CS 2150-103 Program & Data Representation - Spring 2015

ENGR (17220)

INSTRUCTORS: Bloomfield, Aaron S. (asb2t)

Respondents: 27 / Enrollment: 43

Summary: CS 2150-103 Program & Data Representation - Spring 2015 (17220)

Overall Course Rating

CS-2150-103 Mean 3.98 CS-2150-103 Std Dev 1.23 CS-2150-103 Response Count 134

Difference from Category Mean, Expressed in Category Standard Deviations


-0.04

Overall Instructor Rating

INSTRUCTOR: Bloomfield, Aaron S. Mean 4.57 Std Dev 0.66

Response Count 187

Difference from Category Mean, Expressed in Category Standard Deviations



SEAS, 2000-level courses Mean 4.02 SEAS, 2000-level courses Std Dev 1.01

SEAS, 2000-level courses Response Count 14909

SEAS, 2000-level courses Mean 4.21 SEAS, 2000-level courses Std Dev 0.93

SEAS, 2000-level courses Response Count 21524

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~

1. The course addressed technically rigorous subject matter consistent with

the course objectives.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

~ ANSWER MATRICES ~

Results for CS-2150-103										
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)		
27	4.70	0.54	20 (74.07%)	6 (22.22%)	1 (3.70%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)		

Results for	Results for SEAS, 2000-level courses											
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)				
2981	4.34	0.76	1417 (47.53%)	1267 (42.50%)	201 (6.74%)	60 (2.01%)	25 (0.84%)	11 (0.37%)				

2. The instructor used methods other than/in addition to traditional lectures (for example, active learning, in-class problems, collaborative learning, inclass discussion) effectively in this course.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

Results for CS-2150-103, Bloomfield, Aaron S.											
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)			
27	4.19	0.96	13 (48.15%)	8 (29.63%)	4 (14.81%)	2 (7.41%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)			

Result	Results for SEAS, 2000-level courses											
Tota	al	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)			
308	8	3.97	1.09	1149 (37.21%)	1147 (37.14%)	380 (12.31%)	231 (7.48%)	130 (4.21%)	51 (1.65%)			

3. There was a reasonable level of effort expected for the credit hours received.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

Results for	Results for CS-2150-103												
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)					
27	2.96	1.58	7 (25.93%)	4 (14.81%)	4 (14.81%)	5 (18.52%)	7 (25.93%)	0 (0.00%)					

Results for SEAS, 2000-level courses											
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)			
2983	4.06	1.03	1146 (38.42%)	1277 (42.81%)	248 (8.31%)	197 (6.60%)	109 (3.65%)	6 (0.20%)			

Not

Applicable

(NA)

0

(0.00%)

Not

Applicable

(NA)

245

(8.22%)

Not

Applicable

(NA)

(48.15%)

Not Applicable

(NA)

943

(31.58%)

Not

Applicable

(NA)

(0.00%)

Not

Applicable

(NA)

(1.11%)

Not

Applicable

(NA)

(0.00%)

Not

Applicable (NA)

(1.14%)

Not Applicable

(NA)

0

(0.00%)

Not

Applicable

(NA)

43

(1.40%)

Disagree

(2)

(2.05%)

Strongly

Disagree

(1)

30

(0.97%)

Neutral

(3)

214

(6.95%)

