Fill out the bottom of this page with your computing ID. Write your computing ID at the top of each page in case pages get separated.

On my honor as a student I have neither given nor received aid on this exam.

- (5 points) In xv6, system call arguments are placed on the stack before executing the special instruction to trigger a system call exception. Although the placement of system call arguments matches the 32-bit x86 calling convention used with in the Linux kernel, xv6 has utility functions like argptr() that are used from system call handlers (like sys_write) to retrieve the arguments for system calls. These functions are helpful because ______. Select all that apply.
 - $\bigcirc\,$ the kernel needs to handle the case where the stack on which the arguments should be stored is corrupted or otherwise invalid
 - $\bigcirc\,$ the arguments are on a different stack than the one being used when the system call handler is called
 - \bigcirc the system call handler function is not called directly, so the arguments are not in the location where the C compiler would expect to retrieve them
 - a context switch might occur between when the system call is triggered and when the system call arguments are read, resulting in the arguments being moved on the stack
 - \bigcirc the kernel needs to change the page table base pointer before it can access system call arguments
- 2. (10 points) Suppose an xv6-like operating system is running two processes A and B. Initially, process A is active. Then, it makes a system call that requires it to wait for keyboard input. While it is waiting for keyboard input, process B runs. Process B reads some input data from a file, then performs an intensive calculation until a keypress (which A was waiting for) occurs. Then process A begins running again. Identify each user-to-kernel mode switch that occurs during this process:

3. (5 points) Suppose one wants to use a single counting semaphore to limit to 16 the number of temporary files threads place in a directory. (When the limit is reached, a thread waits for a temporary file to be deleted.) What should the initial value of this semaphore be?

4. For each program below, identify which outputs are possible. You may assume that none of the POSIX functions (fork, waitpid, pthread_join, etc.) fail. Ignore any minor syntax errors.

```
(a) (8 \text{ points}) int a = 1;
    pthread_mutex_t lock = PTHREAD_MUTEX_INITIALIZER;
    void output(int *ptr) {
        pthread_mutex_lock(&lock);
        printf("%d %d ", a, *ptr);
        pthread_mutex_unlock(&lock);
    }
    void *thread_func(void *raw_ptr) {
        int b = *((int*) raw_ptr);
        pthread_mutex_lock(&lock);
        a *= 3; b *= 3;
        pthread_mutex_unlock(&lock);
        output(&b);
        return NULL;
    }
    int main(void) {
        int b = 2;
        pthread_t thread;
        pthread_create(&thread, NULL, thread_func, &b);
        output(&b);
        pthread_join(thread, NULL);
        return 0;
    }
    Which outputs are possible? Select all that apply.
    () 1 2 () 1 2 1 2 () 1 2 3 2
    \bigcirc 1 2 3 6
    () 1 6 3 2
    () 3 6 () 3 6 1 2 () 3 6 1 6 () 3 6 3 2 () 3 6 3 6
(b) (8 points) char global = 'A';
    int main(void) {
        char local = '1';
        int pipe_fds[2];
        pipe(pipe_fds);
        pid_t pid = fork();
        if (pid == 0) {
            read(pipe_fds[0], &global, 1);
            write(STDOUT_FILENO, &global, 1);
            write(STDOUT_FILENO, &local, 1);
        } else {
            local = '2';
            write(pipe_fds[1], &local, 1);
            write(STDOUT_FILENO, &global, 1);
            waitpid(pid, NULL, 0);
        }
    }
    Which of the following are possible outputs of this program? Select all that apply.
```

 \bigcirc 11A \bigcirc 112 \bigcirc 21A \bigcirc 2A1 \bigcirc 211 \bigcirc 21A \bigcirc A12A12 \bigcirc A21

5. Suppose a system is running three threads A, B, and C and scheduling them so that they share a single core. Assume context switch overheads are negligible, and during the time period of interest the threads run as follows:

At time 4, thread A will finish an I/O operation. Then, after it performs 7 time units of computation, it terminates.

At time 5, thread B will finish an I/O operation. Then, after it performs 2 time units of computation, then it performs an I/O operation that completes 5 time units after it starts. Then, it performs 2 time units of computation, then it terminates.

At time 0, thread C will finish an I/O operation. Then, after it performs 100 time units of computation, it terminates.

