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1 Liveness (10 points)

Consider the following program fragment.

\[
\begin{align*}
d & \quad a := 1 \\
\text{a,d} & \quad c := 3 \\
\text{a,c,d} & \quad \text{if a } \geq 2 \text{ goto L2} \\
\text{a,c,d} & \quad b := c + 1 \\
\text{a,b,d} & \quad c := b + 2 \\
\text{a,d,c} & \quad \text{L1: b := d} \\
\text{a,d,c} & \quad \text{if a } \geq d \text{ goto L2} \\
\text{a} & \quad \text{return a} \\
\text{c} & \quad \text{L2: a := c + 2} \\
\text{a,c,} & \quad d := c + 1 \\
\text{a,c,d} & \quad \text{goto L1}
\end{align*}
\]

(a) Draw horizontal lines to separate the basic blocks.

(b) In the boxes to the left of each instruction write the set of live variables on entry to that instruction.

(c) Cross with an X the dead instructions. Explain below how do you recognize a dead assignment. 

Solution: Only b := d is dead
Global Analysis (30 points)

The goal of this exercise is to design a fancier version of global constant propagation discussed in lecture. As in lecture, for each integer variable $x$ we try to find if $x$ is guaranteed to be a constant at a given program point. We write $C_{in}(x, s) = n$ when this is the case right before statement $s$ and the constant is $n$. The difference from the version discussed in lecture is that now we propagate the information properly across assignments when the right hand side is known to be a constant and across arithmetic operations when both operands are known to be constants.

(a) How can you use the results of this analysis to optimize the instruction “if $x \geq 5$ goto L”? 

**Solution**: If $x$ is guaranteed to be a constant $\geq 5$, then we can replace this instruction with goto L. If $x$ is guaranteed to be a constant $< 5$, then we can eliminate the instruction.

(b) Fill-in the empty boxes with the values computed by the analysis for the variables $x$ and $y$ at each program point in the corresponding CFG. Each box is associated with a point on the nearest edge.

(c) Consider an instruction $s$ with two predecessors $p_1$ and $p_2$. Write the formulas for $C_{in}(x, s)$. No need to consider the # value here.

**Solution**: $C_{in}(x, s) = \begin{cases} c & \text{if } C_{out}(x, p_1) = C_{out}(x, p_2) = c, \\ * & \text{if } C_{out}(x, p_1) \neq C_{out}(x, p_2), \\ * & \text{if } C_{out}(x, p_1) = * \text{ or } C_{out}(x, p_2) = * \end{cases}$
(d) Consider an instruction $s$ of the form $x := y + z$. Write the formula for $C_{out}(x, s)$. No need to consider the $\#$ value here.

Solution: $C_{out}(x, s) = \begin{cases} c + d & \text{if } C_{in}(y, s) = c \text{ and } C_{in}(z, s) = d \text{ and } c \neq \ast \text{ and } d \neq \ast, \\ \ast & \text{if } C_{in}(y, s) = \ast \text{ or } C_{in}(z, s) = \ast \end{cases}$

(e) Consider the instruction $s$ of the form $\textbf{if } x == n \textbf{ goto } L$. Write the formulas for $C_{out}(x, s)$ for the case when the branch is taken.

Solution: $C_{out}(x, s) = \begin{cases} \# & \text{if } C_{in}(x, s) \text{ is } \#, \\ n & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

(f) Is this analysis a forward or a backward analysis?

Solution: Forward, because we mark an instruction based on something that occurs in the past.

(g) Show a small CFG such that at the end it is true that $x$ has value 0, yet the global analysis is not able to discover that fact. Your program may not contain loops or function calls. Explain your answer.

Solution: Consider a simple CFG with one basic block as follows:

\begin{verbatim}
 x = 0  
 x = x + y  
 x = x - y
\end{verbatim}
Observe that $x$ is guaranteed to be zero at the end of this block, because $x$ starts out as zero, and then you add $y$, and then you subtract $y$. So $x = 0 + y - y = 0$.

However, when global analysis sees this, it doesn’t know what value $y$ has, so it assigns $y = \ast$. Then it sees $x = x + y$, and since $y = \ast$, that means after this instruction, $x = \ast$. So in the end, $x = \ast$, according to global analysis.

