History of Programming Languages

Functional Programming
Cunning Plan

• History Lesson
• Functional Programming
  - OCaml
  - Types
  - Pattern Matching
  - Higher-Order Functions
• Basic Syntax
• Data Structures
• Higher-Order Functions
  - Fold
Gone In Sixty Seconds

- **Imperative:** change state, assignments
- **Structured:** if/block/routine control flow
- **Object-Oriented:** message passing (= dynamic dispatch), inheritance
- **Functional:** functions are first-class citizens that can be passed around or called recursively. We can avoid changing state by passing copies.
Why Study History?

• Those who cannot remember George Santayana are condemned to misquote him.  
  - *Supernatural*, 1999
Why Study History?

• Progress, far from consisting in change, depends on retentiveness. Those who cannot remember the past are condemned to repeat it.

• Through meticulous analysis of history I will find a way to make the people worship me. By studying the conquerors of days gone by, I’ll discover the mistakes that made them go awry.
  - The Brain, *A Meticulous Analysis of History*, P031
Modern Era

- 1972 – C
- 1983 – Ada
- 1983 – C++
- 1987 – Perl
- 1990 – Python
- 1991 – Java
- 1993 – Ruby
- 1996 – OCaml
- 2000 – C#

Systems programming, ASM
US DOD, static type safety
classes, default args, STL
dynamic scripting language
interp OO + readability
portable OO lang (for iTV)
Perl + Smalltalk
ML + C++
“simple” Java + delegates

I invented the term Object-Oriented, and I did not have C++ in mind.
- Alan Kay
Time Travel

• Back to an earlier time when the US was worried about a Communist “perfect attack”

• In Soviet Russia, noun verbs you! (-1 Redundant)
The Land Before Time

- It was a time very different now ...
- Joseph McCarthy 1950
  - "I have here in my hand a list of 205 — a list of names ..."
- John McCarthy 1958
  - **LISP** = List Processing Language
  - basic datatype is the List, programs themselves are lists, can self-modify, dynamic allocation, garbage collection (!), functional
There are only two kinds of programming languages: those people always [complain] about and those nobody uses.
- Bjarne Stroustrup

I fear the new OO systems may suffer the fate of LISP, in that they can do many things, but the complexity of the class hierarchies may cause them to collapse under their own weight.
- Bill Joy

Computer language design is just like a stroll in the park. Jurassic Park, that is.
- Larry Wall

Oh what a tangled web we weave, When first we practise to deceive!
- Sir Walter Scott, 1771-1832
Functional Programming

- You know OO and Structured Imperative

  Functional Programming
  - Computation = evaluating (math) functions
  - Avoid “global state” and “mutable data”
  - Get stuff done = apply (higher-order) functions
  - Avoid sequential commands

- Important Features
  - Higher-order, first-class functions
  - Closures and recursion
  - Lists and list processing
State

- The **state** of a program is all of the current variable and heap values
- **Imperative** programs destructively modify existing state

```
SET \{x\}  
add\_elem(SET, y)
```
State

- The **state** of a program is all of the current variable and heap values
- **Imperative** programs destructively modify existing state

\[ \text{SET} \{x, y\} \]
State

- The **state** of a program is all of the current variable and heap values
- **Imperative** programs destructively modify existing state
- **Functional** programs yield new similar states over time

\[
\text{SET}_1 \{x\} \\
\text{SET}_2 = \text{add_elem} (\text{SET}_1, y)
\]
State

- The **state** of a program is all of the current variable and heap values
- **Imperative** programs destructively modify existing state
- **Functional** programs yield new similar states over time

\[ SET_1 = \{ x \} \]
\[ SET_2 = \text{add}_\text{elem}(SET_1, y) \]
Basic OCaml

• Let's Start With C

double avg(int x, int y) {
    double z = (double)(x + y);
    z = z / 2;
    printf("Answer is %g\n", z);
    return z;
}
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- Let's Start With C
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double avg(int x, int y) {
double z = (double)(x + y);
z = z / 2;
printf(“Answer is %g\n”, z);
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}
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```ocaml
let avg (x:int) (y:int) : float = begin
end
```
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Basic OCaml

- Let's Start With C

```c
double avg(int x, int y) {
    double z = (double)(x + y);
    z = z / 2;
    printf("Answer is %g\n", z);
    return z;
}
```

