ENGR (16335)

INSTRUCTORS: Sherriff, Mark (mss2x)

Respondents: 92 / Enrollment: 100

Summary: CS 2110-002 Software Development Meth	ods - Fall 2009 (16335)							
Overall Course Rating		Overall Instructor Rating						
CS-2110-002 Mean 3 97		INSTRUCTOR: Sherriff, Mark						
CS-2110-002 Std Dev 0.95 CS-2110-002 Response Count 456		Std Dev 0.62 Response Count 635						
Difference from Category Mean, Expressed in	-2 -1 0 1 2	Difference from Category Mean, Expressed in						
Category Standard Deviations	-0.05	Category Standard Deviations						
	0.00	0.00						
SEAS, 2000-level courses Mean 4.01 SEAS, 2000-level courses Std Dev 0.91		SEAS, 2000-level courses Mean 4.22 SEAS, 2000-level courses Std Dev 0.86						
SEAS, 2000-level courses Response Count 11659		SEAS, 2000-level courses Response Count 17207						
~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~		~ ANSWER MAIRICES ~						
1. What lecture/topic(s) in this class "did not work" or were not seen as	Results for CS-2110-002	2, Sherriff, Mark						
useful in the long run?	78	See below for Individual Results						
Question Type: Short Answer								
contributed by Sherriff, Mark (mss2x)								
	Honestly Pair program	ning in the context of this class did not really work out well for me. I've done	e it					
	in the past in internship been faster to just finish	s and had it work really well but the assignments for this class would have a alone.						
	GUL would have liked t	o learn more about iava, or even another programming language before g	ui					
	It's boring.							
	I just hate coding in general. I wish that we had more direction on the homework at times. And it							
	increments instead of o	ne big due date.						
	TreesI can't see wher	I would need to know that information in the future.						
	Some of the software d	evelopment techniques						
	They all seemed pretty slightest	useful. There wasn't really anything that I thought was unneccessary in the	Э					
	Threading							
	Nodes/binarv trees.							
	No complaints.							
	I still have trouble unde	rstanding recursion/trees						
	Most were useful.							
	threading was not cove	red concretely in class						
	I don't think lectures on	trees were effective.						
	I think "trees" needed ju	ist a little bit of a more in-depth treatment.						
	I dont think I can compl desired result could hav assignment was suppo	ain all that much. some of the assignments could have been differently (th ve been accomplished in a different way), but it was understood what each sed to help us learn how to do.	IE					
	Everything seemed pre	tty relevant						
	SQL Injection Queries	were not very helpful.						
	Trees							
	Everything seemed to v	vork fairly well						
	All the lecture topics we	ere useful in the long run.						
	none, all worked.							

The information in this document is private and confidential. Please handle accordingly.

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~
	The Agile Development/Scrum lectures via the guest lecturers. They were hard to follow and ineffective. If they had been prefaced by a brief discussion in class, I believe the class would have gotten more out of them.
	They all seem somewhat useful
	none
	Debugging.
	Figuring out how to program swing (painfully) on our own. Also some of the in class activities were tedious and not particularly useful.
	Everything seemed useful to me. The only questionable material was all the graphing of time and whatnot, that was pointless.
	I still have problems with trees and complexity
	Trees, There has to be an in-class lab/programming involved to better learn the material. Operating System, It seems incoherent.
	Using subversion.
	Trees could have definitely been explained better. It probably didn't help that we started the topic with the guest lecture.
	NA
	everything seemed to work just fine
	Trees and maps. We used maps in 1 homework, but I don't understand why we used those as opposed to just arraylists. And trees, we never used, and I understand how a binary search tree works and how it's efficient but I still don't really know when I'd use one in a real program to actually make things ebtter.
	I can't think of any since I don't know enough of what will be useful in the long run, since CS has a broad range of topics.
	The unit on Threads/Threading was the odd one out; it didn't apply as relevently to the other material taught and therefore was more confusing than helpful.
	I found that the most useless lecture was the one from the guest speaker on trees. All of the methods that he shared with us were contradicted by the next lecture by Professor Sherriff, so it was kind of confusing.
	i don't remember any of them so
	-
	None
	None
	HashMaps
	None of them really
	complexity was really confusing
	None. Although some of the lectures weren't quite as effective as others, all of them will be useful in the long run. The only lectures I didn't like were the ones presented by other lecturers- the first Tree lecture and the SCRUM lecture, but Sherriff cleared up the confusion when he returned.
	I'm not sure enough of what will be useful in the long run to accurately answer that question.
	Comparable vs Comparator

Which one didn't work?

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~
	Everything we learned have some form of application to it that we may use in the future, so I do not think any of the topics were not seen useful.
	trees
	trees
	Paired homework were not useful because the stronger coder usually ended up the doing the most work.
	I was not a fan of the Agile programming sectionI think it makes sense to cover it, but I thought it was a lot of time spent on something that did not merit an entire class worth of explanation.
	All topics seemed to have relevancy.
	That guy that did the tree lecture wasn't very engaging.
	Threading was a little bit difficult to grasp
	-Binary trees were not effectively taught. I have minimal understanding of them. I'm not quite sure what went wrong hereComparable and comparator concepts could have been reinforced in someway
	Abstract Data Types will probably not be the most useful in the long run.
	I felt like all of topics were worthwhile.
	Threading.
	- Topic about Binary Tree
	The UML's seemed more tedious than helpful.
	I'm still a little confused about trees and recursion. Maybe a better way to teach those concepts would be great!
	The guest lecture on trees was a bit shaky I thought. Trees are the thing I'm most unclear on.
	Recursion just felt like a repeat from last semester, since we spent so much time on it then.
	All lecture topics were interesting and presented well.
	None.
	Graphical User interface because it is already so well developed in the real world.
	There was a guest lecture about binary trees that no one learned from and Prof Sherriff had to re- teach. Then I think he didn't do as good of a job "re-teaching" it, possibly because he thought we should've learned more from the guest lecture.
	The tree's lectures seemed irrelevant.
	CRC cars seem pretty pointless
	Most of the lectures were really useful to the class.
2 Which tonic/locture in this class do	
you think you will find the most useful	Total Individual Answers
in the future?	84 See below for Individual Results
Question Type: Short Answer	
contributed by Sherriff, Mark (mss2x)	
	The large group project will probably prove the most useful because it taught team software development methods.
	Internet security- though we had only a few lectures on it, I think if I increased my understanding of it I could use it in databasing at some point.
	Collections, threads, for each loops, class building
	Programming
	The five phases of development!!!