(a) Suppose a system schedules these threads as follows:

time	thread A	thread B	thread C
0-4	(waiting for I/O)	(waiting for I/O)	running
4 - 5	running	(waiting for I/O)	(not running but runnable)
5 - 6	running	(not running but runnable)	(not running but runnable)
6-8	(not running but runnable)	running	(not running but runnable)
8 - 13	running	(waiting for I/O)	(not running but runnable)
13 - 15	(terminated)	running	(not running but runnable)
15 - 111	(terminated)	(terminated)	running

i. (2 points) How many context switches occur in the schedule above? (Ignore any context switch at time 0 or time 111.)

ii. (6 points) Recall that turnaround time is the time from when a thread becomes runnable (for example, due to I/O finising) till when it ceases to be runnable (for example, due to starting an I/O operation). What is the sum of the turnaround times experienced by each thread for each of their CPU burst in the schedule above?

Thread A	Thread B	Thread C	

(b) (10 points) Write a schedule for the above threads that minimizes their **total** turnaround time. You do not need to include information about when threads are not running (everything in parenthesis in the schedule above). The first line is done for you.

time	thread A	thread B	thread C
0-4	(waiting for I/O)	(waiting for I/O)	running

- 6. Consider a multi-level feedback queue scheduler with three priority levels:
 - priority 2 (highest), which runs threads with a 10 ms timeslice;
 - priority 1, which runs threads with a 20 ms timeslice; and
 - priority 0 (lowest), which runs threads with a 100 ms timeslice

When a thread uses its entire timeslice and remains runnable, it is moved to a lower priority level (if possible). When a thread does not use entire timeslice (and was not preempted), it is moved to a higher priority level (if possible). Initially threads start out at the highest priority level. Within each priority level, threads are scheduled in a round-robin manner.

Suppose a system with this scheduler is running three thread A, B, and C:

- A alternates between requiring 15 ms of computation and an I/O operation that takes 40 ms
- B alternates between requiring 5 ms of computation and an I/O operation that takes 10 ms
- C alternates between requiring 450 ms of computation and an I/O operation that takes 1 ms

Assume context switch overheads and time spent by the OS processing I/O requests are negligible. All questions below assume that the threads have been running for a very long time (so, for example, the priority level a newly created thread starts is unlikely to affect your answers).

- (a) (4 points) After these threads have been running for a long time, what priority levels will thread C run at? (For example, if you think it will end up alternating between priority 2 and priority 0, write "0 and 2".)
- (b) After these threads have been running for a long time, suppose thread B stops to perform I/O while threads A and C are runnable.
 - i. (5 points) What is the **minimum** time from when thread B's I/O completes until when it will start its next I/O operation?
 - ii. (5 points) What is the **maximum** time from when thread B's I/O completes until when it will start its next I/O operation?
- (c) (4 points) Each of C's 450 ms computation periods will take **approximately** <u>ms</u> to complete (from the time C becomes runnable until it starts its short IO operation). Choose the most reasonable estimate below.
 - \bigcirc 455 ms
 - \bigcirc 500 ms
 - \bigcirc 700 ms
 - \bigcirc 1100 ms
 - 1500 ms
 - \bigcirc 4500 ms

- 7. (30 points) Suppose in order to avoid overloading the network, a web browser limits the number of simulatenous downloads it makes to web servers as follows:
 - it makes at most one download at a time of a video files
 - it makes at most five downloads at a time in total

To implement this, the web browser performs downloading from multiple threads. Before starting a download, a thread calls a StartVideoDownload or StartNonVideoDownload function. These functions is responsible for waiting to ensure that the limits are respected without consuming a large amount of compute time. After finishing a download, a thread calls EndVideoDownload or EndNonVideoDownload function.

In order to ensure that videos get downloaded promptly, the browser also **gives priority to threads** waiting to perform a video download over threads waiting to perform a non-video download.

On the next page, **complete an implementation of these functions using monitors**. Whenever practical, have threads wait without using the compute time in your implementation. (Please ignore minor syntax errors and missing error checking.)

The implementation includes a utility function called EndAny, which is only used internally by EndVideoDownload and EndNonVideoDownload.

