ENGR (17473)

INSTRUCTORS: Bloomfield, Aaron S. (asb2t)

Respondents: 30 / Enrollment: 45

			<u> </u>		B (1						
Dverall Course Rating CS-2150-102 Mean 4.18 CS-2150-102 Std Dev 1.17 CS-2150-102 Response Count 150			INSTR Mear Std D	Overall Instructor Rating INSTRUCTOR: Bloomfield, Aaron S. Mean 4.62 Std Dev 0.62 Response Count 210							
Difference from Category Mean, Expressed in Category Standard Deviations		0 1 2 0.13		nce from Ca ory Standard	tegory Mear I Deviations	n, Expresse	d in	-2 -1 0 1 2 0.42			
SEAS, 2000-level courses Mean 4.05 SEAS, 2000-level courses Std Dev 1.00 SEAS, 2000-level courses Response Count 16344			SEAS,	SEAS, 2000-level courses Mean 4.24 SEAS, 2000-level courses Std Dev 0.89 SEAS, 2000-level courses Response Count 23150							
~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~				~ ANS	WER MATRI	CES ~					
1. The course addressed technically	Results for	CS-2150-1	02								
rigorous subject matter consistent with the course objectives.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicab (NA)		
Question Type: Likert	30	4.90	0.31	27 (90.00%)	3 (10.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)		
and Applied Science	Results for SEAS, 2000-level courses										
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicab (NA)		
	3272	4.38	0.72	1590 (48.59%)	1389 (42.45%)	213 (6.51%)	38 (1.16%)	23 (0.70%)	19 (0.58%)		
2. The instructor used methods other	Results for	CS-2150-1	02 Bloomfi	eld, Aaron S							
than/in addition to traditional lectures (for example, active learning, in-class problems, collaborative learning, in-	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicab (NA)		
class discussion) effectively in this course.	30	4.62	0.68	20 (66.67%)	8 (26.67%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (3.33%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (3.33%)		
\tilde{Q} uestion Type: Likert	Results for	SEAS, 200)0-level cou	rses							
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicab (NA)		
	3312	4.06	1.01	1289 (38.92%)	1237 (37.35%)	411 (12.41%)	213 (6.43%)	83 (2.51%)	79 (2.39%)		

3. There was a reasonable level of effort expected for the credit hours received.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
	3312	4.06	1.01	1289 (38.92%)	1237 (37.35%)	411 (12.41%)	213 (6.43%)	83 (2.51%)	79 (2.39%)
Ī	Results for	CS-2150-1	02						
	Total	Moon	Std Dov	Strongly	Aaroo	Noutral	Disagroo	Strongly	Not

Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
30	3.27	1.53	10 (33.33%)	4 (13.33%)	5 (16.67%)	6 (20.00%)	5 (16.67%)	0 (0.00%)

Results for	Results for SEAS, 2000-level courses							
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
3267	4.09	1.00	1294 (39.61%)	1392 (42.61%)	265 (8.11%)	204 (6.24%)	104 (3.18%)	8 (0.24%)

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~				~ ANS	WER MATR	ICES ~						
4. The homework assignments helped	Results for	CS-2150-1	102									
me learn the subject matter. Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)			
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	30	4.72	0.45	21 (70.00%)	8 (26.67%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (3.33%)			
	Results for SEAS, 2000-level courses											
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly	Not			
	Total	Wear	Sid Dev	Agree (5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	Disagree (1)	Applicable (NA)			
	3265	4.17	0.91	1286 (39.39%)	1278 (39.14%)	318 (9.74%)	136 (4.17%)	54 (1.65%)	193 (5.91%)			
5. The textbook increased my	Results for	· CS-2150-	102									
understanding of the material. Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable			
\sim contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering	30	4.25	1.16	(5) 5 (16.67%)	1 (3.33%)	1 (3.33%)	1 (3.33%)	(1) 0 (0.00%)	(NA) 22 (73.33%)			
and Applied Science					(((((,			
			00-level cou				2					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)			
	3268	3.55	1.15	487 (14.90%)	718 (21.97%)	548 (16.77%)	245 (7.50%)	138 (4.22%)	1132 (34.64%)			
6. The course material was well	Results for	CS-2150-1	102, Bloomfi	eld Aaron S								
organized and developed. Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable			
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	30	4.66	0.48	(5) 19 (63.33%)	10 (33.33%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	(1) 0 (0.00%)	(NA) 1 (3.33%)			
una Apprica Science	Results for SEAS, 2000-level courses											
	Results for Total	· SEAS, 200 Mean	00-level cou Std Dev		Agroo	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly	Not			
	Total	wean	Sta Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	(3)	(2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Applicable (NA)			
	3310	4.03	1.01	1205 (36.40%)	1358 (41.03%)	379 (11.45%)	231 (6.98%)	89 (2.69%)	48 (1.45%)			
7. The instructor was knowledgeable	Results for	· CS-2150-	102, Bloomfi	eld, Aaron S	S.							
about the subject matter. Question Type: Likert	Total		Std Dev			Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)			
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	30	4.83	0.38	(3) 24 (80.00%)	5 (16.67%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	(NA) 1 (3.33%)			
una Applica Science												
			00-level cou		A	Nextral	Discourse	Otros estas	Net			
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)			
	3310	4.55	0.68	2032 (61.39%)	1025 (30.97%)	133 (4.02%)	30 (0.91%)	21 (0.63%)	69 (2.08%)			
8. The instructor was well prepared for	Results for	CS-2150-1	102, Bloomfi	eld. Aaron S	;							
class. Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable			
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering	30	4.79	0.41	(5) 23 (76.67%)	6 (20.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	(1) 0 (0.00%)	(NA) 1 (3.33%)			
and Applied Science												
			00-level cou		•	NI	Die	01				
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)			
	3305	4.35	0.83	1673 (50.62%)	1190 (36.01%)	257 (7.78%)	84 (2.54%)	41 (1.24%)	60 (1.82%)			

