ENGR (17218)

INSTRUCTORS: Bloomfield, Aaron S. (asb2t)

Respondents: 25 / Enrollment: 43

Summary: CS 2150-101 Program & Data Representa	tion - Spring	2015 (1721	8)								
	aon - Opring	2013 (1721	0)-								
Overall Course Rating			Overal INSTRI	Overall Instructor Rating							
CS-2150-101 Mean 4.06 CS-2150-101 Std Dev 1.10 CS-2150-101 Response Count 124			Mean 4.56 Std Dev 0.60 Response Count 173								
Difference from Category Mean, Expressed in Category Standard Deviations	-2 -1	0 1 2 0.04	Differe Catego	Difference from Category Mean, Expressed in Category Standard Deviations					0.38		
SEAS, 2000-level courses Mean 4.02 SEAS, 2000-level courses Std Dev 1.01 SEAS, 2000-level courses Response Count 14909	SEAS, 2000-level courses Mean 4.21 SEAS, 2000-level courses Std Dev 0.93 SEAS, 2000-level courses Response Count 21524										
~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~										
1. The course addressed technically	Results for	CS-2150-1	01								
rigorous subject matter consistent with the course objectives.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)		
Question Type: Likert	25	4.76	0.44	19	6	0	0	0			
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science				(70.00%)	(24.00%)	(0.00 %)	(0.00 %)	(0.00%)	(0.00 %)		
	Results for SEAS, 2000-level courses										
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)		
	2981	4.34	0.76	1417 (47.53%)	1267 (42.50%)	201 (6.74%)	60 (2.01%)	25 (0.84%)	11 (0.37%)		
2. The instructor used methods other	Results for	CS-2150-1	01 Bloomfi	eld Aaron S							
than/in addition to traditional lectures (for example, active learning, in-class problems, collaborative learning, in-	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)		
class discussion) effectively in this course.	24	4.45	0.60	11 (45.83%)	10 (41.67%)	1 (4.17%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (8.33%)		
Question Type: Likert	Results for	SEAS, 200	0-level cou	ses							
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)		

	3088	3.97	1.09	1149 (37.21%)	1147 (37.14%)	380 (12.31%)	231 (7.48%)	130 (4.21%)	51 (1.65%)
3. There was a reasonable level of effort	Results for	CS-2150-1	01						
expected for the credit hours received. Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering	24	3.46	1.35	7 (29.17%)	6 (25.00%)	4 (16.67%)	5 (20.83%)	2 (8.33%)	0 (0.00%)
una Applica Science									

Results for SEAS, 2000-level courses Not Applicable (NA) Disagree (2) Strongly Agree (5) Strongly Disagree (1) Total Mean Std Dev Agree (4) Neutral (3) 248 (8.31%) 1277 (42.81%) 109 (3.65%) 2983 4.06 1146 (38.42%) 6 (0.20%) 1.03 197 (6.60%)

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~								
4. The homework assignments helped	Results for CS-2150-101								
me learn the subject matter.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering	25	4.64	0.49	16 (64.00%)	9 (36.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
una Applica Science									
	Results for	SEAS, 200	0-level cou	rses				-	•••
	Iotal	Mean	Std Dev	Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
	2981	4.17	0.92	1163 (39.01%)	1115 (37.40%)	272 (9.12%)	140 (4.70%)	46 (1.54%)	245 (8.22%)
5. The textbook increased my	Results for	CS-2150-1	01						
understanding of the material.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering	25	3.23	0.93	(5) 2 (8.00%)	1 (4.00%)	8 (32.00%)	2 (8.00%)	(1) 0 (0.00%)	(INA) 12 (48.00%)
unu Appileu Science	Desults for	0540.000							
	Results for	SEAS, 200	Std Dov	Strongly	Agroo	Noutral	Disagroo	Strongly	Not
	TOTAL	Wearr	Sid Dev	Agree (5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	Disagree (1)	Applicable (NA)
	2986	3.45	1.19	425 (14.23%)	670 (22.44%)	508 (17.01%)	273 (9.14%)	167 (5.59%)	943 (31.58%)
6. The course material was well	Results for	CS-2150-1	01. Bloomfi	eld. Aaron S	S.				
organized and developed.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable
Question Type: Likert \tilde{c}	25	4.57	0.51	(5) 13	10	0	0	(Ĭ) 0	(NA) 2
and Applied Science				(52.00%)	(40.00%)	(0.00%)	(0.00%)	(0.00%)	(8.00%)
	Results for	SEAS, 200	0-level cou	rses			5	0 :	•••
	Iotal	Mean	Std Dev	Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
	3062	4.03	1.03	1129 (36.87%)	1244 (40.63%)	368 (12.02%)	177 (5.78%)	110 (3.59%)	34 (1.11%)
7. The instructor was knowledgeable	Results for CS-2150-101 Bloomfield Aaron S								
about the subject matter.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering	25	4.79	0.41	(3) 19 (76.00%)	5 (20.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	(NA) 1 (4.00%)
	Results for	SEAS, 200	0-level cou	rses			D :	0 , 1	N1 .
	Iotal	Mean	Std Dev	Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
	3077	4.54	0.71	1927 (62.63%)	920 (29.90%)	135 (4.39%)	38 (1.23%)	22 (0.71%)	35 (1.14%)
8. The instructor was well prepared for	Results for	CS-2150-1	01. Bloomfi	eld. Aaron S	3				
class.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering	24	4.78	0.42	(5) 18 (75,00%)	5 (20,83%)	0	0	(1) 0 (0.00%)	(NA) 1 (4,17%)
and Applied Science	Results for	SEAS 200		(10.0070)		(0.0070)			
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly	Aaree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly	Not
		Modif		Agree (5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	Disagree (1)	Applicable (NA)
	3077	4.39	0.79	1605 (52.16%)	1122 (36.46%)	214 (6.95%)	63 (2.05%)	30 (0.97%)	43 (1.40%)

