professor who genuinely cares about his students, dive into the world of Computer Science starting with this course- because if you ere, you'll be missing out.							
	A large load in one of	class.							
	This course was ver	y interesting.							
	A challenging(some beginning of the ser	times frustrating) but very very rewarding class where I can easily look back to the nester and see how much I have learned.							
	The course is equal	parts mind-opening, interesting, fun and challenging.							
	The course was inte	resting but too much work for a first time computer science student.							
	Intellectually satisfyi	ng.							
	Good computer scie	nce introduction course that takes a lot of time and dedication for beginners.							
	The difficulty level a	- nd the amount of material covered is appropriate for an introductory CS class.							
	This course was ext	remely difficult, but enough help was provided, and I'm glad I took it.							
	AWESOME but nee	ds attention							

A enlightening and challenging introduction to computer science

Page 1 of 12

The information in this document is private and confidential. Please handle accordingly.

## CS 1120-001 Introduction to Computing - Fall 2011

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~							
	This class is extremely difficult, yet rewarding in the end.							
	This course is	very challenging and time consuming yet you get a lot out of it.						
	This was a tho	rough course focusing on many areas of CS.						
	-This class inspired me to become a CS major.							
	Computer science for the kids who don't know (or necessarily like) computers. This course taught me to think abstractly and logically.							
	This course was interesting, and not like any other course I've ever had before.							
2. What suggestions do you have for improving the course book?	Results for CS-	1120-001, Evans, David						
Question Type: Short Answer	28	See below for Individual Answers						
contributed by Evans, David (dee2b)								
	There are a co worked out exa	uple of chapters towards the end of the book (ch. 9-12) that could use a few more imples.						
	The Information reference but s fully understan	n book was really interesting, a little lengthy, but I enjoyed it. The CS book was a good cometimes the wording was a little confusing and it'd take a couple of read-throughs to d concepts.						
	There are som there are extra changed his m good, and the	e typos in the book - misspellings, using the wrong form of "effect," and places where neous words (where it looks like the author was going to write one thing and then ind but then forgot to erase all the words in the first train of thought). The content is exercises are helpful, but some technical errors could be resolved.						
	The course boo book would be	ok itself was fine. But maybe having all the solutions on a website or in the back of the nice.						
	Have more ma	th programs.						
	The course boo	ok is fine as it is.						
	Nothing.							
	Make it a little i	more readable for people without a CS background.						
	Introduction to	the Java programming language might be included.						
	Maybe going m to express it co	nore in depth with the explanation on how to do certain code. The BNF grammar used uld be confusing sometimes.						
	Include examp	le problems with answers and explanations!!!						
	Have some sol	utions to the practice problems in the book.						
	There really is	not a more straight-forward way to present such complex material.						
	It needs to cut means. It shou	down on the computer science jargon, or take more time to explain what the jargon Id also provide more examples of concepts.						
	More concise,	more pictures.						
	Make solutions	available for all exercises						
	-							
	Index, glossary, have solutions posted for all chapters.							
	The course boo more diagrams	bk could be improved by including more examples for each topic. There also should be to create a visual for visual learners.						
	Edit the typos,	mostly. And give lots of examples for challenging concepts. State the "obvious."						
	Please provide on my own, an	solutions to practice problems! Something I really struggled with was doing problems d it was really nice to be able to do these practice problems on my own.						
	There were a f	ew typos, but that's all that I can think of.						
	some easier ex	camples with given solutions before the challenging ones						
		Page 2 of 12						