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~					~ ANS	WER MATH	RICES ~				
9. I received adequate preparation from	Results for	CS-2	150-10	2							
the prior courses in the curriculum to be successful in this course.	Total	Me		Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)	
Question Type: Likert	30	3.7	77	1.03	8 (26.67%)	7 (23.33%)	8 (26.67%)	3 (10.00%)	0 (0.00%)	4 (13.33%)	
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	Results for SEAS, 2000-level courses										
			-			A	Neutral	Discortos	Ctrangle	Net	
	Total	Me	an	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)	
	3272	3.8	35	1.05	854 (26.10%)	1198 (36.61%)	490 (14.98%)	235 (7.18%)	104 (3.18%)	391 (11.95%)	
10. The grading policy was fair.	Results for	CS-2	150-10	2, Bloomfi	eld, Aaron S						
Question Type: Likert	Total	Me	an	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)	
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	30	4.0	00	0.89	9 (30.00%)	13 (43.33%)	5 (16.67%)	2 (6.67%)	0 (0.00%)	(NA) 1 (3.33%)	
	Results for	SEAS	\$ 2000		202						
	Total	Me	-	Std Dev	Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly	Not	
					Agree (5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	Disagree (1)	Applicable (NA)	
	3311	4.1	12	0.90	1224 (36.97%)	1466 (44.28%)	377 (11.39%)	158 (4.77%)	49 (1.48%)	37 (1.12%)	
11. The instructor responded	Results for	CS-2	150-10	2, Bloomfi	eld, Aaron S						
adequately to in-class questions.	Total	Me	an	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)	
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	30	4.6	62	0.56	19 (63.33%)	9 (30.00%)	1 (3.33%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (3.33%)	
	Results for	SEAG	2 2000								
	Total	Me	-	Std Dev	Strongly Agree	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable	
	3303	4.3	36	0.78	(5) 1597 (48.35%)	1328 (40.21%)	199 (6.02%)	75 (2.27%)	(1) 29 (0.88%)	(NA) 75 (2.27%)	
12. The instructor effectively used	Deputto for	<u> </u>	150 10	2 Ploomfi	eld, Aaron S						
technology in support of the learning	Total	Me		Std Dev	Strongly	Aaree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly	Not	
goals for this course.		_			Agree (5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	Disagree (1)	Applicable (NA)	
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering	30	4.7	79	0.41	23 (76.67%)	6 (20.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (3.33%)	
and Applied Science	Results for	SEAS	5, 2000	level cour	ses						
	Total	Me	an	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)	
	3299	4.2	23	0.87	1417 (42.95%)	1290 (39.10%)	334 (10.12%)	119 (3.61%)	40 (1.21%)	99 (3.00%)	
13. The average number of hours per	Results for	CS-2	150-10	2							
week I spent outside of class preparing for this course was:	Total		Les	s than 1 (NA)	1 - 3 (NA)		4 - 6 (NA)	7 - 9 (NA)	1() or more (NA)	
Question Type: Multiple Choice	30		(0	0 .00%)	2 (6.67%) (4 13.33%)	8 (26.67%	6) (16 53.33%)	
contributed by Office of the Provost	Results for	SEAG	\$ 2000								
	Total	-OLAC	Les	s than 1 NA)	1 - 3 (NA)		4 - 6 (NA)	7 - 9 (NA)) or more (NA)	
	3272			237 .24%)	1150 (35.15%	6) (1191 36.40%)	413 (12.62%	6)	281 (8.59%)	

