CS 4720-001 Web and Mobile Systems - Fall 2012

ENGR (18674)

INSTRUCTORS: Pisharoty, Devika Narayan (dnp6ga) - Sherriff, Mark (mss2x) - Xiao, Chi (cx5cq)

Respondents: 43 / Enrollment: 64

Summary: CS 4720-001 Web and Mobile Systems - Fall 2012 (18674)

Overall Course Rating

CS-4720-001 Mean 4.26 CS-4720-001 Std Dev 0.76 CS-4720-001 Response Count 211

Difference from Category Mean, Expressed in Category Standard Deviations

0.18

Overall Instructor Rating

INSTRUCTOR: Sherriff, Mark Mean 4.55

Std Dev 0.53 Response Count 301

Difference from Category Mean, Expressed in Category Standard Deviations

0.43

SEAS, 4000-level courses Mean 4.10 SEAS, 4000-level courses Std Dev 0.88

SEAS, 4000-level courses Std Dev 0.66 SEAS, 4000-level courses Response Count 8350 SEAS, 4000-level courses Mean 4.18 SEAS, 4000-level courses Std Dev 0.87

SEAS, 4000-level courses Response Count 19935

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~

1. How accurate is this statement for you: The project was of acceptable length.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Sherriff, Mark (mss2x)

~ ANSWER MATRICES ~

Results for CS-4720-001, Sherriff, Mark								
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	
43	4.42	0.66	21 (48.84%)	20 (46.51%)	1 (2.33%)	1 (2.33%)	0 (0.00%)	

Results for	Results for SEAS, 4000-level courses									
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)			
43	4.42	0.66	21 (48.84%)	20 (46.51%)	1 (2.33%)	1 (2.33%)	0 (0.00%)			

2. How accurate is this statement for you: The project was of acceptable difficulty.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Sherriff, Mark (mss2x)

Results for (Results for CS-4720-001, Sherriff, Mark										
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)				
43	4.51	0.63	24 (55.81%)	18 (41.86%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (2.33%)	0 (0.00%)				

Results for SEAS, 4000-level courses										
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)			
43	4.51	0.63	24 (55.81%)	18 (41.86%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (2.33%)	0 (0.00%)			

3. How accurate is this statement for you: The project helped me better understand the phases and intricacies of software development.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Sherriff, Mark (mss2x)

Results for (Results for CS-4720-001, Sherriff, Mark									
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)			
43	4.40	0.73	22 (51.16%)	17 (39.53%)	3 (6.98%)	1 (2.33%)	0 (0.00%)			

Results for SEAS, 4000-level courses									
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)		
43	4.40	0.73	22 (51.16%)	17 (39.53%)	3 (6.98%)	1 (2.33%)	0 (0.00%)		

~ ANSWER MATRICES ~

4. So tell me... how awesome was this class?

Question Type: Multiple Choice contributed by Sherriff, Mark (mss2x)

Results for CS-4	Results for CS-4720-001, Sherriff, Mark									
Total	Incredibly awesome (NA)	Overwhelmingly awesome (NA)	Simply awesome (NA)	Lacking of awesome (NA)	Devoid of awesome (NA)					
43	24 (55.81%)	12 (27.91%)	6 (13.95%)	1 (2.33%)	0 (0.00%)					

Results for SEA	S, 4000-level cou	rses			
Total	Incredibly awesome (NA)	Overwhelmingly awesome (NA)	Simply awesome (NA)	Lacking of awesome (NA)	Devoid of awesome (NA)
43	24 (55.81%)	12 (27.91%)	6 (13.95%)	1 (2.33%)	0 (0.00%)

5. Which topic/lecture in this course was your favorite and why?

Question Type: Short Answer

contributed by Sherriff, Mark (mss2x)

Results for CS-4	1720-001, Sherriff, Mark
Total	Individual Answers
42	See below for Individual Results

Learning about web-services was fun because I have had no experience with it.

I enjoyed the lectures about HTML5 and web design the most. They were a helpful overview.