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~ ~ ANSWER MATRICES ~ 4. The homework assignments helped Results for CS-2150-103 me learn the subject matter. Agree (4) Total Mean Std Dev Strongly Neutral Disagree Strongly Agree (5) (2)Disagree (3)Question Type: Likert (1) 27 4.70 0.54 20 6 0 0 contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering (22.22%)(3.70%)(0.00%)(74.07%)(0.00%)and Applied Science Results for SEAS, 2000-level courses Disagree (2) Mean Std Dev Strongly Agree (4) Total Neutral Strongly Disagree (1) Agree (5) (3) 272 (9.12%) 2981 4 17 0.92 1163 46 1115 140 (4.70%) (37.40%)(1.54%)(39.01%)5. The textbook increased my Results for CS-2150-103 understanding of the material. Agree (4) Total Mean Std Dev Strongly Neutral Disagree Strongly Agree (5) Disagree (1) (3) (2)Question Type: Likert 27 3.36 0.93 contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering (7.41%)(11.11%)(25.93%)(7.41%)(0.00%)and Applied Science Results for SEAS, 2000-level courses Strongly Agree (5) Agree (4) Disagree (2) Mean Std Dev Strongly Disagree Total Neutral (3)(1) 2986 3.45 425 670 508 1.19 273 167 (14.23%)(22.44%)(17.01%)(9.14%)(5.59%)6. The course material was well Results for CS-2150-103, Bloomfield, Aaron S organized and developed. Total Mean Std Dev Strongly Agree (4) Neutral Disagree (2) Strongly Disagree (1) Agree (5) (3) Question Type: Likert 0 (0.00%) 26 4.65 0.56 18 0 contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering (69.23%) (26.92%) (3.85%)(0.00%)and Applied Science Results for SEAS, 2000-level courses Agree (4) Std Dev Total Mean Strongly Neutral Disagree Strongly Agree (5) (3) (2)Disagree (1) 3062 4.03 1.03 1129 1244 368 177 110 (36.87%)(40.63%)(12.02%)(5.78%)(3.59%)7. The instructor was knowledgeable Results for CS-2150-103, Bloomfield, Aaron S about the subject matter. Strongly Disagree (2) Strongly Mean Std Dev Total Agree (4) Neutral Disagree (1) Agree (5) (3) Question Type: Likert 23 (85.19%) 27 4.81 0.48 3 n contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering (11.11%) (3.70%)(0.00%)(0.00%)and Applied Science Results for SEAS, 2000-level courses Disagree (2) Agree (4) Total Mean Std Dev Strongly Neutral Strongly Agree (5) Disagree (1) (3) 3077 4.54 0.71 1927 920 135 38 (0.71%)(62.63%)(29.90%)(4.39%)(1.23%)8. The instructor was well prepared for Results for CS-2150-103, Bloomfield, Aaron S class. Agree (4) Std Dev Total Mean Strongly Neutral Disagree Strongly Agree (3) (2)Disagree Question Type: Likert (5) (1)26 4.69 0.55 19 6 1 0 0 contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering (23.08%)(73.08%)(3.85%)(0.00%)(0.00%)and Applied Science

Std Dev

0.79

Strongly

Agree (5)

1605

(52.16%)

Agree (4)

1122

(36.46%)

Results for SEAS, 2000-level courses

Mean

4.39

Total

3077

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~

~ ANSWER MATRICES ~

9. I received adequate preparation from the prior courses in the curriculum to be successful in this course.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

Results for CS-2150-103											
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)			
26	3.84	1.07	8 (30.77%)	9 (34.62%)	4 (15.38%)	4 (15.38%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (3.85%)			

Results for SEAS, 2000-level courses										
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)		
2978	3.88	0.97	721 (24.21%)	1192 (40.03%)	484 (16.25%)	175 (5.88%)	66 (2.22%)	340 (11.42%)		

10. The grading policy was fair.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

Results for CS-2150-103, Bloomfield, Aaron S.										
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)		
27	4.44	0.64	14 (51.85%)	11 (40.74%)	2 (7.41%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)		

Results for	Results for SEAS, 2000-level courses										
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)			
3079	4.12	0.91	1149 (37.32%)	1354 (43.98%)	352 (11.43%)	132 (4.29%)	60 (1.95%)	32 (1.04%)			

11. The instructor responded adequately to in-class questions.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

Results for CS-2150-103, Bloomfield, Aaron S.												
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)				
27	4.67	0.55	19 (70.37%)	7 (25.93%)	1 (3.70%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)				

Results for	Results for SEAS, 2000-level courses											
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)				
3072	4.29	0.85	1421 (46.26%)	1223 (39.81%)	242 (7.88%)	89 (2.90%)	46 (1.50%)	51 (1.66%)				

12. The instructor effectively used technology in support of the learning goals for this course.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

Results for CS-2150-103, Bloomfield, Aaron S.								
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
27	4.52	0.64	16 (59.26%)	9 (33.33%)	2 (7.41%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Results for SEAS, 2000-level courses									
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)	
3069	4.16	0.91	1240 (40.40%)	1227 (39.98%)	365 (11.89%)	105 (3.42%)	62 (2.02%)	70 (2.28%)	

13. The average number of hours per week I spent outside of class preparing for this course was:

Question Type: Multiple Choice

contributed by Office of the Provost

Results for CS-2150-103									
Total Less than 1 (NA)		1 - 3 (NA)	4 - 6 (NA)	7 - 9 (NA)	10 or more (NA)				
27 0 (0.00%)		0 (0.00%)	7 (25.93%)	7 (25.93%)	13 (48.15%)				

Results for SEAS, 2000-level courses								
Total	Less than 1	1 - 3	4 - 6	7 - 9	10 or more			
	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)			
2988 203		996	1158	365	266			
(6.79%)		(33.33%)	(38.76%)	(12.22%)	(8.90%)			