	CO 2110-002 Conware Development Methods - 1 all 20
~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~
	the overall strategy of coding a little, testing a little, in small bits.
	threading. I probably will take a couple later classes in CS such as OS which uses threading a ton.
	AIM client
	Learning new code
	Networking, Threading and IM Client Project
	I think the topic of complexity of a function is useful and the theories will apply to many other subjects where algorithms and processes are necessary and should be kept simple.
	dealing with classes: implementing, polymorphism, etc.
	All of the topics were useful.
	Everything except Trees and OS
	Threading
	Threads, networking, GUI development, basic java concepts
	Networking topics
	ADT
	learning about the actual processes of software development as opposed to just coding
	Networking or encryption
	the review we did at the beginning.
	Talking about Threads, and discussing how modern software development takes place were both useful concepts.
	I thought the Internet Security and Encrytpion lectures were the most useful.
	The last lectures - they are applicable and interesting.
	communication between classes
	Event Driven Programming, Agile Development, and Complexity will probably be the most useful in the future.
	none
	5 Stages of Developement
	GUI, Threading, Data Types and just objects and subclasses and all that good stuff
	A lot of the lectures were very interesting, but probably the five phases of development will be most useful to me as I am majoring in Systems
	Pair programming.
	Oject oriented programming, i didn't understand it at all until this class
	Event Driven Programming, ADTs, Inheritance
	Software development process. Algorithms Testing Documentation
	The software development methodology and agile development (for a systems and business major)
	Threading! This is fairly confusing but it was done in an approachable way.
	Topics of networking, encryption, and unit testing I feel will be most useful in the future
	The introduction to the software development life cycle, frameworks, and inheritance.
	Threads, GUI, and Internet Security.
	The entire design process, more advanced coding techniques
	The actual coding and experience.

Principles of design and testing are applicable in many fields besides computer science.

Page 4 of 18

The information in this document is private and confidential. Please handle accordingly.

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~
	still don't remember them
	Agile and other sprint based software development techniques
	Everything else seemed useful. We had to use everything that we learned in the assignments and project, so I think that was all good.
	Event-driven programming. GUI programming
	I think the 5 stages of software development will definitely be useful in the future. And I will always remember to "never trust your user!"
	Trees and hash maps
	Coding specific lectures will be most useful.
	Networking and OS
	Integration of separate classes
	- Basic knowledge of software development method, like design phases, black box and white box testing, J-unit testing
	Agile Development Methods Security / SQL Injection Attacks Internet / Packet switching
	everything
	The lectures on containers and abstract data classes will probably be the most useful.
	Complexity, Recursion, Testing, Threading
	The more advanced concepts of object orientation.
	The topics on Encapsulation/abstract data creation and the unit on user interfaces.
	No one lecture stands out, they all were helpful and covered important topics.
	Probably internet security
	um all of them?
	Probably the one on requirements.
	networking and how computers work together
	Most simple universal coding techniques and strategies of actions for approaching coding problems
	Internet security
	The one on trees and such.
	All the lectures were awesome. Professor Sherrff has been the best computer science professor I've had his lectures are awesome.
	5 phases of design, particularly testing and maintenance.
	threading and test cases
	I think that the lectures on the 5 Stages of Development will be most useful in the future.
	the networking
	The hacking/encryption lectures will be useful in my future courses in CPE.
	5 phases of software engineering.
	The method you use to develop software, more so than any particular idea in programming.
	event driven programming
	I wish I could tell the future
	Collections/General Programming techniques and the 5 phases of development/teamwork explored through group assignments.
	Software Development sector. The topics related to the real life situations.

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWE	ER MATRICES ~							
	It's not really a topic or lecture, but just the busir the phases of development, learning to work col remember and use the most.	ness-oriented aspect of this class in general such as laboratively, etc. are probably the things that I will							
	Internet security and networking.								
	Probably networking								
	I appreciated anecdotal references to subject m what I was learning in class mattered.	atters gone over in class. This gave me a feeling that							
	Those covering Collections, Maps, Listsect								
3. Which topic/lecture in this course was	esults for CS-2110-002, Sherriff, Mark								
your favorite and why:	Total Individual Answers 81 See below for Individual Results								
contributed by Sherriff, Mark (mss2x)									
	Internet Security								
	Event driven design, because it is a practical an	d user integrated design							
	Networking, and Internet Security. Those topics threads.	are more concrete compared to binary trees and							
	Learning how to use Jigloo to make GUIs, and link them with actual code.								
	Threads, because it showed what was going on in the computer on a deeper level than the rest programming I've learned so far. The SQL and encryption topics were also "fun"								
	Test cases								
	Internet Security/Encryption								
	object oriented programming, because it makes	coding efficient and clean							
	Encryption because it is the most interesting and	d the chase is fun							
	I thought the IM client was challenging and inter	esting							
	i liked too much of it to decide.								
	The above two, because that is the kind of thing	I would like to do for a job.							
	IM Client Project because it was the first time we	e built a complete practical program							
	I LOVED the encryption topic. Hacking was also	fun.							
	hacking. it was interesting and fun								
	The IM Client. It was just so much fun to put suc	ch a complex program together and see the result.							
	Encryption was my favorite because it was so in	teresting.							
	The Network Security lectures								
	the networking because i already knew all of it								
	The last lectures - they are applicable and intere-	esting.							
	Internet security because I had never been expo	osed to this before.							
	Trees. It was new and refreshing for me, plus I own programs.	was able to consider a new means of designing my							
	internet stuff, SQL attacks, etc., the encryption e I use the internet everyday it was cool to learn a attacks and the encryption stuff	extra credit assignment, they were fun and interesting. bout it and I liked the problem solving of the SQL							
	Trees because they're easy for me and interesti	ng.							
	SQL Attacks.								
	none								