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~								
	It would be nice if there were a few more examples per chapter because those tend to be very helpful.								
	I think it would be very beneficial to have a 'summary' section at the end of each chapter with all of the bold/underlined/important words redefined- just to have them all in one place, and see how they relate/ feed into each other. Also, I think it would be great to have more examples of code being broken down line by line. When you're first learning a language, it's hard to work out the order of processing, or the meaning of certain lines, so code written out with ;;;comments next to it would make writing our own code a little be easier.								
	This is more just a product of the book still being new-ish, but having more answers to the examples would facilitate learning, I believe.								
	The course book is fine.								
	Making it more intuitive and easier to understand.								
3. The lectures content is a mix of (1)	Results for CS-1120-001, Evans, David								
fundamental computer science ideas, (2) technical skills (3) historical	Total Individual Answers								
background, and (4) applications and context.	28 See below for Individual Results								
Please answer with: (A) the percent of time you think we spent on each of these (%CS-%technical-%historical- %applications), and (B) the percent of time you think we *should* spend on each (%CS-%technical-%historical- %applications). Question Type: Short Answer contributed by Evans, David (dee2b)									
	A) 50%, 20%, 15%, 15% B) 40%, 30%, 5%, 25%								
	(1) 15% of my time (2) 14% of my time (3) 1 % of my time (4) 70% of my time								
	A.60-10-10-20 B.40-20-20-20								
	(1) 25 (2) 40 (3) 10 (4) 15 thought it was good!								
	A: 35-15-30-20 B: 25-35-15-25								
	A. 50%, 20%, 20%, 10% B. 40%, 20%, 20%, 20%								
	30, 30, 10, 30 and I think it's about right								
	A: 1) 20% 2) 30% 3) 15% 4) 35% B: 1) 20% 2) 20% 3) 20% 4) 40%								
	A)40%CS-20%technical-20%historical-20%applications b)20%CS-50%technical-10%historical-20%applications								
	(A): 30%,50%,10%,10% (B): 30%,50%,10%,10%								
	A) 50% CS, 20% TS, 10% H, 30% AC B) 25% CS, 40% TS, 5% H, 30% AC								
	(A) 40%-20%-7%-23% (B) 40%-20%-5%-25%								
	1. 50% 2. 20% 3. 15% 4. 15% 1. 35% 2. 50% 3. 7.5% 4. 7.5%								
	%CS: 40% % Technical:40% % Historical:5% % Applications: 15% %CS:45% % Technical:9% % Historical:1% % Applications:45%								
	a) 30%-25%-20%-25% b) 25%-35%-15%-25%								
	1. A) 40% B) 30% 2. A) 20% B) 40% 3. A) 30% B) 10% 4. A) 10% B) 20%								
	a. 30% Fundamental computer science ideas 30% technical skills 15% historical background 25% applications and context b. 30% Fundamental computer science ideas 40% technical skills 15% historical background 15% applications and context								
	To substitute in a qualitative but honest answer, I think the proportions of each subject taught in this class were perfect.								

## CS 1120-001 Introduction to Computing - Fall 2011

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~			~ ANSWER M	ATRICES ~					
	A) 40%CS, 35%t 5%applications p	echnic erhap:	al, 10%historical, 15%appli s	cations B) 45 %CS, 45%	echnical, 5%historical,				
	A) 21%CS 16%te 29%applications	chnica	I 32%historical 31%applicat	tions B) 23%CS 20%techr	nical 28%historical				
	(A) (30CS-30tech 25applications)	nical-1	Ohistorical-30applications)	(B) (20CS-45technical-10	historical-				
	50% CS 20% technical skills 10% historical 20% applications								
	A. 1. 25% 2. 30% 3. 15% 4. 30% B. 1. 30% 2. 20% 3. 10% 4. 40%								
	(A) 34%, 33%, 33% (B) 50%, 20%, 30%								
	1) %65 %10 %10	%152	2) %50 %25 %10 %15						
	50% CS, 30%, 10	)%, 10	%						
	A) CS: 20% Tech	nical: 2	20% Historical: 20% Applica	tions: 40% B) CS: 20% T	echnical: 20%				
	Historical: 10% A	pplicat	ions: 50%						
	1-30% 2-25% 3-1	0% 4-:	35%						
4. How should the pushion sets he									
4. How should the problem sets be changed?	Results for CS-112 Total	20-001	, Evans, David Shorter, weekly problem	Fewer, larger projects	Keep things pretty much				
Question Type: Multiple Choice			sets (NA)	(ŇĂ)	like they are (NA)				
$\tilde{c}$ contributed by Evans, David (dee2b)	28		14 (50.00%)	2 (7.14%)	12 (42.86%)				
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
5. Which option did you select for PS8	Results for CS-112	20-001	, Evans, David						
Question Type: Short Answer	Total 29		lı 	ndividual Answers					
contributed by Evans David (dee2b)	20								
	Lebese option Lf	or DS8	and I did find it worthwhile	as I have learned a whole	now language: Java				
	lava. It is worthw	hilo			new language. Java.				
	CW Lves	nie.							
	L selected option	c and	ves Lenioved it						
	For BS8 L solorto	d Onti	yes renjoyed it	rthubilo					
			nd vosl	iuiwine.					
		Jung a	rid yes!						
			wight it was worthwhile. It w	as a fun, low kov conclusi	an to the class				
	I baked a cake ar	nd ves	it was quite worthwhile.						
	The artifact option	n. whic	h I thought was great becau	use I was able to look bac	on what I learned and				
	ask myself what I both realized how enough to teach o	thoug much other p	ht would have made my lea I had learned this semester eople most things.	rning experience better. Ir r and was happy with the	the same moment, I act that I felt I knew				
	Java. It was very	fun.							
	Option C I like it.								
	Conveying compu knowledge I've le	uting. I arned	thought it was definitely wo and convey it creatively.	rthwhile- gave me a chan	ce to condense all of the				
	I did the J option; particularly applic	I foundable to	d part one useful but part tw Java in general.	o seems unnecessarily co	omplex and not				
	I picked the love	ontion	because I want to take CS	2110 and I found PS8 sor	nowhat worthwhile but				
	also extremely ha	rd. Ev	en after going in to get help,	, I could finish either part.	newnat worthwhile but				