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~								
9. I received adequate preparation from	Results for CS-2150-101								
the prior courses in the curriculum to be successful in this course.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
Question Type: Likert	25	3.80	1.15	8	9	4	3	1	0
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science				(32.0070)	(30.0078)	(10.0070)	(12.0070)	(4.0070)	(0.0070)
	Results for	SEAS, 200	0-level cou	rses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
	2978	3.88	0.97	721 (24.21%)	1192 (40.03%)	484 (16.25%)	175 (5.88%)	66 (2.22%)	340 (11.42%)
10. The grading policy was fair.	Results for	CS-2150-1	01, Bloomfi	eld, Aaron S	5.				
Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	25	4.16	0.85	10 (40.00%)	10 (40.00%)	4 (16.00%)	1 (4.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS, 200)0-level cou	ses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
	3079	4.12	0.91	1149 (37.32%)	1354 (43.98%)	352 (11.43%)	132 (4.29%)	60 (1.95%)	32 (1.04%)
11. The instructor responded	Results for	CS-2150-1	01, Bloomfi	eld, Aaron S	.				
adequately to in-class questions.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	25	4.54	0.59	14 (56.00%)	9 (36.00%)	1 (4.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (4.00%)
	Results for	SEAS, 200)0-level cou	ses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
	3072	4.29	0.85	1421 (46.26%)	1223 (39.81%)	242 (7.88%)	89 (2.90%)	46 (1.50%)	51 (1.66%)
12. The instructor effectively used	Results for CS-2150-101, Bloomfield, Aaron S.								
technology in support of the learning goals for this course.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
Question Type: Likert contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering	25	4.67	0.48	16 (64.00%)	8 (32.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (4.00%)
and Applied Science	Results for	SEAS, 200	0-level cou	ses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
	3069	4.16	0.91	1240 (40.40%)	1227 (39.98%)	365 (11.89%)	105 (3.42%)	62 (2.02%)	70 (2.28%)
13. The average number of hours per	Results for	CS-2150-1	01						
week I spent outside of class preparing for this course was:	Total	Le	ss than 1 (NA)	1 - 3 (NA)		4 - 6 (NA)	7 - 9 (NA)	10) or more (NA)
Question Type: Multiple Choice	25	(0 0.00%)	1 5 (4.00%) (20.00%)		5 20.00%)	6 1 (24.00%) (52.0		13 52.00%)
contributed by Office of the Provost	Desults f								
	Results for Total	SEAS, 200	ss than 1	ses 1 - 3		4 - 6	7 - 9	1() or more
	2000		(NA)	(NA)		(NA)	(NA)		(NA)
	2900	(6.79%)	(33.33%	6) (3	38.76%)	(12.22%	6) ((8.90%)