SOA, RESTful and peer-to-peer

Tie between HTML5 and mobile development.

UI, I like aesthetics, art, and design

all of it

Build your own server

I really enjoyed the UI lectures. Creating interfaces and bettering the interaction between humans and computers is a real passion of mine.

Working w/ and Integrating Web Services

Hard to choose...probably cloud or peer-to-peer, because it helped me better understand these technologies that are in the forefront today

Peer to peer, just because it's something that seems a bit hard to wrap my head around. I would've liked to see the topic divided into two lectures.

Learning how to build your own server!!! Yeah! :D I have been thinking about setting up my own server for a while now but didn't know how to get started. That lecture was a sweet short introduction on how to get started.

Accessibility and UI! I like hands-on, visual and design type things better than the nebulous, muddled world of servers and whatnot. I am better at thinking about data visualization and user flow. I also really liked learning about REST. Also databases. Databases are amazing and I love them.

Enjoyed learning about file sharing, and old days of computer science.

agents

I liked the how to build your own server talk. I haven't really focused on hardware, but it was really helpful.

ajax- really like ui stuff and ajax is important for web ui

I enjoyed learning about elements of a good mobile application - I'm interested in UI/UX. File sharing was really interesting too.

building web services and mobile applications - pratical

I really enjoyed the lectures on Enterprise Architecture. It was interesting to see how what we were learning could be applied on such a large scale.

Agents were really cool, and I wish we had gone more into depth. (How does algorithmic trading actually work?)

SOA because it explains the overall idea for all Web development.

JSON. Because it's awesome. Also Jquery.

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~ ~ ANSWER MATRICES ~ mysql because i had never done it I don't remember a particular topic really sticking out as, "Gosh, I like that a lot." Maybe this means a lot were just equally great. android programming - got a tablet and it was fun to learn how to make stuff for it I really enjoyed the crash course for SQL in the beginning. It was a highly condensed, yet very very useful lesson. The web section Cloud Computing because it seemed that it was going to be a big deal in the future. I really liked the agent based lecture/demo, but I wish it had been an assignment rather than an ad hoc thing right before the project was due when there was no time to work on it. Intro to Android programming, because its fun to program mobile devices. I liked p2p I liked the HTML stuff just because I had never done any web design and I enjoyed doing that for the cakephp and restful services, because I can see and have experienced through project with the usefulness of this topic that's like asking who your favorite child is SOA Learning about Enterprise Architecture. I like seeing how software scales on a corporate level and how companies use technology/software to get things done. ΑII all of them b/c they were interesting and quick to see how they were applicable in the real world and into future careers. The Cloud Computing lecture was one of the best because I think it's a very interesting, new topic and really encouraged me to want to take the course. I'm not quite sure, I liked most of them The HTML5 lecture was great because I learned there was more behind it than perceived 6. Which topic/lecture in this class do Results for CS-4720-001, Sherriff, Mark you think you will find the most useful Total Individual Answers in the future? 42 See below for Individual Results Question Type: Short Answer contributed by Sherriff, Mark (mss2x) The sections on web development and UI. The three-tier model for web services (model, view, controller) Everything with web services. mobile development It's a tie between Enterprise Architecture and the lecture on REST/MVC. all of it Enterprise Architecture. Next year I have a job as a Systems Analyst for a Company and I will be exposed to a lot of enterprise architecture. Enterprise. **REST & SOA** The Mobile development lectures web services - useful skill to have and use

~ ANSWER MATRICES ~

The architecture lectures (mobile, SOA, enterprise) as these are frameworks I can build off of

Web Services, Web Services

Learning about web services. I didn't realize there were everywhere, but they are.

Web services

HTML/PHP

model view controller lecture. I'm in the middle of building a web application and that is really applicable to what I love building.

I would like to go into a UI-related field, so again I'd have to say the UI lectures

Web services (particularly REST). Understanding that opens a lot of doors for me as a web developer.