Many other solutions are possible.
Suppose we extended the Cool syntax with nested function definitions. We add a new form of *expressions* similar to *let* but defining functions: “\textbf{fun} f(x_1 : T_1, \ldots, x_n : T_n) : T_{n+1} \{ e_1 \} \textbf{in} e_2” binds the identifier $f$ to a new function with body $e_1$ that takes parameters $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ with types $T_1, \ldots, T_n$, returns a value of type $T_{n+1}$, and can only be called from within $e_1$ or $e_2$. Moreover, the body $e_1$ and the expression $e_2$ can refer to variables declared in enclosing *lets*, as well as function and method parameters of the enclosing functions, as well as $f$ itself.

Both variable and function names are statically scoped. For example, consider the following method \texttt{exp} in class Math that computes $x^y$.

```java
class Math {
    f(x : Int) : Int { ... };

    exp(x : Int, y : Int) : Int {
        let a : Int <- 1 in
        fun f(i : Int) : Int {
            fun geta() : Int { a + i } in
            if i = 0 then
                geta()
            else {
                a <- a * x;
                f(i-1);
            }
        } in
        f(y);
    }
}
```

The $x$ in the body of the $f$ refers to the parameter $x$ of \texttt{exp}, and the $a$ in the body of \texttt{geta} refers to the local variable introduced by the \texttt{let} in \texttt{exp}. Similarly the $i$ in the body of \texttt{geta} refers to the argument of $f$. Also, notice that the calls to $f$ refer to the $f$ declared in \texttt{exp}, not the method $f$. 


3.1 Type Checking

To type check `fun`, we do not need to modify the typing judgment, nor introduce any additional forms of types. Recall that the Cool typing judgment is of the form $O, M, C \vdash e : T$, where $M$ is a map such that $M(D, f) = (T_1, ..., T_n, T_{n+1})$, whenever class $D$ supports a method named $f$ with $n$ arguments of types $T_1, ..., T_n$, and result type $T_{n+1}$. You will use the same map $M$ to carry information about the nested functions that are in scope. **We ignore SELF_TYPE in this problem.**

(b) Write the remaining hypotheses and complete the conclusion of the typing rule for function invocation:

$$M(C, f) = (T_1', ..., T_n', T_{n+1})$$

**Solution:**\[∀i ∈ \{1 . . . n\} \quad O, M, C \vdash e_i : T_i \quad T_i ≤ T_i'\]

(c) Give a sound typing rule for `fun` (one that allows as many safe programs as possible). If you need to introduce new notation, explain it below the typing rule.

$$O' = O[T_1/x_1]...[T_n/x_n]$$

$$M' = M[(T_1, ..., T_n, T_{n+1})/(C, f)]$$

**Solution:**

$O', M', C \vdash e_1 : T \quad T ≤ T_{n+1}$

$O, M', C \vdash e_2 : T'$

$$O, M, C \vdash fun \ f(x_1 : T_1, \ldots, x_n : T_n) : T_{n+1} \{e_1\} \text{ in } e_2 : T'$$
3.2 Code Generation

The difficulty in code generation is that nested functions may need to use variables declared in enclosing functions. Consider the previous example. The function \( f \) not only needs access to its own activation record (for variable \( i \)) but also the activation record for \( \text{exp} \) (for variables \( x \) and \( a \)). Similarly \( \text{geta} \) needs access to the activation frame of the enclosing \( f \) (for \( i \)) and of the enclosing \( \text{exp} \) (for \( a \)).

One way to implement this feature is to use a different type of activation record for nested functions (as opposed to the activation record used for methods). This new activation record contains an extra entry known as a static link, stored in between the saved frame pointer and the first argument. The static link is a pointer to the active activation record of the nearest statically enclosing function/method.

The first three activation records for a method call to \( \text{exp}(5, 2) \) are given below (one for \( \text{exp}(5, 2) \), one for the function call to \( f(2) \), and one for \( f(1) \)). In the diagram, we have noted with an arrow that the static links for \( f(2) \) and \( f(1) \) point to the beginning of the activation frame for \( \text{exp}(5, 2) \).