}

```
int num_nonvideo = 0; int num_video = 0; int num_pending_video = 0;
pthread_mutex_t lock = PTHREAD_MUTEX_INITIALIZER;
pthread_cond_t video_ready_cv = PTHREAD_COND_INITIALIZER;
pthread_cond_t nonvideo_ready_cv = PTHREAD_COND_INITIALIZER;
void StartVideoDownload() {
  pthread_mutex_lock(&lock);
  num_pending_video += 1;
   _____) {
       -----
  }
  num_pending_video -= 1;
  num_video += 1;
  pthread_mutex_unlock(&lock);
}
void StartNonVideoDownload() {
  pthread_mutex_lock(&lock);
   _____) {
        _____
  }
  num_nonvideo += 1;
  pthread_mutex_unlock(&lock);
}
// utility function
void EndAny() {
  if (_____) {
         -----
  } else {
        }
}
void EndVideoDownload() {
  pthread_mutex_lock(&lock);
  num_video -= 1;
  EndAny();
  pthread mutex unlock(&lock);
}
void EndNonVideoDownload() {
  pthread_mutex_lock(&lock);
  num_nonvideo -= 1;
  EndAny();
  pthread_mutex_unlock(&lock);
```

8. Consider the following C++ code that forms part of a course registration system that keeps track of the students and courses in memory. (set is a container class provided by the standard library which stores a set of values using something similar to a balanced binary tree.)

```
struct Course {
    pthread_mutex_t lock; string name; set<Student *> enrolled;
};
struct Student {
    pthread_mutex_t lock; string name; set<Course *> courses;
};
void RemoveStudentFromCourse(Student *student, Course *course) {
    pthread_mutex_lock(&student->lock);
    pthread_mutex_lock(&course->lock);
    course->enrolled.erase(student);
    student->courses.erase(course);
    pthread mutex unlock(&course->lock);
    pthread_mutex_unlock(&student->lock);
}
void TransferStudents(Course *from_course, Course *to_course) {
    pthread_mutex_lock(&from_course->lock);
    /* special syntax for "foreach Student* called 'student' in course->enrolled" */
    for (Student *student : from_course->enrolled) {
        pthread_mutex_lock(&student->lock);
        pthread_mutex_lock(&to_course->lock);
        student->courses.erase(from_course);
        to_course->enrolled.insert(student);
        student->courses.insert(to_course);
        pthread_mutex_unlock(&to_course->lock);
        pthread_mutex_unlock(&student->lock);
    from_course->enrolled.clear();
    pthread_mutex_unlock(&from_course->lock);
}
```

If RemoveStudentFromCourse and TransferStudents are called in parallel from two different threads, then deadlock can occur.

(a) (10 points) Give an example of the arguments to such parallel calls and identify which locks or other resources each thread could be waiting for when the deadlock occurs.

(b) (5 points) Propose a change to RemoveStudentFromCourse and/or TransferStudents that will fix this deadlock. Avoid harming the functionality and efficiency of the functions with your change.

9. On 32-bit x86 as used by xv6, virtual memory uses 4096 byte (2¹² byte) pages, with two-level page tables. In these two-level page tables, tables at each level have 1024 entries, each of which is 4 bytes and contains a valid (also known as 'present') bit, a physical page number, and various permission bits.

Suppose a process is running with a page table base register (CR3) value of 0×10000 (which is a physical byte address, not a page number).

- (a) Suppose when the process accesses memory at virtual address 0×00880230 , the corresponding firstlevel page table entry for the process is marked as valid and contains the physical page number 0x13and the corresponding second-level page table entry is also marked as valid and contains physical page number 0x15.
 - i. (5 points) What is the physical address of the second-level page table entry? (The address at which is stored, not any address it represents.)
 - ii. (5 points) When the process writes to virtual address $0\times00880230,$ what physical address be written to?
- (b) (6 points) Which of the following are true about page tables on 32-bit x86? Select all that apply.
 - $\bigcirc\,$ each physical page number appears in at most one page table entry in any particular process's page tables
 - \bigcirc an add instruction that sets %esp (the stack pointer) to a virtual address without a valid page table entry triggers an exception
 - attempting to write to a virtual memory address whose page table entry is marked as non-writeable triggers an exception
 - \bigcirc if a first-level page table entry is valid, then all the second-level page table entries that are accessed using that first-level page table entry are also valid
 - if a first-level page table entry for a virtual page is marked invalid, then the second-level page table entry for the page can override that
 - when an exception is triggered by attempting to access an invalid page table entry, returning from the exception normally will retry to the failed memory access