```ocaml
let avg (x:int) (y:int) : float = begin
    let z = float_of_int (x + y) in
    let z = z /. 2.0 in
    printf "Answer is %g\n" z ;
end
```
Basic OCaml

• Let's Start With C

double avg(int x, int y) {
    double z = (double)(x + y);
    z = z / 2;
    printf("Answer is %g\n", z);
    return z;
}

let avg (x:int) (y:int) : float = begin
    let z = float_of_int (x + y) in
    let z = z /. 2.0 in
    printf "Answer is %g\n" z ;
    z
end
The Tuple (or Pair)

- let x = (22, 58) in (* tuple creation *)
- ...
- let y, z = x in (* tuple field extraction *)
- printf “first element is %d
” y ; ...
- let add_points p1 p2 =
  let x1, y1 = p1 in
  let x2, y2 = p2 in
  (x1 + x2, y1 + y2)
List Syntax in OCaml

- Empty List: \[ \]
- Singleton: \[ \text{element} \]
- Longer List: \[ e1 ; e2 ; e3 \]
- Cons: \[ x :: [y;z] \] = \[x;y;z]\n- Append: \[w;x]@[y;z] \] = \[w;x;y;z]\n- List.length, List.filter, List.fold, List.map ...

- More on these later!
- Every element in list must have same type
Functional Example

• Simple Functional Set (built out of lists)
  - let rec add_elem (s, e) =
  -   if s = [] then [e]
  -   else if List.hd s = e then s
  -   else List.hd s :: add_elem(List.tl s, e)

• Pattern-Matching Functional (same effect)
  - let rec add_elem (s, e) = match s with
  -   | [] -> [e]
  -   | hd :: tl when e = hd -> s
  -   | hd :: tl -> hd :: add_elem(tl, e)
Imperative Code

• More cases to handle

- List* add_elem(List *s, item e) {
  - if (s == NULL)
  -   return list(e, NULL);
  - else if (s->hd == e)
  -   return s;
  - else if (s->tl == NULL) {
  -     s->tl = list(e, NULL); return s;
  -   } else
  -   return add_elem(s->tl, e);
  - }

I have stopped reading Stephen King novels. Now I just read C code instead.
- Richard O’Keefe
Q: Advertising (785 / 842)

• Identify the company associated with two of the following four advertising slogans or symbols.
  - "Fill it to the rim."
  - "I bet you can't eat just one."
  - "Snap, Crackle, Pop"
  - "The San Francisco Treat"
Functional-Style Advantages

- **Tractable program semantics**
  - Procedures are functions
  - Formulate and prove assertions about code
  - More readable

- **Referential transparency**
  - Replace any expression by its value without changing the result

- **No side-effects**
  - Fewer errors
Functional-Style Disadvantages

- Efficiency
  - Copying takes time
- Compiler implementation
  - Frequent memory allocation
- Unfamiliar (to you!)
  - New programming style
- Not appropriate for every program
  - Operating systems, etc.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Speed</th>
<th>Space</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C (gcc)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C++ (g++)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCaml</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Java (JDK -server)</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lisp</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C# (mono)</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Python</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruby</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

17 small benchmarks
ML Innovative Features

- Type system
  - Strongly typed
  - Type inference
  - Abstraction
- Modules
- Patterns
- Polymorphism
- Higher-order functions
- Concise formal semantics

There are many ways of trying to understand programs. People often rely too much on one way, which is called “debugging” and consists of running a partly-understood program to see if it does what you expected. Another way, which ML advocates, is to install some means of understanding in the very programs themselves.

- Robin Milner, 1997
Type System

• Type Inference
  - let rec add_elem (s,e) = match s with
  -  | [] -> [e]
  -  | hd :: tl when e = hd -> s
  -  | hd :: tl -> hd :: add_elem(tl, e)
  - val add_elem : α list * α -> α list
  - “α list” means “List<T>” or “List<α>”

• ML infers types
  - Inconsistent or incomplete type is an error

• Optional type declarations \((\text{exp} : \text{type})\)
  - Clarify ambiguous cases, documentation
Pattern Matching

- Simplifies Code (eliminates ifs, accessors)
  - type btree = (* binary tree of strings *)
  - | Node of btree * string * btree
  - | Leaf of string
  - let rec height tree = match tree with
    - | Leaf _ -> 1
    - | Node(x,_,y) -> 1 + max (height x) (height y)
  - let rec mem tree elt = match tree with
    - | Leaf str | Node(_,str,_) -> str = elt
    - | Node(x,_,y) -> mem x elt | | mem y elt
Pattern Matching Mistakes

• What if I forget a case?
  - let rec is_odd x = match x with
  - | 0 -> false
  - | 2 -> false
  - | x when x > 2 -> is_odd (x-2)
  - Warning P: this pattern-matching is not exhaustive.
  - Here is an example of a value that is not matched: 1
Polymorphism

• Functions and type inference are **polymorphic**
  - Operate on more than one type
  - let rec length x = match x with
    - | [] -> 0
    - | hd :: tl -> 1 + length tl
  - val length : \( \alpha \) list -> int
  - length [1;2;3] = 3
  - length [“algol”; ”smalltalk”; ”ml”] = 3
  - length [1 ; “algol” ] = ?

\( \alpha \) means “any one type”
Higher-Order Functions

- Function are first-class values
  - Can be used whenever a value is expected
  - Notably, can be passed around
  - Closure captures the environment
  - let rec map f lst = match lst with
    - | [] -> []
    - | hd :: tl -> f hd :: map f tl
  - val map : (α -> β) -> α list -> β list
  - let offset = 10 in
  - let myfun x = x + offset in
  - val myfun : int -> int
  - map myfun [1;8;22] = [11;18;32]

- Extremely powerful programming technique
  - General iterators
  - Implement abstraction
The Story of Fold

- We’ve seen **length** and **map**

- We can also imagine ...
  - **sum** \([1; 5; 8]\) = 14
  - **product** \([1; 5; 8]\) = 40
  - **and** \([\text{true}; \text{true}; \text{false}]\) = false
  - **or** \([\text{true}; \text{true}; \text{false}]\) = true
  - **filter** (fun x -> x>4) \([1; 5; 8]\) = \([5; 8]\)
  - **reverse** \([1; 5; 8]\) = \([8; 5; 1]\)
  - **mem** 5 \([1; 5; 8]\) = true

- Can we build all of these?
The House That Fold Built

• The **fold** operator comes from Recursion Theory (Kleene, 1952)
  - let rec fold f acc lst = match lst with
    - | [] -> acc
    - | hd :: tl -> fold f (f acc hd) tl
  - val fold : (α -> β -> α) -> α -> β list -> α

• Imagine we’re summing a list (f = addition):

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>acc</th>
<th>lst</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

