Run-Time Organization

Gentlemen, tonight we are going after the big prize. The Keeblers are paying us hansomely, but some of us might not make it back from Pepperidge farm tonight...
One-Slide Summary

• We will use \( \text{SELF TYPE}_C \) for “\( C \) or any subtype of \( C \)”. It shows off the subtlety of our type system and allows us to check methods that return self objects.

• The \textbf{lifetime} of an activation of (i.e., a call to) procedure \( P \) is all the steps to execute \( P \) plus all the steps in procedures that \( P \) calls.

• Lifetime is a \textit{run-time (dynamic)} notion; we can model it with trees or \textit{stacks}. 
Lecture Outline

- SELF_TYPE
- Object Lifetime
- Activation Records
- Stack Frames
SELF_TYPE Dynamic Dispatch

If the return type of the method is SELF_TYPE then the type of the dispatch is the type of the dispatch expression:

\[
O, M, C \vdash e_0 : T_0 \quad \{A\}
\]

\[
\vdots
\]

\[
O, M, C \vdash e_n : T_n \quad \{B\}
\]

\[
M(T_0, f) = (T_1', \ldots, T_n', \text{SELF_TYPE}) \quad \{C\}
\]

\[
T_i \leq T_i' \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n \quad \{D\}
\]

\[
O, M, C \vdash e_0.f(e_1, \ldots, e_n) : T_0
\]
Where is SELF_TYPE Illegal in COOL?

\[ m(x : T) : T' \{ \ldots \} \]

- Only \( T' \) can be SELF_TYPE! Not \( T \).

What could go \textbf{wrong} if \( T \) were SELF_TYPE?

```plaintext
class A {  comp(x : SELF_TYPE) : Bool \{\ldots\}; };
class B inherits A {
    b() : int \{ \ldots \};
    comp(y : SELF_TYPE) : Bool \{ \ldots y.b() \ldots\};
}
...
let x : A ← new B in \ldots x.comp(new A); ...
```

1. ...
2. ...
3. ...
4. ...
Summary of SELF_TYPE

• The extended \( \leq \) and \text{lub} operations can do a lot of the work. Implement them to handle \text{SELF\_TYPE}.

• \text{SELF\_TYPE} can be used only in a few places. Be sure it isn’t used anywhere else.

• A use of \text{SELF\_TYPE} always refers to any subtype in the current class.
  
  - The exception is the type checking of dispatch, where \text{SELF\_TYPE} \text{ as the return type} in an invoked method might have nothing to do with the current enclosing class.
Why Cover SELF_TYPE?

- **SELF_TYPE** is a research idea
  - It adds more expressiveness to the type system
- **SELF_TYPE** is itself not so important
  - except for the project
- Rather, **SELF_TYPE** is meant to illustrate that type checking can be quite subtle
- In practice, there should be a balance between the complexity of the type system and its expressiveness
Type Systems

• The rules in these lecture were Cool-specific
  - Other languages have very different rules
  - We’ll survey a few more type systems later

• General themes
  - Type rules are defined on the structure of expressions
  - Types of variables are modeled by an environment

• Type systems tradeoff flexibility and safety
Status

• We have covered the front-end phases
  - Lexical analysis
  - Parsing
  - Semantic analysis

• Next are the back-end phases
  - Optimization (optional)
  - Code execution (or code generation)

• We’ll do code execution first . . .
Run-time environments

• Before discussing code execution, we need to understand **what we are trying to execute**

• There are a number of standard techniques that are widely used for structuring executable code

• Standard Way:
  - Code
  - Stack
  - Heap
Run-Time Organization Outline

• Management of run-time resources

• Correspondence between static (compile-time) and dynamic (run-time) structures
  - “Compile-time” == “Interpret-time”

• Storage organization
Run-time Resources

• Execution of a program is initially under the control of the operating system

• When a program is invoked:
  - The OS allocates space for the program
  - The code is loaded into part of the space
  - The OS jumps to the entry point (i.e., “main”)

• How does space work?
(Digression) Virtual Memory

- An **address space** is a partial mapping from addresses to values. Like a big array: the value at memory address 0x12340000 is 87. *Partial* means some addresses may be invalid.

