







Nevil Maskelyne 5th English Astronomer Royal, 1765-1811





Babbage's Review



"I wish to God these calculations had been executed by steam." Charles Babbage, 1821



q

...back to the 21st century (and beyond)

- Moore's Law: number of transistors/\$ increases exponentially
- Einstein's Law: speed of light isn't getting faster
 - CPU cycles are becoming free, but only in parallel.
- Eastwood/Turing Law: "If you want a guarantee, buy a toaster."
- Sutton's Law: "That's where the money is."

Vulnerabilities and attackers aren't going away.

Using Extra Cores for Security

- Despite lots of effort:
 - Automatically parallelizing programs is still only possible in rare circumstances
 - Human programmers are not capable of thinking asynchronously
- Most server programs do not have fine grain parallelism and are I/O-bound
- Hence: lots of essentially free cycles for security

Security Through Diversity

- Address-Space Randomization
 - [Forest+ 1997, *PaX ALSR* 2001, Bhatkar+ 2003, *Windows Vista* 2008]
- Instruction Set Randomization
 [Kc+ 2003, Barrantes+ 2003]
- Data Diversity





- Side channels, weak key generation, etc.





Variants Requirements

• Detection Property

Any attack that compromises one variant causes the other to "crash" (behave in a way that is noticeably different to the monitor)

 Normal Equivalence Property Under normal inputs, the variants stay in equivalent states:

$$\mathcal{A}_0(\mathbf{S}_0) \equiv \mathcal{A}_1(\mathbf{S}_1)$$

Actual states are different, but abstract states are equivalent







Example: Address-Space Partitioning

- Variation
 - Variant 0: addresses all start with **0**
 - Variant 1: addresses all start with 1
- Normal Equivalence
 - Map addresses to same address space
 - Assumes normal behavior does not depend on absolute addresses
- Detection Property
 - Any injected *absolute* load/store is invalid on one of the variants

19

Example: Instruction Set Tagging

- Variation: add an extra bit to all opcodes
 - Variation 0: tag bit is a 0
 - Variation 1: tag bit is a 1
 - Run-time: check and remove bit (software dynamic translation)

20

- Normal Equivalence:
 - Remove the tag bits
 - Assume well-behaved program does not rely on its own instructions
- Detection Property
 - Any (tagged) opcode is invalid on one variant
 - Injected code (identical on both) cannot run on both



Variations on Interpreters

Variation	Data Type	Variant 0	Variant 1
Address Space Partitioning	Address	$R_0(a) = a$ $R_0^{-1}(a) = a$	$R_1(a) = a + 0x800$ $R_1^{-1}(a) = a - 0x800$
Instruction Set Tagging	Instruction	$R_0(inst) = 0 \parallel inst$ $R_0^{-1}(0 \parallel inst) = inst$	$\begin{aligned} & R_{I}(inst) = 1 \parallel inst \\ & R_{I}^{-1}(1 \parallel inst) = inst \end{aligned}$
	?	?	?
			22



UID Corruption Attacks







Ideal Implementation

- Polygrapher
 - Identical inputs to variants at same time
- Monitor
 - Continually examine variants completely
- Variants
 - Fully isolated, behave identically on normal inputs

Infeasible for real systems

27

Framework Implemention

- Modified Linux 2.6.11 kernel
- Run variants as processes
- Create 2 new system calls – n_variant_fork
 - n_variant_execve
- Replication and monitoring by wrapping system calls



28

Wrapping System Calls

- All calls: check each variant makes the same call
- I/O system calls (process interacts with external state) (e.g., open, read, write)
- Make call once, send same result to all variants
- Reflective system calls (e.g, fork, execve, wait)
- Make call once per variant, adjusted accordinglyDangerous
 - Some calls break isolation (mmap) or escape framework (execve)
 - Current solution: disallow unsafe calls



Implementing Variants

- Address Space Partitioning
 - Specify segments' start addresses and sizes
 - OS detects injected address as SEGV
- Instruction Set Tagging
 - Use Diablo [De Sutter⁺ 03] to insert tags into binary
 - Use Strata [Scott⁺ 02] to check and remove tags at runtime

31

Implementing UID Variation

- Assumptions:
 - We can identify UID data (uid_t, gid_t)
 - Only certain operations are performed on it:
 Assignments, Comparisons, Parameter passing

Program shouldn't depend on actual UID values, only the users they represent.









Open Problems and Opportunities

- Dealing with non-determinism
 Most sources addressed by wrappers
 - e.g., entropy sources
 - ...but not multi-threading [Bruschi, Cavallero & Lanzi 07]
- Finding useful higher level variations
 - Need specified behavior
 - Opportunities with higher-level languages, web application synthesizers
- Client-side uses (e.g., JavaScript interpreters)
- Giving variants different inputs
 - Character encodings

37

N-Variant Framework Summary

- Force attacker to simultaneously compromise all variants with same input
- Advantages
 - Enables low-entropy variations
 - High security assurance with no secrets
 - Easier to deploy and maintain than secret diversity
- Disadvantages
 - Expensive for CPU-bound applications
 - Variations limited by need to preserve application semantics

38



http://www.cs.virginia.edu/nvariant/ Papers: USENIX Sec 2006, DSN 2008 Collaborators: Ben Cox, Anh Nguyen-Tuong, Jonathan Rowanhill, John Knight, Jack Davidson

Supported by National Science Foundation Cyber Trust Program and MURI 39



Related Work

- Design Diversity
 - HACQIT [Just+, 2002], [Gao, Reiter & Song 2005]
- Probabilistic Variations

 DieHard [Berger & Zorn, 2006]
- Other projects exploring similar frameworks
 - [Bruschi, Cavallaro & Lanzi 2007],
 - [Salamat, Gal & Franz 2008]