Web architectures

building web services and mobile applications

SOA - web services

Interviewers already asked about RESTful stuff!

HTML5/CSS3, REST ideology, thin vs. thick clients.

REST or mobile development

same as favorite

I would either the database stuff or web services in general.

Web-services

Databases

The service oriented architecture. I have heard of it so much before but never understood it fully.

PHP/Cake. Would definitely use again.

SOA because the growing popularity and ubiquity of mobile apps

REST/JSON web service creation.

SOA

enterprise

Web development, databases.

the general understanding of web systems is going to be the most helpful

ΑI

It was great learning about cakePHP and the Google App Engine. And the database classes.

web or mobile

I am very glad to have learned more about Android development. I think that will serve me well in the future. I am also thrilled to finally know the fundamentals of web design. I think this gives a person a great amount of creative power.

I think the usability lecture was actually interesting and gave us useful information to consider when designing apps. I also think the crash intro to databases was really useful too.

7. What lecture/topic(s) in this class "did not work" or were not seen as useful in the long run?

Question Type: Short Answer

contributed by Sherriff, Mark (mss2x)

Results for CS-4	Results for CS-4720-001, Sherriff, Mark							
Total	Individual Answers							
36	See below for Individual Results							

SOAP

~ ANSWER MATRICES ~

Can't think of any that were off topic or did not work.

The final lecture with the agents.

agent based programs - didnt learn much about it and just had to spend time working on a program with no real significance to us.

the parts about graphic design didn't really work because most of us aren't inclined to be good at that kind of stuff

None

Agents. The stuff going on with TAC felt rushed and poorly organized. The concept of agents came across, but I don't know that TAC was very useful for learning that.

Enterprise Software.

Can't think of anything bad right now.

Perhaps the python section. It seemed out of place from the rest of the material and the project.

n/a

ABSOLUTELY NOTHING

I am not sure I should care all that much about the internal disputes of HTML vs XHTML but I thought it was interesting.

I think all of them were useful and can't really think of one that wasn't. The Cake PHP tutorial was a little hard to follow.

Agents

A few topics were kind of confusing at first, because it's hard to learn them without going really in depth.

None of them

I don't like Cakephp, I found it difficult to use.

I feel like in order for Agent-Based Systems to "work" better, more time should be spent on it.

SOAP. Will never use again.

To be useful, I think we needed some more depth on Android. And I don't think it's a stretch to start by explaining what an activity is, entirely skipping some of the little stuff.

N/A

Some guest lectures seemed overly-preachy.

I think the auction thing was really interesting but I think it either needs to be flushed out a little more or scrapped--it's too complicated to just be a little side project in my opinion.

None that I can think of.

..hmm not really sure

none

none

Usability and accessibility, perhaps due to some things being common sense

soap

None. They all worked

I don't think the agent lecture was that great because it did not show us a lot of principles. However, it involved everyone which was good.

This was actually my most useful & applicable class that I took this semester. There's not really anything that wasn't useful.

network layers

Some of the WSDL/UDDI stuff doesn't seem very important. Not that it wasn't taught well, I just didn't see the value in that sort of thing for software development.