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~						
	I picked a song and found it very fun and worthwhile.						
	Option J, and yes I found it worthwhile.						
	Option C, yes it was a nice break to be creative and incorporate CS concepts.						
	I selected option J (Java). Yes I did find it worthwhile, there was good exposure between linking the languages.						
	Java, and it was very worthwhile						
	Option C, and YES						
	I selected Option C. I absolutely found it worthwhile. It was fun to put CS into that context.						
	Option J. I found it to be very worthwhile and it has sparked my interest in computer science and will be continuing courses in it.						
	The Java option, I feel I can use Java even if I decide to change my major.						
	Option C - Yes! I thought creating a project that teaches computer science was worthwhile and also reinforced many concepts we learned throughout the course.						
	J-option Yes						
	C, yes!						
	I chose option J. I found it to be difficult but useful in that I am now more familiar with Java and am planning to take CS 2110.						
6. If it were necessary to cut	Results for CS-1120-001, Evans, David						
approximately 25% of the material from this course, what material do you	Total Individual Answers						
think should be cut?	28 See below for Individual Results						
Question Type: Short Answer							
contributed by Evans, David (dee2b)							
	I think we should spend less time on Scheme in the beginning.						
	If cuts were necessary, I would try to cut an equal 6.25% from each subject mentioned above.						
	I wouldn't cut 25% off of it. I would add 25% to more emphasis on learning the languages.						
	I have no idea.						
	I have no idea.						
	I have no idea. The Machine Section, didn't see the point of it						
	I have no idea. The Machine Section, didn't see the point of it computability!!!						
	I have no idea. The Machine Section, didn't see the point of it computability!!! None. I find all material covered useful and worthwhile.						
	I have no idea. The Machine Section, didn't see the point of it computability!!! None. I find all material covered useful and worthwhile. -the history						
	I have no idea. The Machine Section, didn't see the point of it computability!!! None. I find all material covered useful and worthwhile. -the history That is toughmaybe the sections on running time approximation and defining interpreters. And definitely some history.						
	I have no idea. The Machine Section, didn't see the point of it computability!!! None. I find all material covered useful and worthwhile. -the history That is toughmaybe the sections on running time approximation and defining interpreters. And definitely some history. The portion on computing history						
	I have no idea. The Machine Section, didn't see the point of it computability!!! None. I find all material covered useful and worthwhile. -the history That is toughmaybe the sections on running time approximation and defining interpreters. And definitely some history. The portion on computing history I think running time didn't need as much emphasis as it got						
	<ul> <li>I have no idea.</li> <li>The Machine Section, didn't see the point of it</li> <li>computability!!!</li> <li>None. I find all material covered useful and worthwhile.</li> <li>-the history</li> <li>That is toughmaybe the sections on running time approximation and defining interpreters. And definitely some history.</li> <li>The portion on computing history</li> <li>I think running time didn't need as much emphasis as it got</li> <li>running time analysis</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>I have no idea.</li> <li>The Machine Section, didn't see the point of it</li> <li>computability!!!</li> <li>None. I find all material covered useful and worthwhile.</li> <li>-the history</li> <li>That is toughmaybe the sections on running time approximation and defining interpreters. And definitely some history.</li> <li>The portion on computing history</li> <li>I think running time didn't need as much emphasis as it got</li> <li>running time analysis</li> <li>I guess history- even thought I liked it.</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>I have no idea.</li> <li>The Machine Section, didn't see the point of it</li> <li>computability!!!</li> <li>None. I find all material covered useful and worthwhile.</li> <li>-the history</li> <li>That is toughmaybe the sections on running time approximation and defining interpreters. And definitely some history.</li> <li>The portion on computing history</li> <li>I think running time didn't need as much emphasis as it got</li> <li>running time analysis</li> <li>I guess history- even thought I liked it.</li> <li>interpreters</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>I have no idea.</li> <li>The Machine Section, didn't see the point of it</li> <li>computability!!!</li> <li>None. I find all material covered useful and worthwhile.</li> <li>-the history</li> <li>That is toughmaybe the sections on running time approximation and defining interpreters. And definitely some history.</li> <li>The portion on computing history</li> <li>I think running time didn't need as much emphasis as it got</li> <li>running time analysis</li> <li>I guess history- even thought I liked it.</li> <li>interpreters</li> <li>python</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>I have no idea.</li> <li>The Machine Section, didn't see the point of it</li> <li>computability!!!</li> <li>None. I find all material covered useful and worthwhile.</li> <li>-the history</li> <li>That is toughmaybe the sections on running time approximation and defining interpreters. And definitely some history.</li> <li>The portion on computing history</li> <li>I think running time didn't need as much emphasis as it got</li> <li>running time analysis</li> <li>I guess history- even thought I liked it.</li> <li>interpreters</li> <li>python</li> <li>Some of the higher concepts of computing that we did not actually get a chance to apply.</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>I have no idea.</li> <li>The Machine Section, didn't see the point of it</li> <li>computability!!!</li> <li>None. I find all material covered useful and worthwhile.</li> <li>-the history</li> <li>That is toughmaybe the sections on running time approximation and defining interpreters. And definitely some history.</li> <li>The portion on computing history</li> <li>I think running time didn't need as much emphasis as it got</li> <li>running time analysis</li> <li>I guess history- even thought I liked it.</li> <li>interpreters</li> <li>python</li> <li>Some of the higher concepts of computing that we did not actually get a chance to apply.</li> <li>Learning Python and run-time analysis.</li> </ul>						

