filesystems 2 / HDDs and SSDs

Changelog

Changes made in this version not seen in first lecture: 6 November: sector numbers: low sector numbers probably near faster outside of disk not center

last time

device driver top/bottom halfs

"top half" — called from program request, checks buffer and waits "bottom half" — caled via interrupt, fills buffer and wakes

devices as magic memory

devices talk to memory: direct memory access (DMA) instead of reading or writing on-controller buffer

filesystem problems: finding files, space for files, ...

disk interface: read/write whole sectors

FAT

file allocation table: linked list of clusters directories = files containing list of names + start clusters

start locations?

really want filenames

stored in directories!

in FAT: directory is a file, but its data is list of:

(name, starting location, other data about file)

...

the disk



cluster number

file "index.html" starting at cluster 10, 12792 bytes file "assignments.html" starting at cluster 17, 4312 bytes ... directory "examples" starting at cluster 20 unused entry

file "info.html" starting at cluster 50, 23789 bytes

...

the disk



cluster number

file "index.html" starting at cluster 10, 12792 bytes file "assignments.html" starting at cluster 17, 4312 bytes ... directory "examples" starting at cluster 20 unused entry

file "info.html" starting at cluster 50, 23789 bytes

the disk



cluster number

file "index.html" starting at cluster 10, 12792 bytes file "assignments.html" starting at cluster 17, 4312 bytes ... directory "examples" starting at cluster 20 unused entry

...

file "info.html" starting at cluster 50, 23789 bytes

(bytes 0-4095 of index.html)

(bytes 4096-8191 of index.html)

(bytes 8192-12287 of index.html)

(bytes 12278-12792 of index.html) (unused bytes 12792-16384)

the disk



cluster number

file "index.html" starting at cluster 10, 12792 bytes file "assignments.html" starting at cluster 17, 4312 bytes ... directory "examples" starting at cluster 20 unused entry

...

file "info.html" starting at cluster 50, 23789 bytes

(bytes 0-4095 of index.html)

(bytes 4096-8191 of index.html)

(bytes 8192-12287 of index.html)

(bytes 12278-12792 of index.html) (unused bytes 12792-16384)

box = 1 byte

'R' fi	'E' ilenar	'A' ne +	'D' exter				' _ ' .TXT		'X'	-	0x00 attrs	directory? read-only? hidden?
0x9C	0xA1	0x20	0x7D	0x3C	0x7D	0x3C	0x01	0x00	0xEC	0x62	0x76	
creation date + time (2010-03-29 04:05:03.56)						occess 03-29)		cluster # last wr (high bits)				
<mark>0x3C</mark>	0xF4	0x04	0x56	0x01	0×00	0x00	' F '	101	101			
last write con't	cluster #file(low bits)(0×156)						next	direct	tory en			

box = 1 byte

'R'	'E'	'A'	'D'	'M'	'E'	' _ '	' '	'T'	'X'	'T'	0x00	directory? read-only?	
fi	filename + extension (README.TXT) attrs												
0x9C	0xA1	0x20	0x7D	0x3C	0x7D	0x3C	0x01	0x00	0xEC	<mark>0x62</mark>	<mark>0x76</mark>		
с		n date 3-29 04:0		e		last access (2010-03-29)		cluster # (high bits)		last write (2010-03-22 12:23:			
0	0	0.004	0.45.0	001	0.400	0.400]		
0X3C	0x⊦4	0x04	0X56	0X0T	00X00	00X00	'F'	'0'	101	***			
last write con't		er # bits)		file (0×156	size _{bytes)}		next	direct	ory en				
	32-bit first cluster number split into two parts (history: used to only be 16-bits)												

box = 1 byte

'R'	'E'	'A'	'D'	'M'	'E'	' '	' '	'T'	'X'	'T'	0x00	read_only?
fi	filename + extension (README.TXT) attrs											
0x9C	0xA1	0x20	0x7D	0x3C	0x7D	0x3C	0x01	0x00	0xEC	<mark>0x62</mark>	0x76	hidden?
	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $									ast wr -03-22 1	ite 2:23:12)	
0x3C	0xF4	0x04	0x56	0x01	0x00	0x00	' F '	101	101	000		
last write con't	write (law hite) $(0x156 \text{ bytes})$						next					
	8 character filename + 3 character extension longer filenames? encoded using extra directory entries											
(speci	(special attrs values to distinguish from normal entries)											

