

Sept 7 Slides

Properties Of Set Union

□ $A \cup \emptyset =$

□ $A \cup U =$

□ $A \cup A =$

Properties Of Set Union

□ $A \cup \emptyset = A$

Identity law

□ $A \cup U =$

□ $A \cup A =$

Properties Of Set Union

□ $A \cup \emptyset = A$

Identity law

□ $A \cup U = U$

Domination law

□ $A \cup A =$

Properties Of Set Union

□ $A \cup \emptyset = A$

Identity law

□ $A \cup U = U$

Domination law

□ $A \cup A = A$

Idempotent law

Properties Of Set Union

□ $A \cup \emptyset = A$

Identity law

□ $A \cup U = U$

Domination law

□ $A \cup A = A$

Idempotent law

□ $A \cup B = B \cup A$

Commutative law

Properties Of Set Intersection

□ $A \cap U =$

□ $A \cap \emptyset =$

□ $A \cap A =$

Properties Of Set Intersection

□ $A \cap U = A$

Identity law

□ $A \cap \emptyset =$

□ $A \cap A =$

Properties Of Set Intersection

□ $A \cap U = A$

Identity law

□ $A \cap \emptyset = \emptyset$

Domination law

□ $A \cap A =$

Properties Of Set Intersection

□ $A \cap U = A$

Identity law

□ $A \cap \emptyset = \emptyset$

Domination law

□ $A \cap A = A$

Idempotent law

Properties Of Set Intersection

□ $A \cap U = A$

Identity law

□ $A \cap \emptyset = \emptyset$

Domination law

□ $A \cap A = A$

Idempotent law

□ $A \cap B = B \cap A$

Commutative law

Propositions

A proposition is a statement that is either true or false

Examples of Proposition

(Eggs are blue) = p

(I am a human) = q

($2 + 3 = 5$) = r

Examples of things that aren't Proposition

What are you doing Friday?

What is $3 + 3$?

Sit down!

Propositions

A proposition is a statement that is either true or false

When dealing with propositions, we abstract away difficulties of defining, and we can just give them letters (define variables), like p

Propositions

A proposition, p , is a statement that is either true or false. “True” or “False” is considered the “truth value” of p .

<https://www.cs.virginia.edu/luther/2102/F2020/symbols.html>

Concept	Java/C	Python	This class	Bitwise	Other
true	true	True	\top or 1	-1	T, tautology
false	false	False	\perp or 0	0	F, contradiction

Propositions

A proposition is a statement that is either true or false

We can modify, combine and relate propositions with *connectives*:

Propositions

A proposition is a statement that is either true or false

We can modify, combine and relate propositions with *connectives*:

- \vee is “or”
- \wedge is “and”
- \neg is “not”

Looks Familiar?

We can modify, combine and relate propositions with *connectives*:

- \vee is “or”
- \wedge is “and”
- \neg is “not”

$$\boxed{} = \{x \in U \mid x \in S \wedge x \notin T\}$$

Looks Familiar?

We can modify, combine and relate propositions with *connectives*:

- \vee is “or”
- \wedge is “and”
- \neg is “not”

$$S \setminus T = \{x \in U \mid x \in S \wedge x \notin T\}$$

Looks Familiar?

We can modify, combine and relate propositions with *connectives*:

- \vee is “or”
- \wedge is “and”
- \neg is “not”

$$\boxed{} = \{x \in U \mid x \in S \vee x \in T\}$$

Looks Familiar?

We can modify, combine and relate propositions with *connectives*:

- \vee is “or”
- \wedge is “and”
- \neg is “not”

$$S \cup T = \{x \in U \mid x \in S \vee x \in T\}$$

Looks Familiar?

We can modify, combine and relate propositions with *connectives*:

- \vee is “or”
- \wedge is “and”
- \neg is “not”

$$\boxed{} = \{x \in U \mid x \in S \wedge x \in T\}$$

Looks Familiar?

We can modify, combine and relate propositions with *connectives*:

- \vee is “or”
- \wedge is “and”
- \neg is “not”

$$S \cap T = \{x \in U \mid x \in S \wedge x \in T\}$$

Looks Familiar?

We can modify, combine and relate propositions with *connectives*:

- \vee is “or”
- \wedge is “and”
- \neg is “not”

Set theory is a branch of **mathematical logic**. So it makes sense to use logical language and symbols to describe sets.

“Not” operator

How to define:

Make a truth table

“Not” operator

p	$\neg p$
T	F
F	T

“And” operator

“And” operator

p	q	$p \wedge q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	F

“Or” operator

“Or” operator

p	q	$p \vee q$
T	T	T
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	F

“Implies” operator

If p , then q

The conditional $p \rightarrow q$ can be expressed by different sentences, some of them are listed below:

- p implies q
- p is a sufficient condition for q
- q is a necessary condition for p
- q follows from p
- p only if q

“Implies” operator

p	q	$p \rightarrow q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

Suppose $A \subset B$ and $B \subseteq C$ are true, and $B \subseteq D$ and $D \subseteq B$ are both false.

For each of the following, decide if it

- must, could, or can't be empty

- how it must relate (\subseteq , \subset , \supseteq , \supset , $=$) to the four named sets (if any)

a. $A \cap B$

b. $A \cup B$

c. $B \cap C$

d. $B \cup C$

e. $B \cap D$

f. $B \cup D$

g. $C \cap D$

h. $C \cup D$

i. $A \cap C$

j. $A \cup C$

k. $A \cap D$

l. $A \cup D$