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~							
	The Information.							
	The history.							
	dictionaries.							
	I would shorten the amount of time spent on mutation in Scheme. Though it is useful to introduce concepts covered in Python and Java, I feel that introducing mutation to a language that does not manage it particularly well is not the best way to engender learning of that concept.							
	I think the historical aspects of the course and also running time, and cost should be cut.							
	Historical and some of the more complicated CS ideas.							
	outside reading material like the information							
	I wouldn't cut anything from the technical material because we need that to do the problem sets. I would cut a little from everything else.							
	history							
	I think the material about encryption/breaking Lorenz should be cut. It is interesting to know the history, but I do not think it is essential to this course. Also, the in-depth material about computability could probably be minimized.							
7. If it is necessary to significantly cut	Results for CS-1120-001 Evans David							
material from the course, what material	Total Individual Answers							
Ouestion Type: Short Answer	28 See below for Individual Results							
contributed by Evans. David (dee2b)								
	History of computing, basic fundamentals, and programming technical skills.							
	The most important material taught in this class were the fundamental computer science concepts							
	and ideas based on the Scheme programming language, and how the Scheme language was connected to more mainstream programming languages.							
	History of computing.							
	computability and interpreters							
	Python, definitely. It is easy to learn and used more and more in professional environments all the time.							
	I think the material about Java in the end should be kept.							
	The textbook, because it really does help a great deal when trying to learn the concepts and languages of CS. Amazing TAs should be kept as well!							
	learning python							
	The programming, procedures, and applications part of the course should definitely be kept.							
	The basic technical skills. Logic.							
	Java programs							
	I thought everything I learned was equally valuable.							
	The history and the course material in The Information. I found it to be the most interesting part of the course material.							
	Turing Machines, Recursive Definitions, Scheme, Mutation, and Objects.							
	python is better than scheme. keep python.							
	Learning Scheme, learning Python, learning recursion, learning object-oriented programming, learning imperative programming.							
	the technical skills that are the basis for all of the code shold be definitely kept with the emphasis they were given or more.							
	The first half where we go through scheme little by little.							