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~				~ ANSWER	MATRICES ~			
14. I learned a great deal in this course.	Results for	CS-2150-103	3					
Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
contributed by Office of the Provost	27	4.85	0.46	24 (88.89%)	2 (7.41%)	1 (3.70%)	0 (0.00%)	(0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS, 2000-	level courses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
	2964	4.17	0.92	1262 (42.58%)	1180 (39.81%)	333 (11.23%)	139 (4.69%)	50 (1.69%)
15. Overall, this was a worthwhile	Results for	CS-2150-103	3					
course. ~ Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
contributed by Office of the Provost	26	4.62	0.57	17 (65.38%)	8 (30.77%)	1 (3.85%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS, 2000-	level courses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
	2980	4.12	0.98	1250 (41.95%)	1141 (38.29%)	354 (11.88%)	158 (5.30%)	77 (2.58%)
6. The course's goals and requirements	Results for	CS-2150-103	B, Bloomfield,	Aaron S.				
were defined and adhered to by the instructor.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
Question Type: Likert	27	4.59	0.57	17 (62.96%)	9 (33.33%)	1 (3.70%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
contributed by Office of the Provost				(02.3070)	(55.5576)	(3.7070)	(0.0070)	(0.0070)
			level courses		l			I
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
	3066	4.27	0.78	1316 (42.92%)	1402 (45.73%)	238 (7.76%)	86 (2.80%)	24 (0.78%)
17. The instructor was approachable	Results for	CS-2150-103	B, Bloomfield,	Aaron S.				
and made himself/herself available to students outside the classroom.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
Question Type: Likert contributed by Office of the Provost	27	4.26	0.86	13 (48.15%)	9 (33.33%)	4 (14.81%)	1 (3.70%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS, 2000-	level courses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
	3072	4.25	0.84	1373 (44.69%)	1252 (40.76%)	322 (10.48%)	91 (2.96%)	34 (1.11%)
18. Overall, the instructor was an	Results for	CS-21 <u>50-1</u> 03	B, Bloomfield,	Aaron S.				
effective teacher. ~ Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
contributed by Office of the Provost	27	4.59	0.57	17 (62.96%)	9 (33.33%)	1 (3.70%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS, 2000-	level courses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
	3085	4.15	1.01	1383 (44.83%)	1137 (36.86%)	303 (9.82%)	164 (5.32%)	98 (3.18%)

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~		~ ANSWER MATRICES ~					
19. Please make any overall comments	Results for CS-2150-103						
or observations about this course:	Total	Individual Answers					
Question Type: Short Answer	15	See below for Individual Results					
contributed by Office of the Provost							
	It's my second t	time QQ					
	There was a lot time.	of materials, but Professor Bloomfield taught those materials well in a short period of					
	I know it's a great feat trying to convince the CS department to provide you with more TAs, but hopefully a request like this one for more TAs at office hours will help you convince them to provide you with more resources.						
	Same as before	e, this class was great, but the prior courses should have their difficulty increased.					
	Most work I've	ever done for a course and the most I've ever learned in a course.					
	<3 Bloomfield. I	Fuck Hashes.					
	This class was not easy, and its consistently large workload was tough to keep up with. Every s time I started one part of a lab late, I regretted it. That being said, I have learned so much, and I realized that I still have so much to learn from hereon. There were several times where I wanted call it a day forever while working on some labs, and I'm not lying when I say I'm unbelievably reto only have one more week of lab left, but I'm also not lying when I say I really felt it was worth lot of the course material was almost completely new to me: so much of it was stuff that I had he about but never bothered learning on my own (i.e. memory management, efficiency, Linux environments) and after being forced to learn it, I now want to learn more. Seriously, such a gre class. I'd recommend it to anyone and everyone who's even mildly interested in taking CS seriously.						
	Difficult but I learned a great deal						
	This lab section was incredibly helpful in solidifying my knowledge of the material, although it di a lot of time each week. I found the information both interesting and rewarding and felt I learned The TA's were also incredibly helpful/available throughout the semester through their office hou Thank you to the TA's for doing a great job and helping me understand this material.						
	Rigorous and w Learned a lot be	vorthwhile course. Could use some revamping in terms of more applicable? material. ut I feel like it drew from too many different corners of CS for one class.					
	The labs are pretty hard and sometimes they ruin my entire weeks and health. So it would be bet have some extra credits opportunity to make up for some mistakes we accidentally make. Because for most of the time we spent twenty hours or so working labs that are worth 10 points. But a simple mistake would deduct 2-3 points from 10 points and make the entire effort seems useless. (Not useless, but it's just frustrating)						
	The labs that involved coding were, in nearly every way, better than the labs the involved writing a report. Answering questions about computational complexity is one thing, but to fully and thoroughly answer all of the questions and prompts of the "write a report" labs took an inordinate amount of time and spanned many more pages than suggested.						
	The labs helped with getting a better understanding of the material reviewed in lecture and gave much needed practice with the topics. Definitely an important part of the course experience.						
	This class shou 10 hours a wee	ald be a 4 credit class. I really did not have the time that it required of me to spend over the k in office hours.					
	This class is wo	orth may more than 3 credits!					