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~ ~ ANSWER MATRICES ~ Good material all around -- CakePHP could have used more attention because of how importantly it played into our project in the end 8. How accurate is this statement for Results for CS-4720-001, Sherriff, Mark you if you used the podcasts from this Disagree (2) Total Std Dev Agree (4) Mean Strongly Neutral Strongly Not class: Podcasts were useful to catch up Agree (5) (3)Disagree Applicable (NA) on material that I missed due to (1) absences. 4.55 0 40 0.74 14 Λ 18 (45.00%) (35.00%)(17.50%)(0.00%)(2.50%)(0.00%)Question Type: Likert Results for SEAS, 4000-level courses contributed by Sherriff, Mark (mss2x) Agree (4) Std Dev Mean Strongly Neutral Disagree Strongly Not Agree (5) (2)Applicable (3)Disagree (1) (NA) 40 4.55 0.74 14 0 0 18 (35.00%)(17.50%)(0.00%)(2.50%)(0.00%)(45.00%) 9. How accurate is this statement for Results for CS-4720-001, Sherriff, Mark you if you used the podcasts from this Total Mean Std Dev Strongly Agree (4) Disagree (2) Neutral Not Strongly Disagree (1) class: The podcasts were useful to Applicable Agree (5) (3) review material that I was unclear on. (NA) 0.73 41 4.36 11 19 Question Type: Likert (26.83%) (19.51%) (7.32%)(0.00%) (0.00%)(46.34%) contributed by Sherriff, Mark (mss2x) Results for SEAS, 4000-level courses Disagree (2) Total Mean Std Dev Strongly Agree (4) Neutral Strongly Not Agree (3)Disagree Applicable (1)(5) (NA) 41 4.36 0.73 (0.00%)(46.34%)(26.83%)(19.51%)(7.32%)(0.00%)10. How often did vou listen to the Results for CS-4720-001, Sherriff, Mark podcast for a lecture? Every lecture (NA) Total Nearly every Whenever I Only when I Randomly Never lecture needed to missed a just to see (NA) Question Type: Multiple Choice (NA) review a topic class what it was (NA) (NA) like contributed by Sherriff, Mark (mss2x) (NA) 2 (4.76%) (2.38%) (26.19%) (23.81%)(0.00%)(42.86%) Results for SEAS, 4000-level courses Every lecture Nearly every Whenever I Only when I Randomly Total Never (NA) lecture needed to míssed a just to see (NA) (NA) review a topic class what it was (NA) (NA) (NA) 42 2 (4.76%) (2.38%) (0.00%)(26.19%) (23.81%)(42.86%)11. Do vou have any Results for CS-4720-001, Sherriff, Mark suggestions/comments that we should Total **Individual Answers** take into account for future projects for 28 See below for Individual Results this course? Question Type: Short Answer contributed by Sherriff, Mark (mss2x) I definitely thought the examples you provided were pretty helpful. Maybe adding in more features to those examples could make it easier to figure out how to do things, although there were usually enough examples on the internet anyway. Cake can be annoying. None During the android stages, at least encourage groups to work on stuff other than getting the web services to work, we were left with a lot at the end to get working. No Friday class :P NO

~ ANSWER MATRICES ~

maybe give out some project topic

Definitely still allow the students to pick the project topic. It made for a lot of creativity.

None that I can think

I was a bit annoyed that for phase 3 service (using the Google App engine), we could not integrate data from Google's data store with our MySQL DB. I felt like that data integration would've helped with creating a more cohesive second service in our projects.

more specifically discuss the elements of polish you would like to see in the finished product.

Use Rails!

Make sure that integration is done at an earlier stage and try to help students limit their project ideas to something realistic/achievable.

no

none

When discussing usability and UX, I think it would be cool to have a demo going on an android tablet where we actually manipulate the code. That way we get "under the hood" and learn by doing. This might go well if at the same time as an assignment to design the UX on our project. You can make us actually do the wireframing; we don't die.

Talk about OSGI plzplzplzplzplzplzplz

The amount of self-teaching that has to go on early in the course verged on overwhelming. I was not sure where to go for help because I never actually heard of or saw any TAs. Make coding help more accessible.

I feel like I wish there were more Android development lessons in class. I wish maybe if there were like...an Android workshop day, where we worked with our groups and stuff, but we did android stuff, during class together.

It would be nice to be given more direction about the structure/framework wrapping the project and assignments. Eclipse Plug-in, Google Storage, Stardock, Android... SOAP, WSDLs, POX... jQuery, JavaScript, HTML5, CSS3, AJAX... there's a lot going into this, and it becomes especially frustrating if work is hard to do because of the medium of the work, not the content itself. I get that learning to work with different languages is part and parcel of the work, but I mean the accessing of materials, the framework of using those languages, and the slight confusions of having the course website linked to Collab so it's unclear how to get to certain things.