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~				~ ANSWER	MATRICES ~								
14. I learned a great deal in this course.	Results for	CS-2150-102											
Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)					
contributed by Office of the Provost	30	4.67	0.48	20 (66.67%)	10 (33.33%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)					
	Results for	SEAS, 2000-	evel courses										
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)					
	3261	4.19	0.89	1379 (42.29%)	1349 (41.37%)	352 (10.79%)	134 (4.11%)	47 (1.44%)					
15. Overall, this was a worthwhile	Results for	CS-2150-102											
course. Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)					
contributed by Office of the Provost	30	4.57	0.57	18 (60.00%)	11 (36.67%)	1 (3.33%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)					
	Results for SEAS, 2000-level courses												
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)					
	3257	4.12	0.97	1341 (41.17%)	1271 (39.02%)	403 (12.37%)	169 (5.19%)	73 (2.24%)					
16. The course's goals and requirements	Results for	CS-2150-102	, Bloomfield, J	Aaron S.									
were defined and adhered to by the instructor.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)					
Question Type: Likert <i>contributed by Office of the Provost</i>	30	4.60	0.56	19 (63.33%)	10 (33.33%)	1 (3.33%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)					
	Results for SEAS, 2000-level courses												
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)					
	3300	4.29	0.78	1444 (43.76%)	1504 (45.58%)	248 (7.52%)	73 (2.21%)	31 (0.94%)					
17. The instructor was approachable	Results for	CS-2150-102	, Bloomfield, J	Aaron S.									
and made himself/herself available to students outside the classroom.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)					
Question Type: Likert \widetilde{fice} of the Provost	30	4.30	0.84	15 (50.00%)	10 (33.33%)	4 (13.33%)	1 (3.33%)	0 (0.00%)					
	Results for	SEAS, 2000-	evel courses										
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)					
	3303	4.28	0.81	1512 (45.78%)	1345 (40.72%)	336 (10.17%)	84 (2.54%)	26 (0.79%)					
18. Overall, the instructor was an	Results for	CS-2150 <u>-10</u> 2	, Bloomfield, ,	Aaron S									
effective teacher. Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)					
contributed by Office of the Provost	30	4.67	0.55	21 (70.00%)	8 (26.67%)	1 (3.33%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)					
	Results for	SEAS, 2000-	evel courses										
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)					
	3317	4.18	0.96	1481 (44.65%)	1257 (37.90%)	348 (10.49%)	148 (4.46%)	83 (2.50%)					

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~		~ ANSWER MATRICES ~				
19. Please make any overall comments	Results for CS-2	150-102				
or observations about this course: $$	Total	Individual Answers				
Question Type: Short Answer	14	See below for Individual Results				
contributed by Office of the Provost						
	so much learnir the linux image	g! the level of documentation was fantastic, for example, the instructions on creating				
	An amazing class. One course eval where I actually mean it when I put "Strongly agree" for everything. Probably the best class I've taken at UVA. Aaron, you've truly done a great job v course and I will definitely miss it next semester. Some parts of the course are frustrating lik overall I learned so much and am glad I took this class.					
		s were too long for a 3 credit course including lecture+lab. While I thoroughly enjoyed learned, this course should be at least a 4 credit course.				
	no additional or	es				
	This class was the hardest class I have taken at the University. It's not that the material w its that the work load was almost unbearable. The TA's were not as helpful as I would have would start the projects on my own and get as far as I could. Then I would ask the TA's co office hours. After a while of trying to find the bug, they would leave me on my own withor suggestion. They're mentality seemed to be "If I can't figure it out in 5 seconds then I'm n try."					
	Write-ups were	't as fun as coding, but there was a lot of neat material in this course				
	I took this cours	e with Floryan				
	This lab needs	to be 2 credits by itself.				
	Extremely time covered.	intensive and work heavy course, but worth it as you learn a lot from the material				
	course is worth.	ount of work and effort I spent for this course didn't match the amount of credits the However I learned a lot from this course and generally it was a good course that erstand data structures more in depth.				
	The class shoul	d be more credits.				
	More availability CREDITS	r for office hours from the professor. THIS CLASS SHOULD BE AT LEAST 4				
	This class was	so much worked but it paid off.				
	helpful than oth understand and answer with littl they're great. I h we could thank	mazing. They were great. I lived at TA office hours and I love them. Some were less ers but honestly over all just A+ TAs who made the class so much easier to really helped me learn. A thing I really loved is how they helped you work towards the e prompts that made me still feel like I was figuring it out. I really love the TAs. Like, heard there was an anonymous feedback about how someone wished there's a way the TAs but I don't know all of their names and I couldn't agree more. I really do want They work really hard.				