box = 1 byte

'R' fi	'E' ilenar	'A' ne +	'D' exter	'M' nsion	'E' (REA		' _ ' .TXT		'X'	'T'	0x00 attrs	directory? read-only? hidden?
<mark>0x9C</mark>	0xA1	0x20	0x7D	0x3C	0x7D	0x3C	0x01	0x00	0xEC	0x62	0x76	
с	creation date + time (2010-03-29 04:05:03.56)									ast wr -03-22 1		
0x3C	0xF4	0x04	0x56	0x01	0x00	0x00	'F'	101	101			
last write con't	clust	$\begin{array}{c c} 0xF4 0x04 0x56 0x01 0x00 0x00 \\ \hline cluster \# & file size \\ (low bits) & (0x156 \ bytes) \end{array}$						direct				
	8 character filename $+$ 3 character extension history: used to be all that was supported											

box = 1 byte

'R' fi	'E' ilenar	'A' ne +	'D' exter	'M' nsion		' _ ' .DME			'Χ'	'T'	0x00 attrs	read_only?
0x9C	0xA1	<mark>0x20</mark>	0x7D	0x3C	0x7D	0x3C	0x01	0x00	0×EC	<mark>0x62</mark>	0x76	6
creation date + time (2010-03-29 04:05:03.56) last access (2010-03-29)							clust (high	er # bits)				
0x3C	0xF4	0x04	0x56	0x01	0x00	0x00	'F'	101	101			
last write con'tcluster # (low bits)file size (0x156 bytes)				next	direct							
ttributes: is a subdirectory, read-only, lso marks directory entries used to hold extra filename data												

box = 1 byte

'R' fi	'E' ilenar	'A' ne +	'D' exter			' _ ' DME			'X'	'T'	0x00 attrs	directory read-only
<mark>0x9C</mark>					`			<u> </u>	<mark>0xEC</mark>	<mark>0x62</mark>	<mark>0x76</mark>	hidden?
	creation date + time (2010-03-29 04:05:03.56) last access (2010-03-29)							er # bits)		ast wr -03-22 1		
<mark>0x3C</mark>	0xF4	0x04	0x56	0x01	0x00	0x00	' F '	101	'0'			
last write con't	write (low hite) $(0\times156 \text{ bytes})$						next					
convention: if first character is 0x0 or 0xE5 — unused 0x00: for filling empty space at end of directory 0xE5: 'hole' — e.g. from file deletion												

aside: FAT date encoding

seperate date and time fields (16 bits, little-endian integers)

- bits 0-4: seconds (divided by 2), 5-10: minute, 11-15: hour
- bits 0-4: day, 5-8: month, 9-15: year (minus 1980)
- sometimes extra field for 100s(?) of a second

```
struct __attribute__((packed)) DirEntry {
 uint8_t DIR_Name[11]; // short name
  uint8 t DIR Attr; // File attribute
 uint8 t DIR NTRes; // set value to 0, never change t
 uint8_t DIR_CrtTimeTenth; // millisecond timestamp for file
                        // time file was created
 uint16 t DIR CrtTime;
 uint16_t DIR_CrtDate;
                       // date file was created
 uint16_t DIR_LstAccDate; // last access date
 uint16 t DIR FstClusHI; // high word of this entry's firs
 uint16_t DIR_WrtTime; // time of last write
 uint16 t DIR WrtDate; // dat eof last write
 uint16_t DIR_FstClusL0; // low word of this entry's first
 uint32_t DIR_FileSize; // file size in bytes
};
```