CakePHP stinks. IT TOTALLY CONTRADICTS THE "chyeah bro, whatevs ya feel" NATURE OF PHP. Or if you want to include it, make it "more required." I think a lot of us used it that one time because we had to.

A little more overview on coding Android apps would help.

The pacing seemed slightly off, and some weeks were unrelated/overly easy, leading to a rush at the end.

Not everyone has had experience with web-dev. May be have couple of pre-class assignment (before the class starts) that gets them ahead in terms of basic html, css, js etc.

Perhaps stick to using one database instead of two

not really

I agree with the idea that we shouldn't have spent a lot of time going over Android stuff, but I think a dumbed-down beginner's outline/guide type of thing would have been helpful. It ended up not being a big deal at all, but I feel like there were basic concepts that I didn't understand until late in the project just because the Android documentation/guide is a little overwhelming.

I think it would be good if you spent a day going over android development because even though I agree that it is something that we need to learn on our own, a brief introduction to some of the concepts and difficulties would have been very helpful and would have been a more efficient use of time.

~ ANSWER MATRICES ~

12. During the project, how many hours per week did you dedicate specifically to project work?

Question Type: Multiple Choice

contributed by Sherriff, Mark (mss2x)

Results for CS	Results for CS-4720-001, Sherriff, Mark									
Total	0-2	3-5	6-8	9-12	13-16	17 or more				
	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)				
43	1	24	14	4	0	0				
	(2.33%)	(55.81%)	(32.56%)	(9.30%)	(0.00%)	(0.00%)				

Results for SI	Results for SEAS, 4000-level courses									
Total	0-2	3-5	6-8	9-12	13-16	17 or more				
	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)				
43	1	24	14	4	0	0				
	(2.33%)	(55.81%)	(32.56%)	(9.30%)	(0.00%)	(0.00%)				

13. The course addressed technically rigorous subject matter consistent with the course objectives.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

Results for CS-4720-001											
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)		
	42	4.40	0.54	18 (42.86%)	23 (54.76%)	1 (2.38%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)		

Results for	Results for SEAS, 4000-level courses									
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)		
1668	4.26	0.75	660 (39.57%)	809 (48.50%)	138 (8.27%)	29 (1.74%)	14 (0.84%)	18 (1.08%)		

14. The instructor used methods other than/in addition to traditional lectures (for example, active learning, in-class problems, collaborative learning, inclass discussion) effectively in this course.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

Results for	Results for CS-4720-001, Sherriff, Mark											
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)				
43	4.53	0.55	24 (55.81%)	18 (41.86%)	1 (2.33%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)				

Results for	SEAS, 400	0-level cour	rses					
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
2849	4.11	0.95	924 (32.43%)	858 (30.12%)	340 (11.93%)	104 (3.65%)	42 (1.47%)	581 (20.39%)

15. There was a reasonable level of effort expected for the credit hours received.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

Results for CS-4720-001									
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)	
42	4.29	0.71	17 (40.48%)	21 (50.00%)	3 (7.14%)	1 (2.38%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	

Results for	SEAS, 400	0-level cou	rses					
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
1674	4.22	0.83	666 (39.78%)	788 (47.07%)	120 (7.17%)	67 (4.00%)	18 (1.08%)	15 (0.90%)

16. The homework assignments helped me learn the subject matter.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

Results for CS-4720-001									
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
	42	4.48	0.67	23 (54.76%)	17 (40.48%)	1 (2.38%)	1 (2.38%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Results for	SEAS, 400	0-level cou	rses					
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
1673	4.18	0.83	580 (34.67%)	702 (41.96%)	163 (9.74%)	52 (3.11%)	16 (0.96%)	160 (9.56%)

Not

Applicable

(NA)

29

(67.44%)

Not

Applicable

(NA)

622

(37.22%)

Not

Applicable

(NA)

(0.00%)

Not Applicable

(NA)

586

(20.61%)