- There is an address space associated with the **physical memory** in your computer. If you have 1GB of RAM, addresses 0 to 0x40000000 are valid.

- If I want to store some information on MachineX and you want to store some information on MachineX, we would have to collude to use *different* physical addresses (= different parts of the address space).
Virtual memory is an abstraction in which each process gets its own virtual address space. The operating system and hardware work together to provide this abstraction. All modern computers use it.

Each virtual address space is then mapped separately into a different part of physical memory. (simplified)

So Process1 can store information at its virtual address 0x4444 and Process2 can also store information at its virtual address 0x4444 and there will be no overlap in physical memory.

- e.g., P1 0x4444 -> 0x1000 and P2 0x4444 -> 0x8000
Program Memory Layout

Low Addresses
0x00000000

High Addresses
0x40000000

Memory

Code

Other Space

Low Addresses
0x00000000

High Addresses
0x40000000
Notes

• Our pictures of machine organization have:
  - Low address at the top
  - High address at the bottom
  - Lines delimiting areas for different kinds of data

• These pictures are simplifications
  - e.g., not all memory need be contiguous

• In some textbooks lower addresses are at bottom (doesn't matter)
What is Other Space?

- Holds all data for the program
- Other Space = Data Space

- A compiler is responsible for:
  - Generating code (that is run later)
  - Orchestrating use of the data area

- An interpreter is responsible for:
  - Executing the code directly (now)
  - Orchestrating use of the (run-time) data
Code Execution Goals

• Two goals:
  - Correctness
  - Speed

• Most complications at this stage come from trying to be fast as well as correct
Assumptions about Execution

• Execution is **sequential**; control moves from one point in a program to another in a well-defined order

• When a procedure is called, control eventually returns to the point immediately after the call

Do these assumptions always hold?
Activations

• An invocation of procedure $P$ is an activation of $P$

• The lifetime of an activation of $P$ is
  - All the steps to execute $P$
  - Including all the steps in procedures that $P$ calls
Lifetimes of Variables

• The **lifetime** of a variable $x$ is the portion of execution during which $x$ is defined.

• Note that
  - Scope is a static concept
  - Lifetime is a **dynamic** (run-time) concept
Activation Trees

• Assumption (2) requires that when $P$ calls $Q$, then $Q$ returns before $P$ does

• Lifetimes of procedure activations are properly nested

• Activation lifetimes can be depicted as a tree
Class Main {
    g() : Int { 1 };  
    f(): Int { g() };  
    main(): Int {{ g(); f(); }};  
}
Example 2

Class Main {
    g() : Int { 1 };
    f(x:Int):  Int {
        if x = 0 then g() else f(x - 1) fi
    };
    main(): Int {{ f(3); }};
}

What is the activation tree for this example?
Notes

• The activation tree depends on run-time behavior

• The activation tree may be different for every program input

• Since activations are properly nested, a stack can track currently active procedures
  - This is the call stack
Example

Class Main {
    g() : Int { 1 };
    f(): Int { g() };
    main(): Int {{ g(); f(); }};
}

Main Stack

Main
Example

Class Main {
    g() : Int { 1 };  // Method g
    f():  Int { g() }; // Method f
    main(): Int {{ g(); f(); }};  // Method main
}
Class Main {
    g() : Int { 1 }; 
    f(): Int { g() }; 
    main(): Int {{ g(); f(); }}; 
}
Example

Class Main {
    g() : Int { 1 };  
    f():  Int { g() };  
    main(): Int {{ g(); f(); }};  
}
Revised Memory Layout

Memory

Code

Stack

Low Address

High Address
The man in Brussels gives the singer what type of sandwich in the 1982 Men At Work hit Down Under?
Q: TV (110 / 842)

• Name the series and either of the characters involved in the first interracial kiss on US television. The kiss took place in the 1968 episode "Plato's Stepchildren".
This 1982 arcade game required the player to hop around on a fake-3D pyramid of colored cubes. The player jumped on cubes to change their color while avoiding a coily snake and other dangers.
Activation Records

• On many machines the stack starts at high-addresses and grows towards lower addresses

• The information needed to manage one procedure activation is called an activation record (AR) or frame

• If procedure F calls G, then G’s activation record contains a mix of info about F and G.
What is in G’s AR when F calls G?