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~							
	see above^							
	-the parts about recursive programming -I used that so much in the problem sets							
	the basics of programming							
	I think most of the stuff from the first half of the semester should be kept, since a lot of that provided the foundation for the things we discussed later on. Also the basic theoretical application material should be kept, because I think that being able to abstract ideas into a more general context is useful.							
	Fundamental ideas of computing, scheme language and interpreter.							
	The group class practice problems at the beginning of the semester. I would also keep the presentations on appliances of CS.							
	The Python section							
	history							
	The turing machine parts and coding.							
8. If this course were taught again next	Results for CS-1120-001, Evans, David							
year, what should be different? $$	Total Individual Answers							
Question Type: Short Answer	25 See below for Individual Results							
contributed by Evans, David (dee2b)								
	We should go over more practice examples in class.							
	Reading of the text "The Information" might probably be optional.							
	If this course was taught again next year, there should be shorter, smaller assignments that cover more specific material.							
	Part of me wants to say that the Problem Sets should be shorter and more frequent, but in reality I don't think that would be the class more enjoyable. I think that relating what we learn to the real world on a more frequent basis would help to inspire students throughout the semester the last lecture by 3 CS students was really inspiring and enlightening. It's awesome to see how much can be done with so little. I think that stepping back from the code more frequently and seeing how what we're learning is actually used to make apps/run programs/etc. would make this class a lot more meaningful.							
	More time spent learning Python.							
	Much shorter problem sets and do example problem sets in class.							
	-I would keep everything the same							
	Nothing.							
	Nothing.							
	make problem sets shorter							
	The problem sets							
	This course should have a lab where everyone can get help from the assistant coaches in a orderly manner.							
	Change the problem sets							
	I think next year there should be more work days in the beginning of the semester. I know that I felt almost completely clueless initially because I wasn't familiar with computer programming at all. Having work days in the first few weeks of class could give people more confidence in their programming abilities.							
	Not much should be changed. Perhaps the problems sets should be a little shorter.							
	more help with the problem set during class time would be great							
	I would not change anything other than what I thought the lecture distribution should be.							
	More Python and Java would be nice.							
	more examples of more variety (I feel like we did the same examples for everything)							
	It should be the same.							
The information in t	Page / 0112 his document is private and confidential. Please handle accordingly.							

~ OUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~					~ ANSWER A	AATRICES ~					
Coloris AND DETAILS	Nathing					IAIRICLS					
	Nothing										
	Everything	went pretty w	/ell.								
	Maybe inste	ad of learnir	ng Scherr	ne, lea	Irn language	s that people	use in m	nore real-world	l jobs.		
	Make proble learned the	em sets sma language ar	ller and le id the pai	ess int rticulai	timidating. The concept being the concent being the concent being the concent being	ney are scary ing emphasiz	and pre ed.	etty complex fo	r having just		
	less lengthy	problem set	ts with jus	st as n	nuch difficult	у					
9. What grade do you expect to receive	Results for C	S-1120-001	, Evans,	David							
in this course?	Total	Mean	Std D	ev	A (4)	B (3)	C (2)	D (1)	F (0)		
Question Type: Likert	29	3.66	0.61		21	6	2	0	0		
contributed by Evans, David (dee2b)					(72.41%)	(20.69%)	(6.90	%) (0.00%	%) (0.00%)		
10 How much reading from The	Deputto for C	S 1120 001	Evono	Dovid							
Information should be expected in	Total	More t	han we	Abou	ut the same	Less than t	his No	ne - better to	None - better to		
future offerings of this course?		did th (N	is year IA)	as v	we did this year	year (NA)	us	e a different book	only use the course book		
Question Type: Multiple Choice	29		4		(NA) 10	10		(NA) 2	(NA) 3		
contributed by Evans, David (dee2b)		(13.	79%)	(3	34.48%)	(34.48%)		(6.90%)	(10.34%)		
11 Do you think it would be possible to											
teach a version of this course as an on-	Results for C	S-1120-001	, Evans,	David	1	ndividual Ans	wers				
line course? What suggestions would you have for such a course?	28				See below for Individual Results						
Question Type: Short Answer											
contributed by Evans, David (dee2b)											
contributed by Evans, David (dee2b)	I think it cou for the site! behind. I think it mig with the boo Yes. The m No, I do not this point. It would be course assi suggestion I do not thin someone do I don't think Yes, as long in understar course is to topics they I It would be Yeah, I thinl students.	Id be possib And a way w ht be hard to k so student ajor concept . There is en very hard. Th yound be pro- k so. Many o ping an exan this should b g as it is possible have a class have a class have difficult very difficult, < it's possible oncept from s	le, althou, where the o grasp th ts can ref s in the c ough stra ne lecture nout in-pe ovide mor of the con ople line I be an onl sible for s terial tha s forum s y graspin unless the e as long ecause I several T	Igh I h stude ne con er to i ourse ain on es and erson a e info cepts ourcepts ourcepts ourcepts g. nere a as the as a f As to the	ave my pers ents can conv t if they have book should the TAs as-i l course reac assistance fr rmation and I don't think 2. Also the T urse tts of an on-li do not unde so that stude ire very react ere was enou	onal bias abo verse with oth vith an on-line questions. be emphasi: s and creatin ling are proba om the profe review resou I would have A's were mor ne course to rstand. A sug onts could see hable TAs wh ugh interactio would need stand it.	but online her stude e course zed. g an onli ably not e ssor and rces than been able te than he be able gestion l ek help c no can he n betwee 1 on 1 in	e courses. Go ents so they do . It should def ine class woul enough to suc l assistant coa n you think is i ole to understa elpful in teach to seek help fi l would have f or discuss with elp with the co en the profess istruction som	od navigation o not get initely correlate d only belabor ceed on the ches. My necessary. and without ing us further. rom someone or such a other students ding portion or and etimes about		