	CS 2110-002 Software Development Methods - Pail 20
~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~
	The cipher/national treasure one. Obvious reasons. The only addition would have been to steal the Declaration of Independence.
	Inheritance; it showed me how to use other classes and its methods/fields without actually having to go out of your way to get them for use.
	see above
	hacking, because it was entertaining and its always fun to mess around with computers and feel like you're in a movie.
	GUIs. Its practical and applicable to many real life programs.
	the project, it felt good to accomplish something.
	Internet/Packet switching: I thought it was really interesting to learn about how the internet works. I didn't know any of that stuff before.
	Event Driven Programming
	sql injections because of the exposed vulnerability of programs and systems.
	Hacking by far
	Comparison, because it is so simple and takes only a few lines of code and is great for organization.
	Hacking because I had no idea how it worked before and now I have a basic understanding
	Recursion/Trees
	I don't know shoot. threads? Testing? Its all good stuff
	Understanding the concept of how a team manages a computer software project. I believe it is extremely useful, and helps us understand how it would be in a real work area. Learning new material, and collaboration. There shouldn't be any restrictions in resources, as in a real job.
	The encryption chase :)
	threadss
	Data Structures
	the SQL injection was fun, but that wasn't really a topic. I enjoyed binary trees.
	I really enjoyed the encryption scavenger hunt. I loved learning the material in a hands-on manner.
	Internet Stuff at the end as it is something that I never really learned much about in previous classes.
	Probably things involving classes, though the day when the book cover closed was pretty fantastic
	I enjoyed the topics of encryption and recursion and networking.
	hacking and internet security
	Networking
	Mining the IMDB and building our own mini-database. This was a really cool application of class topics.
	Encryption scavenger hunt! It was fun to get out of the classroom while still learning cs material. (Plus I felt like I was in a Dan Brown novel, which was really exciting)
	The lectures toward the end of the semester on networking, security, and threading were all interesting an exciting.
	I enjoyed learning about internet security; the video of the hacking was one of the most interesting I have seen.
	Internet Security because it was something that was immediately related to our lives right now.
	Internet security- I got to be a hacker for a day!
	Internet security- hacking awesomesoft was both fun and helpful to learn the material.
	OS because I didn't know much about it until this course.
	SQL injections. It was good to learn how easy it is to hack and how important it is to secure your information.

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~									
	The hacking	g at the end v	vas fun							
	Internet Sec	curity and Cry	/ptography i j	ust find the m	ost interesting	g.				
	Hacking an	d Network Se	ecurity, becau	se it is cool.						
	SQL injection	ons, pretty int	eresting how	that works						
	The hacking	g, encryption	part at the er	id was my fav	orite because	e it is fun to m	e.			
	I found the heard of or	last few lectu encountered	res the most in our use of	interesting be the computer	cause they a	pplied to thing	gs that most o	f us had		
	The very first lectures, because I actually remembered most of it from CS 101									
	 the project for the sense of satisfaction of having completed it and getting a decent grade. The period of time actually doing the project was not so much my favorite. Network Security was a good lecture. Trying to hack into the Awesomesoft site was interesting. It introduced us to SQL injections. Encryption Activity was also fun. Everything but trees and OS 									
	The one about hacking websites, although I would've liked a few more lectures about it. I think it is interesting, and showed us a different language of coding as well as some different (although sometimes harmful) uses of CS.									
	Threads. I don't know why, but I just really liked it.									
	The pumpkin lecture was my favorite.									
	I loved all o	f them excep	t for threading	j .						
	review beca	ause I knew i	t so well							
	Learning at	oout SQL inje	ction attacks	because it wa	as interesting	to learn how	to hack a web	osite.		
	I liked the ir information	nternet securi . I also liked	ity because it the encryption	gave me mor n lecture bec	e information ause it was fu	on how to be In.	tter secure m	у		
	The hacking learning ho everything o	g part. Teach w to code soi can be taugh	a hacking cla mething, then t that way, bu	ass, please! It figuring out h t it was my fa	wasn't just le now to be "de vorite.	earning how to vious" with it.	o code someti Of course, no	ning. It was st		
	Cryptograp	hy by far. I've	e always beer d in a class s	n really intere	sted in crypto eing at UVa.	, and the puz	zle we did the	last week		
	I did not ha	ve a favorite.	I liked all the	lectures over	all.					
	Internet sec	curity was my	favorite beca	use the lectu	re was intera	ctive and use	ful.			
4. How accurate is this statement for	Results for	CS-2110-002	Sherriff Mar	k						
you: The project helped me better understand the phases and intricacies of software development	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)		
Ouestion Type: Likert	91	4.24	0.78	36 (39,56%)	45 (49,45%)	7 (7,69%)	2 (2,20%)	1 (1.10%)		
contributed by Sherriff, Mark (mss2x)						((0,0)	(
	Results for S	Mean	Std Dov	Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagroc	Strongly		
	IOTAI	wean	Siu Dev	Agree (5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	Disagree (1)		
	172 4.15 0.79 60 85 21 5 1 (34.88%) (49.42%) (12.21%) (2.91%) (0.58%)									

