UVA CS 6316/4501 – Fall 2016 Machine Learning

Lecture 5: Non-Linear Regression Models

Dr. Yanjun Qi

University of Virginia

Department of Computer Science

Where are we ? ➔
Five major sections of this course

- ❑ Regression (supervised)
- ❑ Classification (supervised)
- ❑ Unsupervised models
- ❑ Learning theory
- ❑ Graphical models

Today ➔

Regression (supervised)

- Four ways to train / perform optimization for linear regression models
  - Normal Equation
  - Gradient Descent (GD)
  - Stochastic GD
  - Newton's method

- Supervised regression models
  - Linear regression (LR)
  - LR with non-linear basis functions
  - Locally weighted LR
  - LR with Regularizations

Today

- Machine Learning Method in a nutshell
- Regression Models Beyond Linear
  - LR with non-linear basis functions
  - Locally weighted linear regression
  - Regression trees and Multilinear Interpolation (later)

Traditional Programming



Machine Learning



Machine Learning in a Nutshell



ML grew out of work in AI

Optimize a performance criterion using example data or past experience,

Aiming to generalize to unseen data

(1) Multivariate Linear Regression



$$\hat{y} = f(x) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x_1 + \theta_2 x_2 = X^T \theta = \theta^T X$$

Today

- Machine Learning Method in a nutshell
- Regression Models Beyond Linear
  - LR with non-linear basis functions
  - Locally weighted linear regression
  - Regression trees and Multilinear Interpolation (later)

LR with non-linear basis functions

- LR does not mean we can only deal with linear relationships

$$y = \theta_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \theta_j \varphi_j(x) = \theta^T \varphi(x)$$

- We are free to design (non-linear) features (e.g., basis function derived) under LR

  where the $\varphi_j(x)$ are fixed basis functions (also define $\varphi_0(x)=1$).

- E.g.: polynomial regression:

$$\varphi(x) := \left[1, x, x^2\right]^T$$

e.g. (1) **polynomial regression**

For example,

$Y = \Phi\theta$ on $\{x_1, \ldots x_n\}$, $\{y_1, \ldots y_n\}$

~~$y = ax + b$~~



$$y_i = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x_i + \theta_2 x_i^2$$

$$= [1, x_i, x_i^2]\theta$$

$$= \phi^T(x_i)\theta$$

$$\theta^* = \left(\varphi^T\varphi\right)^{-1}\varphi^T\vec{y}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \phi(x_1) \\ \phi(x_2) \\ \phi(x_n) \end{bmatrix}$$

Dr. Nando de Freitas's tutorial slide

e.g. (1) **polynomial regression**

$Y = \Phi \theta$ linear

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) = [1, x_1, x_2] \qquad \phi(\mathbf{x}) = [1, x_1, x_2, x_1^2, x_2^2]$$





KEY: if the bases are given, the problem of learning the parameters is still linear.

Many Possible Basis functions

- There are many basis functions, e.g.:
  - Polynomial $\varphi_j(x) = x^{j-1}$ 1, X, X^2, X^3, ...
  - Radial basis functions $\phi_j(x) = \exp\left(-\frac{(x-\mu_j)^2}{2s^2}\right)$
  - Sigmoidal $\phi_j(x) = \sigma\left(\frac{x-\mu_j}{s}\right)$
  - Splines,
  - Fourier,
  - Wavelets, etc







Many Possible Basis functions

RBF



Sigmoid



Even



odd



e.g. (2) LR with radial-basis functions

- E.g.: LR with RBF regression:

$$\hat{y} = \theta_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \theta_j \varphi_j(x) = \varphi(x)^T \theta$$

$$\varphi(x) := \left[1, K_{\lambda_1}(x, r_1), K_{\lambda 2}(x, r_2), K_{\lambda_3}(x, r_3), K_{\lambda 4}(x, r_4)\right]^T$$

$$\theta^* = \left(\varphi^T \varphi\right)^{-1} \varphi^T \vec{y}$$

RBF = radial-basis function: a function which depends only on the radial distance from a centre point