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~				~ ANS	WER MATR	ICES ~				
	Yes it wor enough u	uld be possi nderstandin	ble, howeve g of cs that	r it should o they are able	nly be open e to teach th	ed to those iemselves a	who feel the nd not fall b	ey have a go ehind.	ood	
	Yes. I work where stu	uld merely s idents could	suggest post I discuss the	ing the slide problem an	es online with Id have pote	n audio. Ado ntial TA's co	ditionally hav	ving a help f on the forum	orum n as well.	
	Yes, but t homewor	he problem k so one-on	sets would -one help w	need to be n on't really be	nade simple e available o	r. I spent a nline.	lot of time w	ith TAs doin	ig the	
	Yes but I	think there s	should be pi	actice proble	ems to do.					
	The conc informatic I needed online, I w	epts were d on if it was ta to see draw vould recom	ifficult for me aught online n out and ex mend phras	e to grasp, s . Many of the plained with ing the cond	o for me it w e examples n multiple dif cepts with di	vould not be such as Tur ferent exam fferent exan	possible to ring Machine ples. If you nples instea	understand es and cons u were to tea d of just one	the , list (etc) ach it e.	
	I believe i project to interactive	t would be e transfer the e (such as v	easy to trans course boo vith the AND	slate this cou k content or /OR 'wine' g	urse into an n-line, and m jates).	on-line cour hake the lea	se. It would rning and th	be a simple e example p	e enough problems	
	Yes, but you'd have to hold their hand and spoon feed the students a little more									
	I think it would be possible to teach a version of this course as an online course as there is already an online version of the book. The online course could use videos and power-points to facilitate the learning of students taking this course.									
	Yes. It would have computers.									
	It would be hard for me to understand because I relied on the in-person TA help hours so much. There should be plenty of interactive help options.									
	I think teaching the class online would be great- I think that it would be entirely necessary for students to have a split screen so that they can engage in the class which might even be a great asset to the classroom now that I think about it. For example, if the instructor gave something for the students to define, such as "car", and the students had 5 minutes to type their own code and then compare it to the instructor gave in the student is a split to approach thing. I think that use it is a start									
	ls it possi	ble? With al	I the compu	ter science l	knowledge c	of Professor	Evans and	the TAs? De	efinitely.	
	Would I ta with peop online.	ake the clas le in front of	s as on on-l f me explain	ne course? ing things. I	No. I had er know that I	ough troubl would be so	e understan lost if every	ding the cou /thing were	ncepts done	
	Yes. I thir course fal each less	nk more frec lling behind on to make	uent, but sr will be more sure vou ar	naller proble detrimental	m sets to ch l because th cepts.	neck unders ere is no on	tanding bec hands help	ause with ar . Maybe a c	n on-line quiz after	
	No this cl	ass would b	e very hard	as an online	course					
12. The course addressed technically rigorous subject matter consistent with	Results for	CS-1120-0	001	Chao a sh	A # 67	Neutral	Dies	Charlest arts	Net	
the course objectives.	lotal	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)	
Question Type: Likert	28	4.46	0.74	16 (57.14%)	10 (35.71%)	1 (3.57%)	1 (3.57%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	
and Applied Science										
13. The instructor used methods other than/in addition to traditional lectures	Results for	CS-1120-0	01, Evans,	David						
(for example, active learning, in-class problems, collaborative learning, in-	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)	
class discussion) effectively in this course.	28	4.39	0.63	13 (46.43%)	13 (46.43%)	2 (7.14%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	
Question Type: Likert										
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science										
14. There was a reasonable level of	Results for	CS-1120-0	001							
effort expected for the credit hours received.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)	

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

Page 9 of 12	
The information in this document is private and confidential. Plea	ase handle accordingly.

