CS 2501-300 Spec Topic: Computer Science - Fall 2018

ENGR (19478)

INSTRUCTORS: Tychonievich, Luther (lat7h)

Respondents: 26 / Enrollment: 48

Summary: CS 2501-300 Spec Topic: Computer Science - Fall 2018 (19478)

Overall Course Rating

CS-2501-300 Mean 4.25 CS-2501-300 Std Dev 1.00 CS-2501-300 Response Count 130

SEAS, 2000-level courses Mean 4.06 SEAS, 2000-level courses Std Dev 1.02 SEAS, 2000-level courses Response Count 18641

Overall Instructor Rating

INSTRUCTOR: Tychonievich, Luther Mean 4.66 Std Dev 0.52 Response Count 182

SEAS, 2000-level courses Mean 4.24 SEAS, 2000-level courses Std Dev 0.92 SEAS, 2000-level courses Response Count 28100

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~

1. The course addressed technically rigorous subject matter consistent with the course objectives.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

~ ANSWER	MATRICES ~
----------	------------

Results for	CS-2501-3	800						
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
26	4.58	0.58	16 (61.54%)	9 (34.62%)	1 (3.85%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Results for	Results for SEAS, 2000-level courses										
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)			
3724	4.35	0.78	1811 (48.63%)	1550 (41.62%)	218 (5.85%)	83 (2.23%)	40 (1.07%)	22 (0.59%)			

2. The instructor used methods other than/in addition to traditional lectures (for example, active learning, in-class problems, collaborative learning, inclass discussion) effectively in this course.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

Results for	Results for CS-2501-300, Tychonievich, Luther										
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)			
26	4.58	0.50	15 (57.69%)	11 (42.31%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)			

Results for	Results for SEAS, 2000-level courses											
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)				
4018	4.10	1.01	1640 (40.82%)	1457 (36.26%)	426 (10.60%)	254 (6.32%)	103 (2.56%)	138 (3.43%)				

3. There was a reasonable level of effort expected for the credit hours received.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

Results for	CS-2501-3	00						
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
26	4.31	1.01	14 (53.85%)	9 (34.62%)	1 (3.85%)	1 (3.85%)	1 (3.85%)	0 (0.00%)

Results for SEAS, 2000-level courses										
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)		
3731	4.07	1.05	1467 (39.32%)	1583 (42.43%)	269 (7.21%)	224 (6.00%)	163 (4.37%)	25 (0.67%)		

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~ ~ ANSWER MATRICES ~ 4. The homework assignments helped Results for CS-2501-300 me learn the subject matter. Disagree (2) Agree (4) Total Mean Std Dev Strongly Neutral Strongly Not Agree (5) Disagree (3)Applicable Question Type: Likert (1) (NA) 26 4.46 0.76 15 0 0 contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering (34.62%) (3.85%)(3.85%)(0.00%)(57.69%) (0.00%)and Applied Science Results for SEAS, 2000-level courses Disagree (2) Mean Std Dev Strongly Agree (4) Total Neutral Strongly Not Disagree (1) Applicable Agree (5) (3) (NA) 3728 4.23 0.91 1625 1395 66 181 318 143 (3.84%) (1.77%)(43.59%)(37.42%)(8.53%)(4.86%)5. The textbook increased my Results for CS-2501-300 understanding of the material. Agree (4) Total Mean Std Dev Strongly Neutral Disagree Strongly Not Agree (5) Disagree (1) (3) Applicable Question Type: Likert (NA) 26 4.00 0.82 contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering (15.38%)(19.23%)(15.38%)(0.00%)(0.00%)(50.00%)and Applied Science Results for SEAS, 2000-level courses Strongly Agree (5) Agree (4) Disagree (2) Mean Std Dev Strongly Disagree Total Neutral Not Applicable (3)(1) (NA) 3727 3.57 633 645 162 1.17 769 282 1236 (16.98%)(20.63%)(7.57%)(17.31%)(4.35%)(33.16%)6. The course material was well Results for CS-2501-300, Tychonievich, Luther organized and developed. Total Mean Std Dev Strongly Agree (4) Neutral Disagree (2) Strongly Not Disagree (1) Agree (5) (3) Applicable Question Type: Likert (NA) 0.75 11 (42.31%) 26 4.38 13 0 contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering (50.00%) (3.85%)(3.85%)(0.00%)(0.00%)and Applied Science Results for SEAS, 2000-level courses Agree (4) Std Dev Total Mean Strongly Neutral Disagree Strongly Not Agree (5) Applicable (3) (2)Disagree (1) (NA) 4013 4.05 1.01 1495 1619 453 121 84 (37.25%)(40.34%)(11.29%)(6.01%)(3.02%)(2.09%)7. The instructor was knowledgeable Results for CS-2501-300, Tychonievich, Luther about the subject matter. Strongly Std Dev Disagree (2) Mean Neutral Total Agree (4) Strongly Not Disagree (1) Agree (5) Applicable (3) Question Type: Likert (NA) 24 (92.31%) 4.92 0.27 n n 26 contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering (7.69%) (0.00%)(0.00%) (0.00%)(0.00%)and Applied Science Results for SEAS, 2000-level courses Disagree (2) Agree (4) Total Mean Std Dev Strongly Neutral Strongly Agree (5) Disagree (1) (3) Applicable (NA) 4017 4.50 0.73 2366 1221 199 30 140 (58.90%)(30.40%)(4.95%)(1.52%)(0.75%)(3.49%)8. The instructor was well prepared for Results for CS-2501-300, Tychonievich, Luther class. Agree (4) Total Mean Std Dev Strongly Neutral Disagree Strongly Not Applicable Agree (3) (2)Disagree Question Type: Likert (5) (1)(NA) 26 4.81 0.40 21 5 0 0 0 0 contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering (80.77%)(19.23%)(0.00%)(0.00%)(0.00%)(0.00%)and Applied Science Results for SEAS, 2000-level courses Std Dev Strongly Disagree Total Mean Agree (4) Neutral Strongly Not Agree (5) Disagree Applicable (3) (2) (1) (NA) 157 4018 4.35 64 0.86 2038 1356 301 102