```
9 → 2 → 7 → 4 → 5 → ... 11
f
```

```
7 → 4 → 5 → ... 27
```
It’s Lego Time

• Let’s build things out of Fold!
  - `length lst = fold (fun acc elt -> acc + 1) 0 lst`
  - `sum lst = fold (fun acc elt -> acc + elt) 0 lst`
  - `product lst = fold (fun acc elt -> acc * elt) 1 lst`
  - `and lst = fold (fun acc elt -> acc & elt) true lst`

• How would we do `or`?
• How would we do `reverse`?
Tougher Legos

• Examples:
  - `reverse` `lst = fold (fun acc e -> acc @ [e]) [] lst`
    • Note typing: `(acc : α list) (e : α)`
  - `filter` `keep_it lst = fold (fun acc elt ->`
    - `if keep_it elt then elt :: acc else acc) [] lst`
  - `mem` `wanted lst = fold (fun acc elt ->`
    - `acc || wanted = elt) false lst`
    • Note typing: `(acc : bool) (e : α)`

• How do we do `map`?
  - Recall: `map (fun x -> x +10) [1;2] = [11;12]`
  - Let’s write it on the board ...
Map From Fold

- let **map** myfun lst =
  - fold (fun acc elt -> (myfun elt) :: acc) [] lst
  - Types: (myfun : \(\alpha\) \(\rightarrow\) \(\beta\))
  - Types: (lst : \(\alpha\) list)
  - Types: (acc : \(\beta\) list)
  - Types: (elt : \(\alpha\))

- How do we do **sort**?
  - (sort : (\(\alpha\) * \(\alpha\) \(\rightarrow\) bool) \(\rightarrow\) \(\alpha\) list \(\rightarrow\) \(\alpha\) list)

---

Do nothing which is of no use.
- Miyamoto Musashi, 1584-1645
Sorting Examples

- langs = [ “fortran”; “algol”; “c” ]
- courses = [ 216; 333; 415]
- sort (fun a b -> a < b) langs
  - [ “algol”; “c”; “fortran” ]
- sort (fun a b -> a > b) langs
  - [ “fortran”; “c”; “algol” ]
- sort (fun a b -> strlen a < strlen b) langs
  - [ “c”; “algol”; “fortran” ]
- sort (fun a b -> match is_odd a, is_odd b with
  • | true, false -> true (* odd numbers first *)
  • | false, true -> false (* even numbers last *)
  • | _, _ -> a < b (* otherwise ascending *)
) courses
  - [ 333 ; 415 ; 216 ]

Java uses Inner Classes for this.
Partial Application and Currying

- let myadd x y = x + y
- val myadd : int -> int -> int
- myadd 3 5 = 8
- let addtwo = myadd 2
  - How do we know what this means? We use referential transparency! Basically, just substitute it in.
- val addtwo : int -> int
- addtwo 77 = 79
- **Currying**: “if you fix some arguments, you get a function of the remaining arguments”
• ML, Python and Ruby all support functional programming
  - closures, anonymous functions, etc.
• ML has strong static typing and type inference (as in this lecture)
• Ruby and Python have “strong” dynamic typing (or duck typing)
• All three combine OO and Functional
  - ... although it is rare to use both.
Homework

- Thursday: Cool Reference Manual
- Thursday: Backus Speedcoding
- Friday: PA0 due