1.17

28

4.04

13 (46.43%) 8 (28.57%) 3 3 (10.71%) (10.71%) 1 (3.57%) 0 (0.00%)

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~									
15. The homework assignments helped	Results for	CS-1120-0	001							
me learn the subject matter.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly	Not	
Question Type: Likert				Agree (5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	Disagree (1)	Applicable (NA)	
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering	28	4.36	0.73	13	13	1 (3.57%)	1	0	0	
and Applied Science				(40.4070)	(40.4070)	(0.0770)	(0.0770)	(0.0070)	(0.0070)	
16. The textbook increased my										
understanding of the material.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Stronaly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Stronaly	Not	
Question Type: Likert				Agree (5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	Disagree (1)	Applicable (NA)	
$\sim$ contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering	28	4.25	0.70	10	16	1	1	0	0	
and Applied Science				(35.71%)	(57.14%)	(3.57%)	(3.57%)	(0.00%)	(0.00%)	
17. The course material was well	Results for	CS-1120-0	01 Evans	David						
organized and developed.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly	Not	
Question Type: Likert				Agree (5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	Disagree (1)	Applicable (NA)	
$\sim$ contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering	28	4.54	0.51	15	13	0	0		0	
and Applied Science				(53.57%)	(46.43%)	(0.00%)	(0.00%)	(0.00%)	(0.00%)	
18 The instructor was knowledgeable	Decultorfor	00 4400 (		Devid						
about the subject matter.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly	Aaree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly	Not	
Question Type: Likert	Total	Wearr	Old Dev	Agree (5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	Disagree (1)	Applicable (NA)	
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	28	4.93	0.26	26 (92.86%)	2 (7.14%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	
19. The instructor was well prepared	Results for	CS-1120-0	001, Evans,	David						
for class. ~	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly	Not Applicable	
Question Type: Likert				(5)	(+)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(NA)	
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	28	4.93	0.26	26 (92.86%)	2 (7.14%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	
20. I received adequate preparation	Results for	CS-1120-0	001							
curriculum to be successful in this	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)	
Question Type: Likert	28	3.67	0.98	4 (14.29%)	3 (10.71%)	7 (25.00%)	1 (3.57%)	0 (0.00%)	13 (46.43%)	
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science										
21. The grading policy was fair.	Results for	CS-1120-0	01 Evans	David —						
Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly	Not	
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering				Agree (5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	Applicable (NA)	
and Applied Science	27	4.67	0.62	20 (74.07%)	5 (18.52%)	2 (7.41%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	
22. The instructor responded adequately to in-class questions	Results for	CS-1120-0	001, Evans,	David		<b>N</b> 1	Di			
Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)	
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	27	4.74	0.45	20 (74.07%)	7 (25.93%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~									
23. The instructor effectively used	Results for	CS-1120-0	01 Evans I	David						
technology in support of the learning goals for this course.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Stror Agr	ngly ee	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagre	e Strongly Disagre	/ Not e Applicable
Question Type: Likert	28	4.86	0.36	(5 24	9) 4	4	0	0	(1)	(NA) 0
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science				(85.7	'1%)   ( <i>'</i>	14.29%)	(0.00%)	)   (0.00%	b) (0.00%)	) (0.00%)
24. The average number of hours per	Results for	CS-1120-0	01							
week I spent outside of class preparing for this course was:	Total	Les	s than 1 (NA)		1 - 3 (NA)		4 - 6 (NA)	7 (N	- 9  A)	10 or more (NA)
Question Type: Multiple Choice	28	(0	0 0.00%)	(1	5 7.86%)	(2	8 8.57%)	1 (39.2	1 29%)	4 (14.29%)
contributed by $Office$ of the Provost										
25. I learned a great deal in this course.	Results for	CS-1120-0	01							
Question Type: Likert $\sim$	Total	Mean	Std De	ev	Strongly Agree	y Ag	ree 4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree
contributed by Office of the Provost	28	4.54	0.51		(5) 15 (53.57%	(46.)	3 43%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	(1) 0 (0.00%)
26. Overall, this was a worthwhile	Results for	CS-1120-0	01							
<b>course.</b> ~ Ouestion Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std De	ev	Strongly Agree	y Ag	ree 4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree
contributed by Office of the Provost	28	4.21	0.88		13		9	5	1	
					(40.43%	o)   (32.	14%)	(17.86%)	(3.57%)	(0.00%)
27. The course's goals and requirements	Results for	CS-1120-0	01 Evans I	David						
were defined and adhered to by the instructor.	Total	Mean	Std De	ev	Strongly Agree	y Ag	ree 4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
Question Type: Likert	28	4.71	0.46		20	(28	8	0	0	0
contributed by Office of the Provost					(71.4376	b)   (20.	5776)	(0.0078)	(0.0078)	(0.0078)
28. The instructor was approachable	Results for	CS-1120-0	01 Evans I	David						
and made himself/herself available to students outside the classroom. $\sim$	Total	Mean	Std De	ev	Strongly Agree	y Ag	ree 4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
Question Type: Likert	28	4.71	0.53		21 (75.00%	6) (21.	6 43%)	1 (3.57%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
contributed by Office of the Provost										
29. Overall, the instructor was an	Results for	CS-1120-0	01, Evans, I	David						
Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std De	ev	Strongly Agree (5)	y Ag	ree 4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
contributed by $Office$ of the Provost	29	4.62	0.49		18 (62.07%	(37.)	1 93%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
<b>30.</b> Please make any overall comments	Results for	CS-1120-0	01							
Ouestien Tyree Short Annuer	Total				See	Individ	ual Answ r <i>Individu</i>	ers al Results		
contributed by Office of the Provost					000	. below lo	marriad			
	Verv cha	lenging cour	se and don	t recco	omend if	not intere	ested in o	omputer sc	ience	