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~							
14. I learned a great deal in this course.	Results for	CS-2150-101						
Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
contributed by Office of the Provosi	25	4.80	0.41	20 (80.00%)	5 (20.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS, 2000-I	evel courses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
	2964	4.17	0.92	1262 (42.58%)	1180 (39.81%)	333 (11.23%)	139 (4.69%)	50 (1.69%)
15. Overall, this was a worthwhile	Results for	CS-2150-101						
course. Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
\sim contributed by Office of the Provost	25	4.76	0.44	19 (76.00%)	6 (24.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS, 2000-I	evel courses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
	2980	4.12	0.98	1250 (41.95%)	1141 (38.29%)	354 (11.88%)	158 (5.30%)	77 (2.58%)
16. The course's goals and requirements	Results for	CS-2150-101	, Bloomfield, <i>i</i>	Aaron S.				
were defined and adhered to by the instructor.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
Question Type: Likert contributed by Office of the Provost	25	4.68	0.48	17 (68.00%)	8 (32.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS, 2000-I	evel courses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
	3066	4.27	0.78	1316 (42.92%)	1402 (45.73%)	238 (7.76%)	86 (2.80%)	24 (0.78%)
17. The instructor was approachable	Results for	CS-2150-101	, Bloomfield, <i>i</i>	Aaron S.				
and made himself/herself available to students outside the classroom.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
Question Type: Likert <i>contributed by Office of the Provost</i>	25	4.08	0.91	9 (36.00%)	11 (44.00%)	3 (12.00%)	2 (8.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS, 2000-I	evel courses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
	3072	4.25	0.84	1373 (44.69%)	1252 (40.76%)	322 (10.48%)	91 (2.96%)	34 (1.11%)
18. Overall, the instructor was an	Results for	CS-2150-101	, Bloomfield, <i>i</i>	Aaron S.				
effective teacher. Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
contributed by Office of the Provost	24	4.67	0.48	16 (66.67%)	8 (33.33%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS, 2000-I	evel courses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
	3085	4.15	1.01	1383 (44.83%)	1137 (36.86%)	303 (9.82%)	164 (5.32%)	98 (3.18%)

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~						
19. Please make any overall comments	Results for CS-2	150-101					
or observations about this course:	Total	Individual Answers					
Question Type: Short Answer	12	See below for Individual Results					
\sim contributed by Office of the Provost							
	One of the best	teachers I have had here. One of the classes in which i learned the most.					
	although very rig science as a wh	gorous and challenging, this course was extremely helpful to introducing computer ole! I think I learned a lot from it and it will help me in my future tremendously.					
	The in-lab sessions were very useful when I needed help with the lab material. I didn't stat time very often, because the extension option was very convenient. I like that we could cour own computers for 24 hours because it helped me maintain a more flexible schedule.						
	See my comme	nts for the lecture.					
	I said this in the been written mc	other evaluation so I'll be brief: class was great, I think test questions could have re clearly in several cases (ex. tables).					
	This class has b made me want t tears of joy beca is that there is n more unclear or through just as	been one of the worst but best experiences of this year. There were many times it to rip all the hair off my head. There were also many times it made me want to cry ause my program finally, finally worked. I definitely learned a lot. My only suggestion hore clarifications for some labs. I just finished Lab11 and that lab was one of the les. I want to say decrease the workload, but I also want everyone after me to go much work as well.					
	This course was broke me down far in the CS de I've never done More than anyth something I wis I've ever gotten agree, I gained coding before a processing data you for everythi	to a shell of a man that I once was BUT this was my favorite course I've taken so partment (sorry, Drawing 1 still takes the cake overall) DUH DUH DUH BUUUH seriously so crappily in a class, meaning I've never been so rigorously challenged in my life. hing it taught me the value of collaboration and not being afraid to ask for help, h I learned way earlier on. My grade probably will be (definitely will be) the worst in my life, but with great failure comes the most knowledge gained. Confucius would the most knowledge in the entire department. The difference in the caliber of my ind after the course is night and day. How I think in general about computing and to perform a task has been foreva changed. I hated every second of it, but thank ng. This class it gives ya a little something called GRIT.					
	This should be a	a 4 credit class with all the hours people spend on this every week.					
	The c++ code sl Writing a bunch what we were si cool for time buo Maybe somethin reusing a lot of i being lacking or building/improvi how to code, bu take the position	hould be provided for the x86 in lab and post lab. The x86 analysis should be our part. of tiny programs was not helpful, took a lot of time, and did not guarantee we saw upposed to be understanding. An "expected difficulty level" for each lab would be dgeting. There was a stretch where we didn't use C++ for so long we forgot how. ng in-class to follow along with, just to stay fresh. Better Makefile description? I was my own code, and didn't really understand it until late in the semester. In response to n TAs and TA resources Add project-based for credit TAing? Projects like ng OO queue, designing a system that makes sure people passing the class know ilding interactive tutorials, building the autograder. This would allow more people to ns, and the draw would be graded credits and projects that are job-interview worthy.					
	I enjoyed this cc problem is that I with me when I depend on the 7	purse so much! I learned a great deal from all the challenging coursework. My only I felt as if the professor wasn't very polite and he seemed very impatient or irritated asked questions. He wasn't as approachable as I would have liked, so I had to TA's, but most of them do not know certain specifics about grading.					
	I learned a lot in spent a lot of tin for some labs (li	this class! Professor Bloomfield is very knowledgeable and passionate about CS. I ne doing the labs but they were worthwhile. I just have one suggestion: offer solutions ke x86), because I am still uneasy about the research we did in some of those topics.					
	I loved learning	in this class, but the exams were unreasonably difficult.					