(33.75%)

(50.72%)

(2.54%)

(7.49%)

(1.59%)

(3.91%)

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~

~ ANSWER MATRICES ~

9. I received adequate preparation from the prior courses in the curriculum to be successful in this course.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

Results for CS-2501-300										
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)		
26	3.58	1.46	7 (26.92%)	4 (15.38%)	4 (15.38%)	1 (3.85%)	3 (11.54%)	7 (26.92%)		

Results for	Results for SEAS, 2000-level courses											
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)				
3731	3.88	1.07	1032 (27.66%)	1338 (35.86%)	516 (13.83%)	251 (6.73%)	137 (3.67%)	457 (12.25%)				

10. The grading policy was fair.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

Results for	CS-2501-3	00, Tychoni	evich, Luthe	er				
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
26	4.46	0.51	12 (46.15%)	14 (53.85%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Results for	Results for SEAS, 2000-level courses											
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)				
4019	4.13	0.96	1629 (40.53%)	1621 (40.33%)	396 (9.85%)	200 (4.98%)	102 (2.54%)	71 (1.77%)				

11. The instructor responded adequately to in-class questions.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

Results fo	Results for CS-2501-300, Tychonievich, Luther										
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)			
26	4.69	0.47	18 (69.23%)	8 (30.77%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)			

Results for SEAS, 2000-level courses										
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)		
4010	4.32	0.86	1936 (48.28%)	1436 (35.81%)	287 (7.16%)	143 (3.57%)	46 (1.15%)	162 (4.04%)		

12. The instructor effectively used technology in support of the learning goals for this course.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

Results for CS-2501-300, Tychonievich, Luther									
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)	
26	4.81	0.40	21 (80.77%)	5 (19.23%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	

Results for SEAS, 2000-level courses										
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)		
4005	4.25	0.86	1746 (43.60%)	1555 (38.83%)	373 (9.31%)	107 (2.67%)	61 (1.52%)	163 (4.07%)		

13. The average number of hours per week I spent outside of class preparing for this course was:

Question Type: Multiple Choice

contributed by Office of the Provost

Results for CS-2501-300										
Total	Total Less than 1 (NA)		4 - 6 (NA)	7 - 9 (NA)	10 or more (NA)					
26	0 (0.00%)	5 (19.23%)	12 (46.15%)	5 (19.23%)	4 (15.38%)					

Results for SEAS, 2000-level courses										
Total	Less than 1	1 - 3	4 - 6	7 - 9	10 or more					
	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)					
3728	312	1118	1303	575	420					
	(8.37%)	(29.99%)	(34.95%)	(15.42%)	(11.27%)					