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~				~ ANSWER I	MATRICES ~					
5. How accurate is this statement for	Results for CS-2110-002, Sherriff, Mark									
you: The project was of acceptable difficulty.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)		
Question Type: Likert	91	4.32	0.68	37	48	5	0	1		
contributed by Sherriff, Mark (mss2x)				(40.66%)	(52.75%)	(5.49%)	(0.00%)	(1.10%)		
	Results for S	SEAS, 2000-I	evel courses							
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)		
	171	4.15	0.79	56 (32.75%)	94 (54.97%)	12 (7.02%)	8 (4.68%)	1 (0.58%)		
6. How accurate is this statement for	Results for (CS-2110-002	Sherriff, Mar	k						
you: The project was of acceptable length.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)		
Question Type: Likert	92	4.18	0.77	33	47	8	4	0		
contributed by Sherriff, Mark (mss2x)				(35.87%)	(51.09%)	(8.70%)	(4.35%)	(0.00%)		
	Results for S	SEAS, 2000-I	evel courses							
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)		
	173	4.09	0.78	50 (28.90%)	98 (56.65%)	15 (8.67%)	10 (5.78%)	0 (0.00%)		
7. How accurate is this statement for	Results for (CS-2110-002	Sherriff Mar	k						
you: Pair Programming helped me learn the material better.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree		
Question Type: Likert \sim	92	3.75	1.14	30	26	22	11	3		
contributed by Sherriff, Mark (mss2x)				(32.61%)	(28.26%)	(23.91%)	(11.96%)	(3.26%)		
	Results for SEAS, 2000-level courses									
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)		
	173	3.72	1.25	59 (34.10%)	50 (28.90%)	33 (19.08%)	18 (10.40%)	13 (7.51%)		
8. How accurate is this statement for	Results for (CS-2110-002	Sherriff. Mar	k						
you: After taking this class, I personally have a better understanding of fundamental concepts in Computer	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)		
Science.	90	4.36	0.66	41 (45.56%)	40 (44.44%)	9 (10.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)		
	Results for S	SEAS. 2000-I	evel courses							
contributea by Sherryj, Mark (mss2x)	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)		
	169	4.34	0.70	77 (45.56%)	74 (43.79%)	16 (9.47%)	2 (1.18%)	0 (0.00%)		
9. How accurate is this statement for	Results for (CS-2110-002	Sherriff, Mar	k						
you: After taking this class, I have a better appreciation for Computer Science.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)		
Question Type: Likert	92	4.14	0.82	35 (38 04%)	38 (41,30%)	16 (17.39%)	3	0		
contributed by Sherriff. Mark (mss2x)					(11.0070)	(11.0070)	(0.2070)	(0.0070)		
······································	Results for S	SEAS, 2000-I	evel courses	<u> </u>		NI 2 1	D			
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)		
	173	4.08	0.92	64 (36.99%)	72 (41.62%)	27 (15.61%)	7 (4.05%)	3 (1.73%)		

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~					~ .	ANS	WER MA	TRICES	~			
10. How accurate is this statement for	Results for CS-2110-002, Sherriff, Mark											
you: After taking this class, I am more likely to major or minor in CS.	Total	Mean		Std De	ev :	Stror Agr (5	ngly ree	Agree (4)	N	eutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
Question Type: Likert	92	3.45		1.28		24		23	(0)	24	12	9
contributed by Sherriff, Mark (mss2x)						(26.09%)		25.00%)	(26	5.09%)	(13.04%)	(9.78%)
	Results for	SEAS, 200	0-leve	el cour	ses							
	Total	wean		Std De	ev :	Stror Agr (5	ngly ee	Agree (4)	N	eutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
	173	3.27		1.32		39 22.5	9 4%) (2	40 23.12%)	(26	45 6.01%)	26 (15.03%)	23 (13.29%)
11. How accurate is this statement for	Results for	Results for CS-2110-002, Sherriff, Mark										
you if you used the podcasts from this class: Podcasts were useful to catch up on material that I missed due to	Total	Mean	Std	Dev	Stron Agre (5)	trongly Agree (5)		Ne (utral 3)	Disagre (2)	ee Strong Disagro (1)	ly Not Applicable (NA)
absences.	91	4.02	0.	98	22 (24.18	3%)	25 (27.47%	(12.	11 09%)	2 (2.20%	6) 2 (2.20%	29 (31.87%)
	Results for	SEAS. 200)0-leve	el cour	ses							
contributea by Snerriff, Mark (mss2x)	Total	Mean	Std	Dev	Stron Agre	gly e	Agree (4)	Ne (utral 3)	Disagre (2)	ee Strong Disagro	ly Not Applicable (NA)
	171	3.98	0.	97	34 (19.88	3%)	46 (26.90%	5) (9.9	17 94%)	5 (2.92%	6) (1.75%	66 (38.60%)
12. How accurate is this statement for	Results for	CS-2110-0	02, SI	nerriff,	Mark							
you if you used the podcasts from this class: The podcasts were useful to review material that I was unclear on.	Total	Mean	Std	Dev	ev Strongly Agree (5)		Agree (4)	Ne (utral 3)	Disagre (2)	ee Strong Disagro (1)	ly Not Applicable (NA)
Question Type: Likert	90	4.03	0.	85	18 (20.00%)		28 (31.11%	6) (12.	11 22%)	1 (1.11%	6) (1.119	31 (34.44%)
contributed by Sherriff, Mark (mss2x)	Results for SEAS, 2000-level courses											
	Total	Mean	Std	Dev	Stron Agre (5)	gly e	Agree (4)	Ne (utral 3)	Disagre (2)	ee Strong Disagro (1)	ly Not Applicable (NA)
	170	3.90	0.	86	23 (13.53	3%)	54 (31.76%) (11.	19 18%)	4 (2.35%	6) (1.18%	68 6) (40.00%)
13. How often did you listen to the	Results for	CS-2110-0)02. SI	nerriff.	Mark							
podcast for a lecture? Question Type: Multiple Choice contributed by Sherriff, Mark (mss2x)	Total	Every I (N/	ecture A)	ure Nearly e lectur (NA)		ry r	Wheneve needed eview a to (NA)	er I Oi to r opic	nly whe missed class (NA)	enlF aju w	Randomly ust to see /hat it was like (NA)	Never (NA)
	92	2		3			22		24		10	31
		(2.1)	(%)	(3.26%		%) (23.91%		(26.09%		%) ((10.87%)	(33.70%)
	Results for	SEAS, 200	0-leve	el cour	ses							
	lotal	Every I (N/	ecture A)		iriy eve ecture (NA)	very Whene e neede review a (NA		to r pic	I Only when I missed a ic class (NA)		randomly ust to see /hat it was like (NA)	Never (NA)
	173	2 (1.16	5%)	(4	7 4.05%)		34 (19.65%	ъ́) (46 (26.59%)		22 (12.72%)	62 (35.84%)
14. Do you have any	Results for	CS-2110-0)02, SI	nerriff,	Mark							
suggestions/comments that we should take into account for future projects for this course?	Total 52					S	Indi See below	vidual A v for Indi	nswers vidual i	s Results		
Question Type: Short Answer	L											
contributed by Sherriff, Mark (mss2x)												
	Doing mor better rein	re hands co forcement	oding i of the	n class materi	s. Lab i al learn	is ve ned.	ry effectiv	ve, but c	oding i	n class n	nay provide	more and

~ OUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~
	Maybe make the teams 3 person teams. If a member of the team was not the software architect, manager, or gui designer he/she did not learn the material or contribute as much to the overall project. It would make it more of a learning experience for everyone in the group if the teams were one person smaller.
	I would encourage more lectures on how to handle swing and event driven programming. It was kind of difficult to grasp the concepts of it in the abstract and then apply it.
	Spread out the CS majors a little more; my group did not have anyone in it with a lot of experience so it was very difficult to complete.
	The project groups seemed to be well thought out, but try and make it a four person group as often as possible since a know a few three person groups that just didnt work at all.
	None
	n/a
	We were only able to complete the project successfully due to one of our member's extensive computer science knowledge. Future projects should be designed so that everyone has the ability to do all parts of the project
	Better/more detailed requirements
	nope
	nope
	No
	NO
	Do _not_ give people more time for the big project because they will waste it. There was plenty of time.
	The SMACK API was not friendly.
	The projects we did were fine.
	Keep them!
	Maybe just defining the roles of group members more clearly so that everyone has a specific task to complete.
	Pair people with similar ambition instead of top students with bottom students
	project was interesting
	Using the self evaluations to pair partners. So that there will be a balance of not so good people and experts on a team. I feel that my team had two people who has no idea, and two people who had little idea. I spent a lot of my time on the project, causing lack of sleep and did poorly on exams for other classes.
	Less GUI
	Make sure students know not to leave it to the last minute.
	The project was great the only suggestion would be to find a way to incorperate more of the material from that section of the semester, such as some of the specific data-types. I definitely does reinforce the earlier topics of large-scale development.
	See the Project Feedback notecards
	The project was acceptable length. It's definitely easy for certain people to do a lot less work though.
	no
	none
	none

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~			~ AN	SWER MATRIC	CES ~					
	l would chan compromised we would hav up for other g	ge the way tear l of two strong p ve worked hard proup members	n members are programmers a er on making co	assigned. It se nd two weak pro ool features for	eems as though ogrammers. M the program if v	each team wa y friends and I we were not for	s thought that ced to make			
	Ideal groups	of 3 instead of	4.							
	A game like s	scrabble or sude	oku would be fu	ın!!						
	A little less of the tedious work such as test coding and teaching ourselves swing would be good.									
	It would have been nice to know what features are worth what before the end. (beyond what was given this semester)									
	I got a 92 on the project having only met with my group of three people the day it was due and I don't consider any group member a "great programmer."									
	Try to develop a timelime, such as what things we should try to finish. Do a more hands-on (code example) demonstration of what the project is about. It seemed as if were just thrown in the project and forced to learn about GUIS, packets, and chatmanager in the beginning which made the project feel like it was moving very slowly in the beginning (in terms of progress).									
	None.									
	Better project groups assignments									
	-									
	-									
	possibly brea a HW instead urgency	k the project in d of giving the s	to two phases, tudents the res	with graded mile ponsibility of cre	estones altho eating their owr	ugh this makes timeframes ar	it more like Id sense of			
	Some groups struggle.	s had people wh	no were really g	jood at CS and	finished very qu	uickly, others h	ad to			
	Assignments the blank" co	should be more ding is pretty m	e like the projec iindless :-/	ct in that the sof	tware should a	ctually be deve	loped. "fill in			
	The project w help out on th little difficult t the project.	vas well structur ne coding after o work across t	red. However, o I had spent two asks. A short ir	due to the nature weeks intensiv htro to Jigloo wo	e of the tasks, i ely working on ould have been	t was sometime Jigloo. Basicall helpful prior to	es difficult to y, it was a beginning of			
	If there's any would be awe	way to think of esome, but that	something fun 's tough to do.	to build that will	l be fun to use a	after the due da	ate, that			
	MORE DEAD	DLINES!!!!!!!!!!!!								
	A basic socia	I networking sit	te (like facebool	k, myspace, or t	twitter except u	sing a private s	erver)			
	I would have online. Some	preferred a vide things referred	eo podcast of th I to in class wer	ne lecture, or ha re hard to under	aving material/s stand with just	lides used in cl audio.	ass posted			
15 During the project have many have										
per week did you dedicate specifically to	Results for CS	0-2	erriff, Mark 3-5	6-8	9-12	13-16	17 or more			
project work?	92	(NA) 7	(NA) 52	(NA) 23	(NA) 5	(NA) 2	(NA) 3			
Question Type: Multiple Choice		(7.61%)	(56.52%)	(25.00%)	(5.43%)	(2.17%)	(3.26%)			
contributed by Sherrig, WUIK (MSS2X)	Results for SE	AS, 2000-level	courses							
	Total	0-2 (NA)	3-5 (NA)	6-8 (NA)	9-12 (NA)	13-16 (NA)	17 or more (NA)			
	173	19 (10.98%)	95 (54.91%)	43 (24.86%)	10 (5.78%)	3 (1.73%)	3 (1.73%)			