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~
	This course was more than I bargained for, but it was definitely worthwhile. Initially, I only chose to take CS 1120 because there was no lab section and I thought it would be easy and it sounded relatively interesting. One of those pretenses was wrong: it definitely was not easy!! I really feel like I learned a lot though, and what I enjoyed most was that I learned a lot about many different topics that, previously, I didn't even know had any ties to computer science! Professor Evans was able to achieve both breadth and depth in this course, and that is something that is really hard to do. Bravo!! Also, the TA office hours were completely invaluable throughout this course. There is no way I could have done as well on the problem sets without the help of some pretty amazing TAs. Johnathan especially is extremely knowledgeable and helpful. I specifically sought out his office hours every week because he is such a great help in both completing the problem sets and also making sure I understand the concepts as well. Overall, a great course! Definitely one of my favorites so far at UVA!
	As a third year, this was one of the most challenging classes I have taken. It was also one of the most rewarding because you were given everything you needed to know to overcome those challenges. This is one of the best courses I have taken so far.
	Excellent class!
	It was definitely a worthwhile course.
	I really enjoyed it, even though it was really tough for me.
	The course is interesting and covers a lot of technical details.
	Professor Evans is the man! I cannot say enough great things about how much of a genius he as, and how great of a professor he is. Professor Evans makes me wish I had taken this class earlier in my college career so that I could pursue Computer Science more. I've never met such a smart person who can actually speak to college students. I've never had a professor who carees so genuinely about his students and their understanding of the material. I've also never had a professor who is so accessible to his students. He also runs a class how a college course should be run- if you deserve an A before the finale exam because you've been working hard all semester and shown that you are knowledgeable- then you don't have to take the final. Also, Professor Wiemer- who lectured for our class one day- was incredible as well.
	This is a solid course that might put a little too much emphasis on Scheme. However, all of the material is well-explained, and the high level of expectation from the professor and course staff promote better learning for all class students.
	Coach Evans is great
	Thanks for a good semester Coach Evans! I definitely spent the most time in this course. But all of the TA's were fantastic and I was really glad they had so many office hours.
	Although I expect to receive an A based on the effort I put into this course and amount of material I learned, I'm worried my actual grade might not reflect this. Although I am convinced now that I can "think' like a computer scientist, I'm not sure my learning curve illustrated that. On another note, thank you for a wonderful semester!
	It was fun.
	This course was very informative and taught material in a very good pace.
	-I thoroughly enjoyed this course and would definitely recommend this course to a friend.
	awesome.
	Good course but the problem sets were too much.