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~				~ ANSWER	MATRICES ~			
14. I learned a great deal in this course.	Results for	CS-2501-300)					
Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
contributed by Office of the Provost	26	4.73	0.45	19 (73.08%)	7 (26.92%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for SEAS, 2000-level courses							
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
	3723	4.18	0.93	1605 (43.11%)	1491 (40.05%)	405 (10.88%)	138 (3.71%)	84 (2.26%)
15. Overall, this was a worthwhile	Results for	CS-2501-300)					
course. Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
contributed by Office of the Provost	26	4.62	0.50	16 (61.54%)	10 (38.46%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS, 2000-	level courses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
	3723	4.13	1.00	1596 (42.87%)	1402 (37.66%)	432 (11.60%)	182 (4.89%)	111 (2.98%)
6. The course's goals and requirements	Results for	CS-2501-300), Tychonievic	h, Luther				
were defined and adhered to by the instructor.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
Question Type: Likert	26	4.58	0.50	15 (57.69%)	11 (42.31%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
contributed by Office of the Provost				(00070)	(1210170)	(0.0070)	(0.0070)	(0.0070)
		1	level courses	0			5.	0
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
	3994	4.28	0.81	1789 (44.79%)	1730 (43.31%)	335 (8.39%)	84 (2.10%)	56 (1.40%)
17. The instructor was approachable	Results for	CS-2501-300), Tychonievic	h Luther				
and made himself/herself available to students outside the classroom.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
Question Type: Likert contributed by Office of the Provost	26	4.73	0.45	19 (73.08%)	7 (26.92%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	(0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS, 2000-	level courses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
	4008	4.25	0.91	1914 (47.75%)	1443 (36.00%)	450 (11.23%)	127 (3.17%)	74 (1.85%)
18. Overall, the instructor was an	Results for	CS-2 <u>501-30</u> 0), Tychonievic	h, Luther				
effective teacher. ~ Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
contributed by Office of the Provost	26	4.73	0.45	19 (73.08%)	7 (26.92%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS. 2000-	level courses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
	4020	4.18	0.98	1845 (45.90%)	1436 (35.72%)	451 (11.22%)	174 (4.33%)	114 (2.84%)

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~		~ ANSWER MATRICES ~				
19. Please make any overall comments	Results for CS-2501-300					
or observations about this course:	Total	Individual Answers				
Question Type: Short Answer	11	See below for Individual Results				
contributed by Office of the Provost						
	Huzzah!					
	It was a good c	lass and I learned a lot.				
	were useful but quizzes which f	erial, good coverage of the material with informative and interesting lectures. Labs telt unfair in terms of credit hours. Grading was mostly fair with the exception of a few relt like they had not been proofread enough (grade was corrected from this but the ing to answer those questions was lost). Overall, a good course with good pacing and erial covered.				
	So far, this has been the course I have gotten the most out of here at UVA. While it is a challenging course, to teach a course like this without it being challenging would require it to go too slow to be worth much. Professor Tychonievich has been a great professor for this course. The labs, homeworks, and programming assignments are absolutely essential to the success of this class; without them, it's all just theory.					
	The English language is not adequate for me to speak highly enough about Professor Tycho He is, without a doubt in my mind, the single smartest person I have ever actually met in my an absolute genius who is truly inspiring to me, and he makes me want to do so much in my career. He is a fantastic lecturer, he is very accommodating, he clearly set up goals, he is thorganized person I have ever met, and he is very easy to talk to. Whenever I had to speak voffice hours, it felt very easy for me to speak to him and he was always very fair and helpful. makes it so incredibly easy to succeed, and he also makes me determined to succeed. I am that he is teaching COA 2 and I am very excited to continue learning from him. Professor Tychonievich, you are awesome and I am so grateful that you were professor of this course would be incredibly happy if you were to be my professor/teacher for the rest of my life. Tha much.					
	Professor Tychonievich is amazing but the TA's are not as amazing. (Alvin is great though he v best). If you end up behind in the course, it is very hard to catch up. The quizzes were necessa the amount they weigh in the course is perfect as they can be used as a learning tool. The labs necessary and should be kept when the pilot is launched.					
	Although at time	es difficult, I enjoyed this course and learned a great deal.				
	At times, getting	g into the Linux systems through no machine or ssh proved to be a nuisance.				
	none					
	I really enjoyed this course, especially because it was different from anything I had taken before. I material was all very new to me, but Professor Tychonievich did a good job of explaining it in a wa that I could understand. Also, he was very helpful when we came to office hours with questions. T grading for the course was very fair and the assignments helped solidify the course material. I like having a focus on learning instead of the points associated with an assignment.					
	all old material	naps too much material covered, with an expectation that mastery was achieved over regardless of any struggles that occurred while learning it. The shortcoming of this its very broad scope, it was a lot of material to learn, especially for the time and				