Gaussian RBF ➔ $$K_\lambda(x,r) = \exp\left(-\frac{(x-r)^2}{2\lambda^2}\right)$$

as distance from the center r increases, the output of the RBF decreases

[kernel func]



1D case



2D case



$$K_\lambda(x,r) = \exp\left(-\frac{(x-r)^2}{2\lambda^2}\right)$$

X =	$K_\lambda(x,r) =$
r	1
$r+\lambda$	0.6065307
$r+2\lambda$	0.1353353
$r+3\lambda$	0.0001234098

e.g. another Linear regression with 1D RBF basis functions (assuming 3 predefined centres and width)

$$\varphi(x) := \left[1, K_{\lambda_1}(x, r_1), K_{\lambda 2}(x, r_2), K_{\lambda_3}(x, r_3)\right]^T$$

$$\theta^* = \left(\varphi^T \varphi\right)^{-1} \varphi^T \vec{y}$$



e.g. a LR with 1D RBFs (3 predefined centres and width)

- 1D RBF



$$y^{est} = \beta_1 \phi_1(x) + \beta_2 \phi_2(x) + \beta_3 \phi_3(x)$$

- After fit:



$$y^{est} = 2\phi_1(x) + 0.0\phi_2(x) + 0.5\phi_3(x)$$

e.g. 2D Good and Bad RBFs

- A good 2D RBF



- Two bad 2D RBFs





Two main issues:

- Learn the parameter \theta
  - Almost the same as LR, just ➔ X to $\varphi(x)$
  - Linear combination of basis functions (that can be non-linear)

- How to choose the model order, e.g. what polynomial degree for polynomial regression

Issue: Overfitting and underfitting

Under fit



$y = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x$

Looks good



$y = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x + \theta_2 x^2$

Over fit



$y = \sum_{j=0}^{5} \theta_j x^j$

Generalisation: learn function / hypothesis from past data in order to "explain", "predict", "model" or "control" new data examples

K-fold Cross Validation !!!!

(2) Multivariate Linear Regression with basis Expansion



$$\hat{y} = \theta_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \theta_j \varphi_j(x) = \varphi(x)^T \theta$$

Today

- Machine Learning Method in a nutshell
- Regression Models Beyond Linear
  - LR with non-linear basis functions
  - Locally weighted linear regression
  - Regression trees and Multilinear Interpolation (later)

Locally weighted regression

- *aka* locally weighted regression, local linear regression, LOESS, …



linear_func(x)->y
➔
To represent only the neighbor region of x_0

Use RBF function to pick out/emphasize the neighbor region of x_0 ➔ $K_\lambda(x_i, x_0)$

Figure 2: In locally weighted regression, points are weighted by proximity to the current x in question using a kernel. A regression is then computed using the weighted points.

Locally weighted linear regression

Instead of minimizing

$$J(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\mathbf{x}_i^T\theta - y_i)^2$$

SSE

now we fit to minimize

$$J(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i(\mathbf{x}_i^T\theta - y_i)^2$$

WSSE

$$w_i = K_\lambda(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_0) = \exp\left(-\frac{(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_0)^2}{2\lambda^2}\right)$$

where **x_0** is the query point for which we'd like to know its corresponding **y**

Locally weighted linear regression

We fit \theta to minimize $J(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i(\mathbf{x}_i^T\theta - y_i)^2$

Where do w_i's come from?

$$w_i = K_\lambda(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_0) = \exp\left(-\frac{(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_0)^2}{2\lambda^2}\right)$$

- **x_0** is the query point for which we'd like to know its corresponding **y**

→ Essentially we put higher weights on (those errors from) training examples that are close to the query point x_0 (than those that are further away from the query point)

Locally weighted linear regression

- The width of RBF matters !



Figure 3: The estimator variance is minimized when the kernel includes as many training points as can be accommodated by the model. Here the linear LOESS model is shown. Too large a kernel includes points that degrade the fit; too small a kernel neglects points that increase confidence in the fit.

