

Claimer: In Google we trust!

November 3rd, 2007

Blake Sutton, Krasimira Kapitanova and Pieter Hooimeijer

Google: The New Witch Hunt



Our position: Google should not be bound by the provisions suggested by the affirmative team, as they are unnecessarily restrictive and unlikely to achieve their intended goals. Google's livelihood depends on serving ads targeted by aggregate data, and they should not be forced to provide a free service to the public. Instead, we advocate Google's solution to the dilemma -- a call for international privacy standards and enforcement to supersede often unnecessarily bureaucratic and specific national privacy legislation.

Witnesses:

Peter Fleischer, Global Privacy Counsel for Google
Frank Drebbin, FBI agent

Documents:

Personal Information

<http://pub.bna.com/eclr/054244.pdf>

[http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-](http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2007/09/13/AR2007091302248.html)

[dyn/content/article/2007/09/13/AR2007091302248.html](http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2007/09/13/AR2007091302248.html)

http://emoglen.law.columbia.edu/CPC/NYT_20google.html

COPPA

<http://www.ftc.gov/ogc/coppa1.htm>