<pre>structattribute((packed</pre>)) DirEntry {	
uint8_t DIR_Name[11];	// short name	
uint8_t DIR_Attr;	// File attribute	
uint8_t DI GCC/Clang extensi	on to disable padding	ge t
uint8_t D1 normally compilers	on to disable padding add <mark>padding</mark> to structs values across cache blocks or pages)	file
$u_1nt_16_t$ (to avoid splitting)	values across cache blocks or pages)	
<pre>uint16_t DIR_LstAccDate;</pre>		<i>c</i> ·
•	<pre>// high word of this entry's</pre>	tirs
uint16_t DIR_WrtTime;	// time of last write	
uint16_t DIR_WrtDate;	// dat eof last write	
uint16_t DIR_FstClusLO;	<pre>// low word of this entry's f</pre>	first
uint32_t DIR_FileSize;	// file size in bytes	
};		

```
struct __attribute__((packed)) DirEntry {
 uint8_t DIR_Name[11 8/16/32-bit unsigned integer
 uint8_t DIR_Attr;
                     use exact size that's on disk
 uint8 t DIR NTRes;
                                                           ge t
 uint8_t DIR_CrtTime just copy byte-by-byte from disk to memory
                                                           file
 uint16 t DIR CrtTin (and everything happens to be little-endian)
 uint16_t DIR_CrtDate;
                             // aate tile was createa
 uint16_t DIR_LstAccDate; // last access date
 uint16 t DIR FstClusHI; // high word of this entry's firs
 uint16 t DIR WrtTime; // time of last write
 uint16 t DIR WrtDate; // dat eof last write
 uint16_t DIR_FstClusL0; // low word of this entry's first
 uint32_t DIR_FileSize; // file size in bytes
};
```

```
struct __attribute__((packed)) DirEntry {
  uint8_t DIR_Nam why are the names so bad ("FstClusHI", etc.)?
 uint8_t DIR_Att comes from Microsoft's documentation this way ge t
  uint8_t DIR_CrtTimeTenth; // millisecond timestamp for file
  uint16 t DIR CrtTime;
                       // time file was created
  uint16 t DIR CrtDate; // date file was created
  uint16_t DIR_LstAccDate; // last access date
  uint16_t DIR_FstClusHI; // high word of this entry's firs
  uint16 t DIR WrtTime; // time of last write
  uint16 t DIR WrtDate; // dat eof last write
  uint16_t DIR_FstClusL0; // low word of this entry's first
  uint32_t DIR_FileSize; // file size in bytes
};
```

nested directories

- ${\rm foo/bar/baz/file.txt}$
- read root directory entries to find foo
- read foo's directory entries to find bar
- read bar's directory entries to find baz
- read baz's directory entries to find file.txt

the root directory?

but where is the first directory?













filesystem header

fixed location near beginning of disk

determines size of clusters, etc.

tells where to find FAT, root directory, etc.

struct __attribute__((packed)) Fat32BPB { uint8_t BS_jmpBoot[3]; // jmp instr to boot code uint16 t BPB RsvdSecCnt; uint8 t BPB NumFATs; uint8 t BPB media;

uint8_t BS_oemName[8]; // indicates what system formatted this uint16_t BPB_BytsPerSec; // count of bytes per sector uint8 t BPB SecPerClus; // no.of sectors per allocation unit // no.of reserved sectors in the reserved // count of FAT datastructures on the vo uint16 t BPB rootEntCnt; // count of 32-byte entries in root dir. uint16 t BPB totSec16; // total sectors on the volume // value of fixed media

uint16 t BPB ExtFlags; // flags indicating which FATs are activ

```
struct __attribute__((packed)) Fat32BPB {
 uint8_t BS size of sector (in bytes) and size of cluster (in sectors) this
 uint16_t BPB_BytsPerSec; // count of bytes per sector
 uint8 t BPB SecPerClus; // no.of sectors per allocation unit
 uint16 t BPB RsvdSecCnt; // no.of reserved sectors in the reserved
 uint8 t BPB NumFATs; // count of FAT datastructures on the vo
 uint16_t BPB_rootEntCnt; // count of 32-byte entries in root dir.
 uint16_t BPB_totSec16; // total sectors on the volume
 uint8 t BPB media; // value of fixed media
 uint16 t BPB ExtFlags; // flags indicating which FATs are activ
```

struct __attribute__((packed)) Fat32BPB { uint8_t BS_jmpBoot[3]; // jmp instr to boot code uint8_t BPB_SecPerClus; uint8 t BPB NumFATs; uint8 t BPB media;

uint8_t BS_oemName[8]; // indicates what system formatted this uint16_t BPB_BytsPerSec; // count of bytes per sector // n space before file allocation table t uint16 t BPB RsvdSecCnt; // nb.or reserved sectors in the reserved // count of FAT datastructures on the vo uint16_t BPB_rootEntCnt; // count of 32-byte entries in root dir uint16_t BPB_totSec16; // total sectors on the volume // value of fixed media

uint16 t BPB ExtFlags; // flags indicating which FATs are activ

struct __attribute__((packed)) Fat32BPB { uint8_t BS_jmpBoot[3]; // imp instr to boot code uint8_t BS_oemName[8]; // indicates what system formatted this 11 count of hytos por soctor uint16_t BPB_BytsPerSec; number of copies of file allocation table t uint8 t BPB SecPerClus; uint16 t BPB RsvdSecCnt; serve extra copies in case disk is damaged uint8 t BPB NumFATs; he vo typically two with writes made to both dir. uint16 t BPB rootEntCnt; uint16 t BPB totSec16; total sectors on the volume uint8 t BPB media; // value of fixed media

uint16_t BPB_ExtFlags;

