MAPer: A Multi-scale Adaptive Personalized Model for Temporal Human Behavior Prediction

<u>Sarah Masud Preum</u> John A. Stankovic Yanjun Qi



Modeling Temporal Human Behavior

- Generation of human behavior data
 - Online behavior:
 - social media, search log
 - Targeted advertising/ content sharing
 - Personalized IR
 - Offline behavior:
 - Sensors, smart devices
 - **Predicting** occupancy and energy usage
 - Anomaly detection in assisted living facilities



Factors Affecting Regular Temporal Behavior

Approach

Related

Works

Experiments

- Temporal smoothness (lag)
 - Working from 3 pm to 4pm (and then continue after 4pm)
- Behavior rhythm (cycle)

Challenges

Motivation

- Watching TV at every Saturday night
- Interaction among multiple activities
 - Working till late night delays sleep time



Results

Conclusion



Dynamic Nature Of Behavior

- Factors vary over multi-scale temporal contexts
 - Hour of the day

Challenges

• Day of the week

Adaptive modeling

Google	and the					
Dimiter:	16 (), weath					
-		-	Hit	head a	100.00	24.00
I mail the	1.00					
1443434	1.20					
	1994					
*****	196					
1.0.0.0.0.0	-			N 144		All and a second se
CONCELL				to a set		and the second s
intranan II.				10.44		10.04
Contract Income	194			and the second		1000
Birmany .	-				100	
Barrison	1.1		and a start of		Statement .	
Bireford.	100					
S Marchaeller	-					
B (rest						
O Dave			0-100-100		and the second s	
-	100		COLOR.		10.0	
Witness labor	-			10.0	Concession in the local division in the loca	and a second
					1000	
1. On Lease 1	- M			10.0		14.4
The same same	1.00			in the second se		Tand .
Contro phases				_		
-	1.00					
a ci statu	100					
	1.1					
	100					



Contribution: <u>M</u>ulti-scale <u>A</u>daptive <u>Per</u>sonalized Model (MAPer)

- Extracts features from major temporal factors
- Encodes multi-scale temporal contexts to ensure adaptive learning
- A linear predictive model with explanatory power





Creating Behavior Time Series

Quantify behavior in the temporal domain as discrete • behavior sample



Twitter behavior time series for 15th hour

Creating Behavior Sample Matrix

Related

Experiments

Approach

Challenges

Motivation



Conclusion

Results



Lag and Cycle Features

- Lag of order i at time $y_t : y_{t-i}$ $\hat{y}_t \approx \sum_{i=1}^{l} \alpha_i \cdot y_{(t-i)}$
- Cycle of behavior time series

$$\hat{y}_t \approx \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i \cdot y_{(t-i)} + \sum_{j=1}^c \beta_j \cdot y_{(t-cj)}$$

Temporal Context Features

Related

Works

• Daily basis vector: \vec{B}_d

Example: Basis vector for hour 3

• Weekly basis vector: \vec{B}_{w}

Quantify the effect of temporal context on behavior



Example: Basis vector for Saturday

Features for a Single Activity

Approach



Related

Works

Experiments

Only daily basis vector: \vec{B}_d

Challenges

Motivation

Daily scale Adaptive Personalized model (DAPer)

Only weekly basis vector: \vec{B}_{w} Weekly scale Adaptive Personalized model (**WAP**er)

Both \vec{B}_d and \vec{B}_w : Multi-scale Adaptive Personalized model (**MAP**er) Conclusion

Results

Interaction Features for Multiple Activities

Related

Works

Approach



Challenges

Motivation

 $\hat{y}_{t}^{k} \approx \sum_{i=1}^{L_{k}} \alpha_{i}^{k} \cdot y_{t-i}^{k}$ $+\sum_{j=1}^{C_k} \beta_j^k \cdot y_{t-c_j}^k$ $+ \gamma^k \cdot (\vec{B}_d, \vec{B}_w)$ $+\sum_{k'}\sum_{k'}^{L_{k'}}W_{l'}^{(k,k')}\cdot y_{t-l'}^{k'}$ $k' \in S l' = 1$