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~								
16. The subject matter was challenging.	Results for	CS-2110-0)02						
Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	92	3.89	0.64	(5) 11 (11.96%)	63 (68.48%)	15 (16.30%)	3 (3.26%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
				, , , ,	, , , ,				
	Results for	SEAS, 200	0-level cou	rses	•	N 1	D:	<u> </u>	N 1 /
	Iotai	Mean	Std Dev	Agree (5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	Disagree (1)	Applicable (NA)
	2338	4.08	0.78	694 (29.68%)	1223 (52.31%)	323 (13.82%)	84 (3.59%)	9 (0.38%)	5 (0.21%)
17. The objectives of the course were	Results for	CS-2110-0	02						
clearly stated and accomplished.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering	92	4.33	0.63	(5) 37 (40,22%)	49 (53.26%)	5	1 (1.09%)	0	(NA) 0 (0.00%)
ana Appliea Science		0540.000		(1012270)	(00.2070)	(011070)	(110070)	(010070)	(0.00,0)
	Total	SEAS, 200	Std Dov	Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly	Not
	TOTAL	Mean	Sid Dev	Agree (5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	Disagree (1)	Applicable (NA)
	2328	4.17	0.76	789 (33.89%)	1242 (53.35%)	212 (9.11%)	63 (2.71%)	18 (0.77%)	4 (0.17%)
18. There was a reasonable level of	Results for	CS-2110-0	02						
effort expected for the credit hours received.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable
Question Type: Likert	91	4.10	0.87	28 (30.77%)	53 (58.24%)	3 (3.30%)	5 (5.49%)	2 (2.20%)	0 (0.00%)
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	Desults for				(()	((,	
	Total	SEAS, 200 Mean	Std Dev	Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly	Not
	Total	wear	Old Dev	Agree (5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	Disagree (1)	Applicable (NA)
	2330	4.03	0.96	746 (32.02%)	1190 (51.07%)	174 (7.47%)	144 (6.18%)	71 (3.05%)	5 (0.21%)
19. The homework assignments helped	Results for	CS-2110-0	02						
me learn the subject matter.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering	90	4.37	0.63	(5) 39 (43.33%)	46 (51.11%)	4 (4.44%)	1 (1.11%)	(1) 0 (0.00%)	(NA) 0 (0.00%)
ana Appilea Science					, ,	, ,			
	Results for	SEAS, 200	0-level cou	rses	•	N ()	D:	O (1	NI /
	Iotal	Mean	Std Dev	Agree (5)	Agree (4)	(3)	(2)	Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
	2329	4.16	0.82	829 (35.59%)	1076 (46.20%)	253 (10.86%)	83 (3.56%)	16 (0.69%)	72 (3.09%)
20. The textbook increased my	Results for	CS-2110-0	02						
understanding of the material.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering	91	3.07	1.27	(3) 11 (12.09%)	23 (25.27%)	23 (25.27%)	13 (14.29%)	13 (14.29%)	(INA) 8 (8.79%)
απα Αρριτεά στιεπικε	Poculto for	SEV8 200							/
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly	Aaree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly	Not
	, Jiai			Agree (5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	Disagree (1)	Applicable (NA)
	2334	3.56	1.10	379 (16.24%)	811 (34.75%)	461 (19.75%)	210 (9.00%)	122 (5.23%)	351 (15.04%)

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~				~ ANS	WER MATR	ICES ~			
21. The course material was well	Results for	CS-2110-0	02, Sherriff	, Mark					
Organized and developed. Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	89	4.51	0.57	47 (52.81%)	41 (46.07%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (1.12%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS, 200	0-level cou	rses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
	2448	4.14	0.87	914 (37.34%)	1120 (45.75%)	271 (11.07%)	106 (4.33%)	31 (1.27%)	6 (0.25%)
22. The instructor was knowledgeable	Results for	CS-2110-0	02, Sherriff	, Mark					
about the subject matter. Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	91	4.76	0.46	70 (76.92%)	20 (21.98%)	1 (1.10%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS, 200	0-level cou	rses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
	2457	4.59	0.64	1606 (65.36%)	723 (29.43%)	89 (3.62%)	22 (0.90%)	8 (0.33%)	9 (0.37%)
23. The instructor was well prepared	Results for	CS-2110-0	02. Sherriff	Mark					
for class. Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	91	4.69	0.49	64 (70.33%)	26 (28.57%)	1 (1.10%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS. 200	0-level cou	rses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
	2461	4.41	0.75	1303 (52.95%)	922 (37.46%)	156 (6.34%)	50 (2.03%)	13 (0.53%)	17 (0.69%)
24. The instructor (not Teaching	Results for	CS-2110-0	02, Sherriff	, Mark					
Assistants) was accessible for individual assistance.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
Question Type: Likert contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering	91	4.32	0.75	41 (45.05%)	36 (39.56%)	9 (9.89%)	2 (2.20%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (3.30%)
and Applied Science	Results for	SEAS, 200	0-level cou	rses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
	2465	4.18	0.82	935 (37.93%)	972 (39.43%)	342 (13.87%)	60 (2.43%)	15 (0.61%)	141 (5.72%)
25. The grading policy was fair.	Results for	CS-2110-0	02, Sherriff	Mark					
Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
and Applied Science	91	4.32	0.65	38 (41.76%)	44 (48.35%)	9 (9.89%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS, 200	0-level cou	rses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
	2459	3.99	0.92	748 (30.42%)	1153 (46.89%)	336 (13.66%)	157 (6.38%)	40 (1.63%)	25 (1.02%)