Not

Applicable

(NA)

(0.00%)

Not

Applicable

(NA)

516

(18.09%)

Not

Applicable

(NA)

(2.33%)

Applicable (NA)

579

(20.30%)

Not

Applicable

(NA)

(2.38%)

Not

Applicable

(NA)

49

(2.94%)

Disagree

(2)

(4.75%)

Strongly

Disagree

(1)

28

(1.68%)

Neutral

(3)

203

(12.20%)

0

0

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~ ~ ANSWER MATRICES ~ 17. The textbook increased my Results for CS-4720-001 understanding of the material. Agree (4) Total Mean Std Dev Strongly Neutral Disagree Strongly Agree (2)(3)Disagree Question Type: Likert (5) (1)43 3.64 1.08 5 contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering (9.30%)(6.98%)(11.63%)(4.65%)(0.00%)and Applied Science Results for SEAS, 4000-level courses Disagree (2) Std Dev Strongly Agree (4) Total Mean Neutral Strongly Disagree (1) Agree (5) (3) 1671 3.70 1.06 254 407 255 44 89 (24.36%) (2.63%)(15.20%)(15.26%)(5.33%)18. The course material was well Results for CS-4720-001, Sherriff, Mark organized and developed. Agree (4) Total Mean Std Dev Strongly Neutral Disagree Strongly Agree (5) Disagree (1) (3) (2)Question Type: Likert 43 4.44 0.55 contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering (46.51%)(51.16%)(2.33%)(0.00%)(0.00%)and Applied Science Results for SEAS, 4000-level courses Std Dev Strongly Agree (5) Disagree (2) Strongly Disagree Total Mean Agree (4) Neutral (3)(1) 2843 4.03 0.92 755 44 1015 333 110 (26.56%)(35.70%)(11.71%)(3.87%)(1.55%)19. The instructor was knowledgeable Results for CS-4720-001. Sherriff. Mark about the subject matter. Total Mean Std Dev Strongly Agree (4) Neutral Disagree (2) Strongly Disagree (1) Agree (5) (3) Question Type: Likert 0.47 43 4.67 29 14 0 0 contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering (32.56<u>%)</u> (67.44%) (0.00%)(0.00%)(0.00%)and Applied Science Results for SEAS, 4000-level courses Agree (4) Std Dev Total Mean Strongly Neutral Disagree Strongly Agree (5) (3) (2)Disagree (1)2852 0.771280 801 209 19 (44.88%)(28.09%)(7.33%)(0.95%)(0.67%)20. The instructor was well prepared Results for CS-4720-001, Sherriff, Mark for class. Disagree (2) Mean Std Dev Strongly Strongly Total Agree (4) Neutral Disagree (1) Agree (5) (3) Question Type: Likert 43 4.62 0.49 n n 26 16 contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering (60.47%) (37.21%) (0.00%)(0.00%)(0.00%)and Applied Science Results for SEAS, 4000-level courses Disagree (2) Agree (4) Total Mean Std Dev Strongly Neutral Strongly Agree (5) Disagree (1) (3) 2852 4.25 0.83 1013 924 258 28 (35.52%)(32.40%)(9.05%)(1.75%)(0.98%)21. I received adequate preparation Results for CS-4720-001 from the prior courses in the Agree (4) Std Dev Total Mean Strongly Neutral Disagree Strongly curriculum to be successful in this Agree (3) (2)Disagree (5) (1)course. 42 4.07 0.82 12 22 6 Question Type: Likert (52.38%) (2.38%)(28.57%)(14.29%)(0.00%)contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering Results for SEAS, 4000-level courses

Std Dev

0.88

Strongly

Agree (5)

494

(29.69%)

Agree (4)

811

(48.74%)

Mean

4.03

Total

1664

and Applied Science

~ ANSWER MATRICES ~

22. The grading policy was fair.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

Results for CS-4720-001, Sherriff, Mark									
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)	
43	4.40	0.49	17 (39.53%)	26 (60.47%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	