- F is “suspended” until G completes, at which point F resumes. G’s AR contains information needed to resume execution of F.

- G’s AR may also contain:
  - Actual parameters to G (supplied by F)
  - G’s return value (needed by F)
  - Space for G’s local variables
The Contents of a Typical AR for G

• Space for G’s return value
• Actual parameters
• Pointer to the previous activation record
  - The control link points to AR of F (caller of G)
• Machine status prior to calling G
  - Local variables
  - (Compiler: register & program counter contents)
• Other temporary values
Example 2, Revisited

Class Main {
  g() : Int { 1 };
  f(x: Int): Int {
    if x=0 then g() else f(x - 1) (** ) fi
  };
  main(): Int {{f(3); (*) } };
}

AR for f:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>return address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>control link</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>argument</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>space for result</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Stack After Two Calls to \( f \)

Class Main {
    g() : Int { 1 };  
    f(x:Int):Int {
        if x=0 then g()
        else f(x - 1) (**) fi
    };
    main(): Int {{f(3); (*) }};
}
Notes

- **main** has no argument or local variables and its result is “never” used; its AR is uninteresting
- (*) and (***) are return addresses of the invocations of **f**
  - The return address is where execution resumes after a procedure call finishes
- This is only one of many possible AR designs
  - Would also work for C, Pascal, FORTRAN, etc.
The Main Point

The interpreter must determine, at compile-time, the layout of activation records and execute code that correctly accesses locations in the activation record.

Thus, the AR layout and the interpreter must be designed together!
Discussion

• The advantage of placing the return value 1st in a frame is that the caller can find it at a fixed offset from its own frame
  - The caller must write the return address there

• There is nothing magic about this organization
  - Can rearrange order of frame elements
  - Can divide caller/callee responsibilities differently
  - An organization is better if it improves execution speed or simplifies code generation
Discussion (Cont.)

• Real compilers hold as much of the frame as possible in registers
  - Especially the method result and arguments

• Why?
Globals

• All references to a global variable point to the same object
  - Can’t store a global in an activation record
    • Is this true?

• Globals are assigned a fixed address once
  - Variables with fixed address are “statically allocated”

• Depending on the language, there may be other statically allocated values
Memory Layout with Static Data
Heap Storage

- A value that outlives the procedure that creates it cannot be kept in the AR
  
  ```java
  method foo() { new Bar }
  ```
  
  The `Bar` value must survive deallocation of `foo`’s AR

- Languages with dynamically allocated data use a **heap** to store dynamic data
Notes

• The code area contains object code
  - For most languages, fixed size and read only

• The static area contains data (not code) with fixed addresses (e.g., global data)
  - Fixed size, may be readable or writable

• The stack contains an AR for each currently active procedure
  - Each AR usually fixed size, contains locals

• Heap contains all other data
  - In C, heap is managed by `malloc` and `free`
Notes (Cont.)

- Both the heap and the stack grow
- Compilers must take care that they don’t grow into each other
- Solution: start heap and stack at opposite ends of memory and let the grow towards each other
Memory Layout with Heap
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Why Am I Telling You This?

• You will have to implement “something like a heap” and “something like a call stack” for your interpreter.
• You can re-use the Python/Ruby/OCaml call stack
  - No explicit return address or control link
  - Mutually-recursive procedures like “eval_exp” and “eval_method” call each other
Your Own Heap

• We must support code like:
  - let x = new Counter(5) in
  - let y = x in {
    - x.increment(1);
    - print( y.getCount() ); // what does this print?
    - }

• You’ll need an explicit heap (as described today and also next week). A heap maps addresses (integers) to values.
Homework

• PA4 checkpoint due Mar 19, 11:50pm
• PA4 due Mar 26 (13 days)
  - If you haven't already started ...
• For Thursday: Read Chapters 7.3, 9-9.3
• Stroustrup article
  - This article is often loved by students
  - It is *not* optional; expect it on Midterm2