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~						~ ANS	WER M	1ATRI	CES ~				
26. The instructor responded	Results for	CS-21	10-002	, Sherriff,	, Mar	k							
adequately to in-class questions.	Total	Mea	in S	Std Dev	Str A	ongly gree (5)	Agı (4	ree ŀ)	Neutra (3)	l Disa (2	gree ?)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Applicable (NA)
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	91	4.59		0.52	(60	55 35 50.44%) (38.4		5 1 6%) (1.10%)		0 (0.00	0 (0.00%)		0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS	. 2000-l	evel cour	rses								
	Total	Mea	in S	Std Dev	Str A	ongly gree (5)	Agı (2	ee)	Neutra (3)	I Disag (2	gree ?)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Applicable (NA)
	2458	4.3	1	0.76	1 (44	090 .34%)	10 (43.9	80 94%)	190 (7.73%	58) (2.36	8 6%)	13 (0.53%)	27 (1.10%)
27. As a teacher, this instructor was	Results for	CS-21	10-002	, Sherriff,	Mar	k							
better than most others in this School. Question Type: Likert	Total	Mea	in S	Std Dev	Str A	ongly gree (5)	Agı (4	ree ŀ)	Neutra (3)	I Disa (2	gree ?)	Strongly Disagree (1)	e Not Applicable (NA)
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	91	4.3	4	0.69	(46	42 .15%)	3 (40.6	7 66%)	11 (12.09%	0 6) (0.00) 0%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (1.10%)
	Results for	SEAS	, 2000-l	evel cour	rses								
	Total	Mea	in S	Std Dev	Str A	ongly gree (5)	Agı (4	ee })	Neutra (3)	l Disa (2	gree ?)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Applicable (NA)
	2459	3.9	1	1.03	ہ (33	318 .27%)	85 (34.8	58 59%)	510 (20.74%	16 5) (6.6	64 7%)	65 (2.64%)	44 (1.79%)
28. The average number of hours per	Results for	CS-21	10-002										
week I spent outside of class preparing for this course was:	Total	Less (N		than 1 IA)	1 - 3 (NA)			4 - 6 (NA)		7 - 9 (NA)			10 or more (NA)
Question Type: Multiple Choice	91	7 (7.69		7 9%)		63 (69.23%)		18 (19.78%)		(1	(1.10%)		2 (2.20%)
contributed by Office of the Provost	Results for	SEAS	2000-1	evel cour	rses								
	Total	Less th		than 1		1 - 3 (NA)			4 - 6 (NA)		7 - 9 (NA)		10 or more (NA)
	2337		154 (6.59%)			858 (36.71%	6)	(3	914 9.11%)	(1	291 2.45%	6)	120 (5.13%)
29. I learned a great deal in this course.	Results for	CS-21	10-002										
Question Type: Likert	Total	М	Mean Std		ev	v Strongly Agree (5)		Ag (jree 4)	Neutral (3)	[Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree
contributed by Office of the Provost	89	4	.26	0.65	5	31 (34.8	, I 3%)	58. (58.	52 43%)	4 (4.49%)		2 (2.25%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS	, 2000-l	evel cour	rses								
	Total	М	ean	Std De	ev	Stror Agro (5)	ngly ee)	Ag (jree 4)	Neutral (3)	0	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
	2322	4	.14	0.83	}	83 (36.0	, 7 5%)	11 (47.	l 14 98%)	259 (11.15%))	92 (3.96%)	20 (0.86%)
30. Overall, this was a worthwhile	Results for	CS-21	10-002										
course. Question Type: Likert	Total	М	Mean St		ev Strongl Agree (5)		ngly Ag ree (- 5)		jree 4)	Neutral (3)	1	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
\sim contributed by Office of the Provost	90	4	.38	0.59)	39 (43.3) 3%)	ے (51.	46 11%)	5 (5.56%)		0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS	, 20 <u>00-</u> I	evel cour	rses								
	Total	M	ean	Std De	ev	Stron Agro (5)	ngly ee)	Ag (jree 4)	Neutral (3)	[Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
	2330	4	.11	0.91		88 (37.7	0 7%)	10 (44)36 46%)	251 (10.77%))	126 (5.41%)	37 (1.59%)