Results for SEAS, 4000-level courses									
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)	
2849	4.04	0.89	767 (26.92%)	1046 (36.71%)	372 (13.06%)	95 (3.33%)	35 (1.23%)	534 (18.74%)	

23. The instructor responded adequately to in-class questions.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

Results for CS-4720-001, Sherriff, Mark										
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)	
	43	4.53	0.59	25 (58.14%)	16 (37.21%)	2 (4.65%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	

Results for SEAS, 4000-level courses Total Mean Std Dev Strongly Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Not									
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
	2848	4.26	0.82	1009 (35.43%)	966 (33.92%)	226 (7.94%)	49 (1.72%)	28 (0.98%)	570 (20.01%)

24. The instructor effectively used technology in support of the learning goals for this course.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

Results for	CS-4720-0	01, Sherriff,	Mark					
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
43	4.64	0.53	28 (65.12%)	13 (30.23%)	1 (2.33%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (2.33%)

Results for	SEAS, 400	0-level cour	rses					
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
2842	4.12	0.85	805 (28.33%)	978 (34.41%)	335 (11.79%)	65 (2.29%)	27 (0.95%)	632 (22.24%)

25. The average number of hours per week I spent outside of class preparing for this course was:

Question Type: Multiple Choice

contributed by Office of the Provost

Results for CS-	Results for CS-4720-001								
Total	Less than 1	1 - 3	4 - 6	7 - 9	10 or more				
	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)				
43	1	21	15	5	1				
	(2.33%)	(48.84%)	(34.88%)	(11.63%)	(2.33%)				

Results for SEA	S, 4000-level cour	ses			
Total	Less than 1	1 - 3	4 - 6	7 - 9	10 or more
	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
1674	100	609	664	213	88
	(5.97%)	(36.38%)	(39.67%)	(12.72%)	(5.26%)

26. I learned a great deal in this course.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Office of the Provost

Results for (CS-4720-001						
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
42	4.50	0.59	23 (54.76%)	17 (40.48%)	2 (4.76%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Results	s for S	EAS, 4000-le	evel courses					
Tot	al	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
166	51	4.15	0.88	641 (38.59%)	744 (44.79%)	179 (10.78%)	77 (4.64%)	20 (1.20%)

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~				~ ANSWER	MATRICES ~			
27. Overall, this was a worthwhile	Results for	CS-4720-0 <u>01</u>						
course. ~ Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
contributed by Office of the Provost	43	4.70	0.51	31 (72.09%)	11 (25.58%)	1 (2.33%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS, 4000-	level courses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
	1671	4.18	0.93	712 (42.61%)	693 (41.47%)	157 (9.40%)	69 (4.13%)	40 (2.39%)
8. The course's goals and requirements	Results for	CS-4720-001	, Sherriff, Ma	rk				
were defined and adhered to by the instructor.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
Question Type: Likert contributed by Office of the Provost	43	4.51	0.51	22 (51.16%)	21 (48.84%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS 4000-	level courses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagre
	2830	3.91	1.02	891 (31.48%)	1135 (40.11%)	617 (21.80%)	43 (1.52%)	144 (5.09%)
29. The instructor was approachable	Results for	CS-4720-001	, Sherriff, Ma	rk				
and made himself/herself available to students outside the classroom.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagre (1)
Question Type: Likert contributed by Office of the Provost	43	4.26	0.85	20 (46.51%)	16 (37.21%)	5 (11.63%)	2 (4.65%)	0 (0.00%
J 33 J	Results for	SEAS 4000-	level courses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagre (1)
	2836	3.99	1.00	1050 (37.02%)	976 (34.41%)	653 (23.03%)	58 (2.05%)	99 (3.49%
30. Overall, the instructor was an	Results for	CS-4720-001	, Sherriff, Ma	rk				
effective teacher. Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagre (1)
contributed by Office of the Provost	43	4.77	0.43	33 (76.74%)	10 (23.26%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%
	Results for	SEAS, 4000-	level courses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagre (1)
	2844	3.97	0.98	999 (35.13%)	1006 (35.37%)	688 (24.19%)	65 (2.29%)	86 (3.02%
31. Please make any overall comments or observations about this course:	Results for CS-4720-001 Total Individual Answers							
Question Type: Short Answer	25				low for Indivi			
contributed by Office of the Provost								
				people (at lea		in the back re	ow) do not br	owse the
		ŭ	·	between the s				
	professors	like you at U I're awesome	VA, that spen	ing to take yo d more time to do and I'm gla	eaching and I	peing helpful t	to students, th	nan being