(d) Complete the stack of activation records at the time of the call to \( \text{geta()} \) for \( \text{exp}(5, 2) \) (i.e., having three calls to \( f \)). Include the activation record for \( \text{geta()} \). Then, draw arrows to show where the static links and saved frame pointers point.

Solution: A diagram containing both

- the AR for \( f(0) \) on top of the AR for \( f(1) \) with a static link to the bottom of the AR for \( \text{exp}(5, 2) \) and
- the AR for \( \text{geta()} \) on top of the AR for \( f(0) \) with a static link to the bottom of the AR for \( f(0) \).
(e) Generate MIPS code to store the result of the assignment $a \leftarrow a \times x$ in function $f$. Assume that the code for the multiplication has already been generated with the result in $a0$. (Hint: refer to the diagram given on the previous page. You can use temporaries to generate code.)

\[
\text{Math.exp.f:}
\]
\[
\ldots \text{# code to compute } a \times x \text{ leaving the result in } a0
\]

**Solution:**
\[
\text{# load the static link in a temporary (say } t1 \text{) using } fp
\]
\[
\text{# store } a0 \text{ in } a \text{ using } t1
\]
\[
\ldots
\]

(f) Complete the generated MIPS code for geta(). Return the result in register $a0$. The function prologue and epilogue has been provided for you. (Hint: use your completion of the above diagram.)

\[
\text{Math.exp.f.get.a:}
\]
\[
\text{addiu } sp \ sp -8 \ # \text{ room for the FP and RA}
\]
\[
\text{sw } fp \ 8(sp)
\]
\[
\text{sw } ra \ 4(sp)
\]
\[
\text{addiu } fp \ sp \ 4
\]
\[
\text{# load the static link to } f(0) \text{ in } a0 \text{ using } fp
\]
\[
\text{# load } i \text{ using } a0
\]
\[
\text{# load the static link to } \exp(5,2) \text{ in } a0 \text{ using } a0
\]
\[
\text{# load } a \text{ using } a0
\]
\[
\text{# add } a \text{ and } i \text{ and store the result in } a0
\]
\[
\text{lw } ra \ 0(fp)
\]
\[
\text{lw } fp \ 4(fp)
\]
\[
\text{addiu } sp \ sp \ 12 \ # \text{ pop the static link as well}
\]
\[
\text{jr } ra
\]
(g) Complete the generated MIPS code for the call to geta() from f.

Math.exp.f:
   ...
   # push static link ($fp)

Solution:

jal Math.exp.f.get a
   ...

(h) Complete the generated MIPS code for the call to f(i - 1) from f. Assume that the code for the subtraction has already been generated with the result in $a0.

Math.exp.f:
   ...
   # code to compute i - 1 in $a0
   # push $a0
   # load static link in $a0 using $fp
   # push $a0
   jal Math.exp.f
   ...

(i) How many loads are required for reading a variable in a nested function?

Solution: The number of enclosing functions plus one.

(j) Describe an alternative compilation strategy that reduces the number of loads required for a variable access.

Solution: Store a static link to each enclosing function in the AR.
4 Short Answers (20 points)

(a) Recall that in Cool (and many other languages), objects are stored in the heap. Explain why can’t the objects be stored in the activation frame.

**Solution:** Objects must be able to outlive the current invocation

(b) Give an example of statically typed language and one of a dynamically typed language.

- Statically typed: **Solution:** Cool
- Dynamically typed: **Solution:** Scheme

(c) What is a limitation of a dynamically typed language?

**Solution:** Slow run-time checks, bugs are found very late.

(d) What is a limitation of a statically typed language?

**Solution:** Some valid programs are rejected by the type checker.

(e) Consider a data-flow analysis that marks all invocations of `new` that create objects whose field \( f \) is eventually referenced in the rest of the execution. Would this be a forward or a backward analysis?

**Solution:** Backward

(f) What is the most general condition under which \( T_2 \sqcup T_3 \leq T_2 \), where \( \sqcup \) is the least upper bound operator on Cool types?

**Solution:** \( T_3 \leq T_2 \)