Locally weighted linear regression

- ➔Separate weighted least squares **at each target point x_0:**

$$\min_{\theta_0(x_0),\theta_1(x_0)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} K_\lambda(x_i, x_0)[y_i - \theta_0(x_0) - \theta_1(x_0)x_i]^2$$

⇒ training

⇒ testing

$$\hat{f}(x_0) = \hat{\theta}_0(x_0) + \hat{\theta}_1(x_0)x_0$$

LEARNING of Locally weighted linear regression



model

$$\hat{f}(x_0) = \widehat{\theta}_0(x_0) + \widehat{\theta}_1(x_0)x_0$$

➔ Separate weighted least squares **at each target point x_0**

Locally weighted linear regression

e.g. when for only one feature variable

Locally weighted polyReg

$b(x)^T=(1,x,x^2) \Rightarrow \theta^*(x_0)=(B^T W(x_0) B)^{-1} B^T W(x_0) y$

- $b(x)^T=(1,x);$
- B: Nx2 regression matrix with i-th row b(x)^T;

$$W_{N\times N}(x_0)=diag\left(K_\lambda(x_0,x_i)\right), i=1,\ldots,N$$

LWR

$$\hat{f}(x_0)=b(x_0)^T(B^T W(x_0)B)^{-1}B^T W(x_0)y$$

θ^*

versus

LR $\hat{f}(x_q)=(x_q)^T\theta^*=(x_q)^T\left(X^T X\right)^{-1}X^T\vec{y}$

More ➔ Local Weighted Polynomial Regression

- Local polynomial fits of any degree d

$$\min_{\alpha(x_0),\beta_j(x_0),j=1,\ldots,d} \sum_{i=1}^{N} K_\lambda(x_0,x_i)\left[y_i-\alpha(x_0)-\sum_{j=1}^{d}\beta_j(x_0)x_i^j\right]^2$$

$$\hat{f}(x_0)=\hat{\alpha}(x_0)+\sum_{j=1}^{d}\hat{\beta}_j(x_0)x_0^j$$

Blue: true
Green: estimated



Parametric vs. non-parametric

- Locally weighted linear regression is a **non-parametric** algorithm.

$$f(x_i) = X_i^T \theta^*$$

- The (unweighted) linear regression algorithm that we saw earlier is known as a **parametric** learning algorithm
  - because it has a fixed, finite number of parameters (the θ^*), which are fit to the data;
  - Once we've fit the *theta* and stored them away, we no longer need to keep the training data around to make future predictions.
  - In contrast, to make predictions using locally weighted linear regression, we need to keep the entire training set around.
- The term "non-parametric" (roughly) refers to the fact that the amount of knowledge we need to keep, in order to represent the hypothesis grows with linearly the size of the training set.

$$f(x_?) = X_i^T \theta^*(x_i)$$

(3) Locally Weighted / Kernel Linear Regression



$$\min_{\alpha(x_0),\beta(x_0)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} K_\lambda(x_0, x_i)[y_i - \alpha(x_0) - \beta(x_0)x_i]^2$$

$$\hat{f}(x_0) = \hat{\alpha}(x_0) + \hat{\beta}(x_0)x_0$$

Today Recap

- Machine Learning Method in a nutshell
- Regression Models Beyond Linear
  - LR with non-linear basis functions
  - Locally weighted linear regression
  - Regression trees and Multilinear Interpolation (later)

Probabilistic Interpretation of Linear Regression (LATER)



- Let us assume that the target variable and the inputs are related by the equation:

$$y_i = \theta^T \mathbf{x}_i + \varepsilon_i$$

where ε is an error term of unmodeled effects or random noise

- Now assume that ε follows a Gaussian $N(0,\sigma)$, then we have:

$$p(y_i \mid x_i; \theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{(y_i - \theta^T \mathbf{x}_i)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right)$$

Many more variations of LR from this perspective, e.g. binomial / poisson (LATER)

- By iid (among samples) assumption:

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p(y_i \mid x_i; \theta) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \right)^n \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \theta^T \mathbf{x}_i)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right)$$

References

- Big thanks to Prof. Eric Xing @ CMU for allowing me to reuse some of his slides
- ❑ Prof. Nando de Freitas's tutorial slide