~ ANSWER MATRICES ~

I really enjoyed the class and thought it was extremely helpful. This was the most helpful class I have taken. Sherriff is an awesome professor and really loves what he teaches. I have taken away a ton from this class. Thanks a lot, Sherriff!

Are the TA questions really applicable? We never saw them. Also, the super early office hours are difficult to attend (though I assume that was somewhat by design)

Sherriff: BAMF #1!!!!

This is my first class with Professor Sherriff and one of the best. How much he cared about web and mobile technologies really carried over to me and I really appreciate having him as a professor. I learned so much about android and a lot of current relevant topics in web and mobile technologies. One of the best courses offered and one of the best professors I have had.

n/a

This was an awesome class! Keep doing what you do Professor Sheriff. On a side note, why did we have to answer random questions about the ta's on the course evaluation?

Interesting course. Dealt with the challenge of dealing with a large range of technical topics fairly well. Best example of an open-ended project I have been involved in so far at UVa.

Professor Sherriff was a great teacher and more application-based classes should be taught like this one in the Computer Science Department to get students ready for the real world.

The programming assignments were difficult but worthwhile. Large learning curve--TAs should make themselves more available, I never even learned their names. A complaint for Sherriff: more clarification and specificity in the assignment descriptions would be appreciated. We were often unsure of exactly what you wanted to be implemented, much less how to do it.

One of the few courses at UVA that I can say I will definitely be applying to my future career. I loved the project, and the homeworks were actually enjoyable.

Really good balance between being pushed and learning a lot, and not being completely overwhelmed

AWESOME.

Once again, Professor Sherriff has proven that he knows how to teach a class that is incredibly useful and practical. I can't tell you how many times I used material from this class (and his Database class)successfully in job interviews. Sherriff makes sure we appreciate how applicable what we learn in the classroom is to the REAL WORLD... something that I feel doesn't always happen with other instructors at this University. It helps to motivate students. One complaint: Why is this class Monday/Wednesday/Friday? Class on Friday = lamesauce. TL;DR: Sherriff is awesome, this class is awesome, glad I took it.

Good stuff

Professor not easily approachable. Seems annoyed when we ask questions after class etc.

I really loved this class and Professor Sherriff is such a great teacher. I learned a lot (class was for concepts, homework was for looking up how do do stuff yourself) and feel like it'll be really useful for me in the future. Didn't really know we even had TAs...but I guess I didn't really need them.

I think more time should have been given for the 1st exam...

Spend more time talking about HTTP esp. with HTML forms.

I would have liked to see more discussion of how to actually program for web and mobile systems, especially for Android. I know we learn a lot from hands on activities such as the final project, but I feel there was also a steep learning curve which could have been assuaged by more lectures teaching us the basics of Android programming. The same goes with writing web services, etc.

sometimes it feels like youre great and goofy in lecture, and then when it comes to office hours, it is less friendly. I feel like it should almost be the opposite.

Great course. Learned a lot. Sherriff is a great professor

Sherriff delivered no more and no less than expected: an excellent class. Knock off points for midterm grading time, but add them back for good turnaround on the other assignments and very fast turnaround on the project. I found the material in the class to be immediately applicable in other classes, in UVa-based competitions this semester (like the E-Cup), and in work that I'm doing on the side. I think I'm much stronger for having completed it. Thanks, Professor Sherriff!