// flags indicating which FATs are activ

FAT: creating a file

add a directory entry

choose clusters to store file data (how???)

update FAT to link clusters together

FAT: creating a file

add a directory entry

choose clusters to store file data (how???)

update FAT to link clusters together

FAT: free clusters



FAT: writing file data


FAT: replacing unused directory entry



FAT: extending directory



FAT: deleting files

reset FAT entries for file clusters to free (0)

write "unused" character in filename for directory entry maybe rewrite directory if that'll save space?

exercise

say FAT filesystem with: 4-byte FAT entries 32-byte directory entries 2048-byte clusters

how many FAT entries+clusters (outside of the FAT) is used to store a directory of 200 30KB files?

count clusters for both directory entries and the file data

how many FAT entries+clusters is used to store a directory of 2000 3KB files?

FAT pros and cons?

hard drive operation/performance

why hard drives?

what filesystems were designed for

currently most cost-effective way to have a lot of online storage

solid state drives (SSDs) imitate hard drive interfaces

hard drives





seek time — 5–10ms move heads to cylinder faster for adjacent accesses

rotational latency — 2–8ms rotate platter to sector depends on rotation speed faster for adjacent reads

transfer time — 50–100+MB/s actually read/write data



seek time — 5–10ms move heads to cylinder faster for adjacent accesses

rotational latency — 2–8ms rotate platter to sector depends on rotation speed faster for adjacent reads

transfer time — 50–100+MB/s actually read/write data



seek time — 5–10ms move heads to cylinder faster for adjacent accesses

rotational latency — 2–8ms rotate platter to sector depends on rotation speed faster for adjacent reads

transfer time — 50–100+MB/s actually read/write data



seek time — 5–10ms move heads to cylinder faster for adjacent accesses

rotational latency — 2–8ms rotate platter to sector depends on rotation speed faster for adjacent reads

transfer time — 50–100+MB/s actually read/write data



disk latency components

queue time — how long read waits in line? depends on number of reads at a time, scheduling strategy

disk controller/etc. processing time

seek time — head to cylinder

rotational latency - platter rotate to sector

transfer time

cylinders and latency

cylinders closer to edge of disk are faster (maybe)

less rotational latency

sector numbers

historically: OS knew cylinder/head/track location

now: opaque sector numbers more flexible for hard drive makers same interface for SSDs, etc.

typical pattern: low sector numbers = probably closer to edge (faster)

typical pattern: adjacent sector numbers = adjacent on disk

actual mapping: decided by disk controller

OS to disk interface

disk takes read/write requests sector number(s) location of data for sector modern disk controllers: typically direct memory access

can have queue of pending requests

disk processes them in some order OS can say "write X before Y"

hard disks are unreliable

Google study (2007), heavily utilized cheap disks

1.7% to 8.6% annualized failure rate varies with age \approx chance a disk fails each year disk fails = needs to be replaced

9% of working disks had reallocated sectors

bad sectors

modern disk controllers do sector remapping

part of physical disk becomes bad — use a different one disk uses error detecting code to tell data is bad similar idea to storing + checking hash of data

this is expected behavior

maintain mapping (special part of disk, probably)

queuing requests

recall: multiple active requests

queue of reads/writes

in disk controller and/or OS

disk is faster for adjacent/close-by reads/writes less seek time/rotational latency

disk scheduling

schedule I/O to the disk

schedule = decide what read/write to do next
by OS: what to request from disk next?
by controller: which OS request to do next?

typical goals:

minimize seek time

don't starve requiests

disk scheduling

schedule I/O to the disk

schedule = decide what read/write to do next
by OS: what to request from disk next?
by controller: which OS request to do next?

typical goals:

minimize seek time

don't starve requiests













disk scheduling

schedule I/O to the disk

schedule = decide what read/write to do next
by OS: what to request from disk next?
by controller: which OS request to do next?

typical goals:

minimize seek time

don't starve requiests



= disk I/O request

inside of disk













some disk scheduling algorithms (text)

SSTF: take request with shortest seek time next subject to starvation — stuck on one side of disk could also take into account rotational latency — yields SPTF shortest positioning time first

SCAN/elevator: move disk head towards center, then away let requests pile up between passes limits starvation; good overall throughput