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~				~ ANSWER I	MATRICES ~				
31. The course's goals and requirements	Results for (<u>~</u> S-2110-002	Sherriff Mar	ŀk					
were defined and adhered to by the instructor.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	
Question Type: Likert	91	4.42	0.54	40 (43.96%)	49 (53.85%)	2 (2.20%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	
	Results for S	SEAS 2000-1	evel courses						
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	
	2452	4.23	0.70	882 (35.97%)	1303 (53.14%)	218 (8.89%)	43 (1.75%)	6 (0.24%)	
32. The instructor was approachable	Results for (CS-2110-002	. Sherriff. Mar	k					
and made himself/herself available to students outside the classroom.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	
Question Type: Likert	91	4.25	0.77	38 (41.76%)	41 (45.05%)	9 (9.89%)	3 (3.30%)	0 (0.00%)	
	Results for S	SEAS 2000-I	evel courses						
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	
	2458	4.18	0.81	949 (38.61%)	1092 (44.43%)	340 (13.83%)	61 (2.48%)	16 (0.65%)	
33. Overall, the instructor was an	Results for (CS-2110-002	. Sherriff. Mar	'k					
effective teacher. Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree	
contributed by Office of the Provost	91	4.58	0.54	55 (60.44%)	34 (37.36%)	2 (2.20%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	
	Results for	SEAS 2000-1							
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	
	2469	4.19	0.88	1034 (41.88%)	1030 (41.72%)	276 (11.18%)	95 (3.85%)	34 (1.38%)	
34. Please make any overall comments	Results for (CS-2110-002							
or observations about this course:	Total				Individual Ans	swers			
Question Type: Short Answer	42 See below for Individual Results								
contributed by Office of the Provost									
	- A good cla	ass with a fur	nny professor.						
	Sherriff is awesome. I feel like he actually works with the stuff he's teaching and isn't just trying to spit out things that are in the book. I pick up on things very quickly with his teaching style.								
	The podcasts are great, except for when someone asks a question. It would be great if Professor Sherriff repeated the question asked by the student because sometimes you cant hear what other students say even if you are in the room.								
	loved it!								
	Mark Sherriff is one of the best professors I've had at UVa. I hated CS101 and he completely changed my hatred of CS. This is now one of the classes I look forward to most. However, I do think we should credit for lab; it's ridiculous that this is only a 3 credit course when we also have a 1hr 45min lab along with it.								
	This was a favorite clas	great course ss this semes	, and the Instr ster, and help	ructor was gre ed me decide	eat, enthusias to declare a	tic, and know major in Com	ledgeable. Th puter Science	nis was my e.	
	I would love to take the next CS course, but I can't because I have not and will not take discrete math. Does anyone in the CS department really believe that discrete math is necessary to the understanding of CS 2150? That is ridiculous. I think the department should revise this requirement, because it is keeping non CS majors and minors out of every CS class above 2110.								
	Great teach	ning, by far th	e most intere	sting class of	my semester				

	·
~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~
	n/a
	I never thought I would enjoy this class as much as I did, thank you Professor Sherriff.
	Great course! Even better because it was taught at a level where everyone could understand and instructor always made sure the students understood the material above anything. PairEval wasn't always the most ideal thing, but it was a good representation of what to expect in the real world of software engineering.
	Professor sherriff is to date the best teacher I've had at the University. I wish I had the chance to take one of his classes again. His analogies make things so easy to stand even for non computer gurus, and I can get an A in his class with only a B- on the final, because i put the work into it. Great class and great teacher.
	Good class
	Good class
	It was great having you as a professor and I look forward to having you again later in the major.
	Even though I'm no expert and don't consider myself that great in computer science. I still want to learn more. I want to be an expert in computer science. It never ceases to grad my interest. Mark Sherriff made if fun. However, some things just didn't register. I think that people that did extremely well were people that had prior experience. It probably isn't possible to really teach coding, I guess it just requires a lot of practice, and too much time that we don't have.
	Professor Sherriff was very accessible during office hours but I often felt that I was receiving a cold shoulder from him while I was there. His personality in class however was very receptive and warm.
	Great lecturer, one of the few classes that wasn't painfully boring to sit through.
	I like the instructor's attitude, and the way that CS became interesting again!
	the professor is amazing his only problem is that during his office hours his personality changes and he's kind of meanand i felt intimidated sometimes
	Interesting class material, not always particularly engaging assignments
	This course was enjoyable for me, and useful in my major studies.
	Professor Sherriff was one of the best teachers I've had at UVA.
	Loved this course and Professor Sherriff!! I learned a lot and think it is definitely worth taking.
	none
	The Five Phases of Software Development are: 1.) Requirements 2.) Design 3.) Implementation 4.) Testing 5.) Maintenance
	It really articulated the development process and not just code.
	This class should be at least 4 credits because the lab takes 2 hours EACH week plus the 3 hours of lecture.
	Mr. Mark Sherriff is 100% AMAZING!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
	Mark Sherriff is a pro
	I really enjoyed learning CS 2110 with Professor Sherriff. He is a great professor who can explain difficult concepts very well and is an extremely nice individual to work with. I do have a few suggestions for improvement: Giving the answers to textbook exercises can allow students to practice questions and gain more experience with programming. Coordinating the Homeworks to go more with the textbook material would help. (For example, it would better to completely read a section on a topic and then start the homework, if the section was in the book.) Other than that, this course has been extremely enjoyable.
	None.
	Great enthusiasm by Sherriff made the material more bearable.
	Great session, no complaints.
	good teacher
	He is enthusiastic about Computer Science and it comes across in his lectures. He is helpful (and entertaining). I would recommend him to my peers.

The information in this document is private and confidential. Please handle accordingly.

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~
	Great course. Enjoyed the programs we had to write.
	Sherriff was a little unapproachable outside the classroom because he seemed like he didn't want to help. That's probably the reason the TAs are there. Otherwise I thought the course was very organized and developed and streamlined to be exactly what we needed to know for a CS 200 level course.
	He made class fun and entertaining. If I get the opportunity to have him as a professor again, I will take that opportunity. Also, the WoW jokes and other related stories are fun.
	I felt at some times, too much time was spent answering questions that didn't really have to deal with the specific topics we were learning at the time (threading, scanner class, hash map). When we were talking about operating systems and listing different kinds, I felt time could have been more appropriately used. I feel as if for the homeworks, there was not enough example code being given and explained to us. I know that the professor tried to explain it to us in words, but I feel that there was not enough example code shown to us when we were learning about hash map, hash set, and other topics. Also, it would have been better if we were given sample tests to take to better prepare us for the test.
	Nodes and binary search trees are tricky. More time needs to be spent on them in the future and not just by a guest lecturer. Also the guest lecturers were sorta boring/ hard to follow.