Experiments

interaction

Conclusion

Results

Interaction Features for Multiple Activities

Related



activity	Interactions
R1 sleep	Brushing teeth Using internet Watching TV
R2 sleep	Brushing teeth Watching TV Washing dishes
R1 snack	Watching TV Using internet Talking on phone

interaction

Related Works

Experiments

Related Works

- Time series prediction
 - Seasonal ARIMA (SARIMA) model
 - Does not consider temporal context of behavior
- Modeling online user behavior
 - Search query [K. Radnisky et al, WWW 2012; J. Yang et al, WSDM 2011]
 - Social media posts [F. Abel et al, UMAP 2011]
 - Focus on temporal pattern of user generated contents rather than actual user behavior
- Modeling offline user behavior
 - Computer vision and WSN: Sensing and recognizing different activities of daily living
 - Don't focus on predicting

Overview of Evaluation

- Predicting behavior intensity at a time interval as a regression problem
 - Performance metric: MSE, Pearson Correlation
- 4 real datasets
- Comparison with
 - Parametric and non parametric baselines
 - state-of-art SARIMA model
- Sensitivity analysis

Datasets

Online Behavior Data

Dataset	Span	# of users	Behavior sample
Twitter	5 months	1274	# of tweets /hour
Search log	3 months	1307	# of unique search queries /hour

Offline Behavior Data

Dataset	Span	# of resident	Behavior sample
ARAS	1 month	2	
HOLMES	3 months	1	Duration of activity/half hour



Baselines

• Moving average over both lag and cycle terms (MA)

$$\hat{y}_{t} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{l} y_{t-i}}{l} + \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{c} y_{(t-j^{*}24)}}{c} \right)$$

• Auto-regressive method with cycle feature (**AR-C**)

$$\hat{y}_t \approx \sum_{i=1}^l \mathcal{O}_i \cdot y(t-i) + \sum_{j=1}^c \beta_j \cdot y(t-c_j)$$

Comparing with Baselines

• On average, MAPer reduces MSE by 10% and increases Pearson correlation by 83%



Comparing with State of Art

• On average, MAPer reduces MSE by 14% and increases Pearson Correlation by 44% than SARIMA



Effect of Temporal Context Features

• Daily context is more useful than weekly context

	Pearson Correlation			Mean Square Error		
Dataset	DAPer	WAPer	MAPer	DAPer	WAPer	MAPer
Search log	0.33	0.21	0.34	0.041	0.044	0.041
Twitter	0.49	0.40	0.49	0.045	0.048	0.045
ARAS	0.78	0.68	0.78	0.016	0.019	0.016
HOLMES	0.58	0.33	0.58	0.0009	0.0009	0.0009

Effect of Interaction Features

• Interaction features improves the performance

	Pearson	Correlation	Mean Square Error		
	ARAS	HOLMES	ARAS	HOLMES	
MAPer					
w/o Interaction	0.7169	0.385	0.0184	0.0009	
MAPer	0.782	0.583	0.016	0.0009	
%Improvement	9	51	15	-	

Results: Explanatory Power of MAPer

- Quantify effect of different features
- Detecting user similarity more precisely





(b) MAPer model

Motivation

Experiments



Insights from results

Experiment	Online Behavior	Offline Behavior	
Prediction	MAPer	MAPer with interation	
Personalization	\checkmark	NA	
Adaptive Learning	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Variation of temporal window length	Higher better	Varies for each activity: having snack vs sleep	
Variation of training set size	Lower better		
Variation of lag	No significant effect		

Related

Works

Concluding Remarks

- A personalized interpretable model for temporal behavior prediction
- Virtual and physical behavior
- Some regularity in behavior that conforms with hours of the day, day of the week

Conclusion

Results

Thanks!