C-SCAN: take next request closer to center of disk (if any) variant of scan that moves head in one direction avoids bias towards center of disk

caching in the controller

controller often has a DRAM cache

can hold things controller thinks OS might read e.g. sectors 'near' recently read sectors helps hide sector remapping costs?

can hold data waiting to be written makes writes a lot faster problem for reliability

disk performance and filesystems

filesystem can...

do contiguous or nearby reads/writes

bunch of consecutive sectors much faster to read nearby sectors have lower seek/rotational delay

start a lot of reads/writes at once avoid reading something to find out what to read next array of sectors better than linked list

solid state disk architecture



flash

no moving parts no seek time, rotational latency

can read in sector-like sizes ("pages") (e.g. 4KB or 16KB)

write once between erasures

erasure only in large erasure blocks (often 256KB to megabytes!)

can only rewrite blocks order tens of thousands of times after that, flash starts failing
SSDs: flash as disk

SSDs: implement hard disk interface for NAND flash read/write sectors at a time sectors much smaller than erasure blocks sectors sometimes smaller than flash 'pages' read/write with use sector numbers, not addresses queue of read/writes

need to hide erasure blocks

trick: block remapping — move where sectors are in flash

need to hide limit on number of erases trick: wear levening — spread writes out





unused (rewritten elsewhere)







controller contains mapping: sector \rightarrow location in flash

on write: write sector to new location

eventually do garbage collection of sectors if erasure block contains some replaced sectors and some current sectors... copy current blocks to new locationt to reclaim space from replaced sectors

doing this efficiently is very complicated

SSDs sometimes have a 'real' processor for this purpose

SSD performance

reads/writes: sub-millisecond

contiguous blocks don't really matter

can depend a lot on the controller faster/slower ways to handle block remapping

writing can be slower, especially when almost full controller may need to move data around to free up erasure blocks erasing an erasure block is pretty slow (milliseconds?)

extra SSD operations

SSDs sometimes implement non-HDD operations

on operation: TRIM

way for OS to mark sectors as unused/erase them

SSD can remove sectors from block map more efficient than zeroing blocks frees up more space for writing new blocks

aside: future storage

emerging non-volatile memories...

slower than DRAM ("normal memory")

faster than SSDs

read/write interface like DRAM but persistent

capacities similar to/larger than DRAM

xv6 filesystem

xv6's filesystem similar to modern Unix filesytems

- better at doing contiguous reads than FAT
- better at handling crashes
- supports hard links (more on these later)
- divides disk into *blocks* instead of clusters
- file block numbers, free blocks, etc. in different tables









```
inode — file information
struct dinode {
  short type; // File type
    // T DIR, T FILE, T DEV
  short major; short minor; // T DEV only
  short nlink;
    // Number of links to inode in file syst
  uint size; // Size of file (bytes)
  uint addrs[NDIRECT+1];
    // Data block addresses
};
```



```
inode — file information
struct dinode {
  short type; // File type
    // T_DIR, T_FILE, T_DEV
  short major; short minor; // T DEV only
  short nlink;
    // Number of links to inode in file syst
  uint size; // Size of file (bytes)
  uint addrs[NDIRECT+1];
    // Data block addresses
};
```

location of data as block numbers: e.g. addrs[0] = 11; addrs[1] = 14; special case for larger files



free block map — 1 bit per data block 1 if available, 0 if used

allocating blocks: scan for 1 bits contiguous 1s — contigous blocks



what about finding free inodes xv6 solution: scan for type = 0

typical Unix solution: separate free inode map

xv6 directory entries

```
struct dirent {
    ushort inum;
    char name[DIRSIZ];
};
```

inum — index into inode array on disk

```
name — name of file or directory
```

each directory reference to inode called a *hard link* multiple hard links to file allowed!

xv6 allocating inodes/blocks

need new inode or data block: linear search

simplest solution: xv6 always takes the first one that's free

xv6 inode: direct and indirect blocks



xv6 file sizes

512 byte blocks

2-byte block pointers: 256 block pointers in the indirect block

256 blocks = 131072 bytes of data referenced

12 direct blocks @ 512 bytes each = 6144 bytes

1 indirect block @ 131072 bytes each = 131072 bytes

maximum file size

backup slides

error correcting codes

disk store 0s/1s magnetically very, very, very small and fragile

magnetic signals can fade over time/be damaged/interfere/etc.

but use error detecting+correcting codes details? CS/ECE 4434 covers this

error detecting — can tell OS "don't have data" result: data corruption is very rare data loss much more common

error correcting codes — extra copies to fix problems only works if not too many bits damaged