ENGR (16850)

INSTRUCTORS: Hott, John (jh2jf)

Respondents: 55 / Enrollment: 63

Summary: CS 2110-004 Software Development Methods - Spring 2019 (16850)									
Overall Course Rating CS-2110-004 Mean 4.08 CS-2110-004 Std Dev 0.94 CS-2110-004 Response Count 274	Overall Instructor Rating INSTRUCTOR: Hott, John Mean 4.44 Std Dev 0.64 Response Count 385								
SEAS, 2000-level courses Mean 4.05 SEAS, 2000-level courses Std Dev 1.01 SEAS, 2000-level courses Response Count 18076	SEAS, 2000-level courses Mean 4.27 SEAS, 2000-level courses Std Dev 0.89 SEAS, 2000-level courses Response Count 26519								
~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~								
1. The course addressed technically	Results for	CS-2110-0	004						
rigorous subject matter consistent with the course objectives.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
Question Type: Likert ~ contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering	54	4.48	0.57	28 (51.85%)	24 (44.44%)	2 (3.70%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
and Applied Science		0510 000							
	Results for Total	SEAS, 200 Mean	00-level cour Std Dev	ses Strongly	Agroo	Neutral	Disagroo	Strongly	Not
	TOTAL	Mean	Sid Dev	Agree (5)	Agree (4)	(3)	Disagree (2)	Disagree (1)	Applicable (NA)
	3616	4.39	0.71	1780 (49.23%)	1555 (43.00%)	187 (5.17%)	57 (1.58%)	21 (0.58%)	16 (0.44%)
2. The instructor used methods other	Results for	CS-2110-0	004, Hott, Jo	hn					
than/in addition to traditional lectures (for example, active learning, in-class problems, collaborative learning, in-	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
class discussion) effectively in this course.	55	4.33	0.67	24 (43.64%)	25 (45.45%)	6 (10.91%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
~ Question Type: Likert	Results for	SEAS 200	00-level cou						
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
	3795	4.08	1.01	1507 (39.71%)	1384 (36.47%)	451 (11.88%)	229 (6.03%)	96 (2.53%)	128 (3.37%)
3. There was a reasonable level of effort	Results for	CS 2110 (04						
expected for the credit hours received. Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	55	4.27	0.68	(3) 20 (36.36%)	32 (58.18%)	1 (1.82%)	2 (3.64%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Deres H. (0.1						
			00-level cou		Agree	Noutral	Disagras	Strongh	Net
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
	3621	4.08	1.04	1409 (38.91%)	1576 (43.52%)	257 (7.10%)	200 (5.52%)	160 (4.42%)	19 (0.52%)

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~				~ ANS	WER MATR	ICES ~			
4. The homework assignments helped	Results for	· CS-2110-(004						
me learn the subject matter.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	55	4.42	0.66	28 (50.91%)	22 (40.00%)	5 (9.09%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	· SEAS, 200	00-level cou	rses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
	3619	4.20	0.93	1509 (41.70%)	1378 (38.08%)	305 (8.43%)	146 (4.03%)	74 (2.04%)	207 (5.72%)
5. The textbook increased my	Results for	· CS-2110-0	004						
understanding of the material. Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	55	3.02	1.03	(5) 5 (9.09%)	8 (14.55%)	22 (40.00%)	11 (20.00%)	(1) 3 (5.45%)	(NA) 6 (10.91%)
	Desulta for		00-level cou	r					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable
	3616	3.52	1.15	(5) 570 (15.76%)	792 (21.90%)	701 (19.39%)	312 (8.63%)	(1) 155 (4.29%)	(NA) 1086 (30.03%)
6. The course material was well	Results for	· CS-2110-0	004, Hott, Jo	hn					
organized and developed. Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable (NA)
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	55	4.42	0.66	(3) 27 (49.09%)	25 (45.45%)	2 (3.64%)	1 (1.82%)	(1) 0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS 200	00-level cou	rses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
	3784	4.10	0.97	1459 (38.56%)	1549 (40.94%)	391 (10.33%)	209 (5.52%)	91 (2.40%)	85 (2.25%)
7. The instructor was knowledgeable	Results for	· CS-2110-0	004, Hott, Jo	hn					
about the subject matter. Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	55	4.53	0.50	29 (52.73%)	26 (47.27%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS. 200	00-level cou	rses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
	3791	4.53	0.72	2299 (60.64%)	1128 (29.75%)	170 (4.48%)	46 (1.21%)	33 (0.87%)	115 (3.03%)
8. The instructor was well prepared for	Results for	CS-2110-0	004, Hott, Jo	hn					
class. Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	55	4.58	0.57	(5) 34 (61.82%)	19 (34.55%)	2 (3.64%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS 200	00-level cou	rses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
	3787	4.36	0.84	1945 (51.36%)	1308 (34.54%)	262 (6.92%)	86 (2.27%)	60 (1.58%)	126 (3.33%)

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~								
9. I received adequate preparation from	Results for	CS-21	10-004						
the prior courses in the curriculum to be successful in this course.	Total	Mea		Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
Question Type: Likert	55	4.0	8 0.92	17 (30.91%)	22 (40.00%)	6 (10.91%)	2 (3.64%)	1 (1.82%)	7 (12.73%)
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science									
			, 2000-level co		A	Nesstaal	Discourse	Otras at a	Net
	Total	Mea	an Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
	3604	3.9	1 1.02	1008 (27.97%)	1392 (38.62%)	512 (14.21%)	237 (6.58%)	104 (2.89%)	351 (9.74%)
10. The grading policy was fair.	Results for	CS-21	10-004, Hott, J	lohn					
Question Type: Likert \sim	Total	Mea			Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	55	4.2	2 0.76	20 (36.36%)	30 (54.55%)	2 (3.64%)	3 (5.45%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Populto for	SEVO	, 2000-level co	ursos					
	Total	Mea			Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly	Not
	Total	INICO		Agree (5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	Disagree (1)	Applicable (NA)
	3788	4.1	7 0.92	1555 (41.05%)	1541 (40.68%)	365 (9.64%)	186 (4.91%)	62 (1.64%)	79 (2.09%)
11. The instructor responded	Results for	CS-21	10-004, Hott, 、	lohn					
adequately to in-class questions.	Total	Mea	an Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	55	4.4	9 0.63	31 (56.36%)	20 (36.36%)	4 (7.27%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Deculto for	0540	, 2000-level co						
	Total	Mea		Strongly Agree	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable
	3787	4.3	4 0.84	(5) 1851 (48.88%)	1396 (36.86%)	243 (6.42%)	113 (2.98%)	(1) 50 (1.32%)	(NA) 134 (3.54%)
12. The instructor effectively used		00.0							
technology in support of the learning	Results for Total	CS-21 Mea	10-004, Hott, Can Std Dev		Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly	Not
goals for this course.	Total	INICO		Agree (5)	Agree (4)	(3)	(2)	Disagree (1)	Applicable (NA)
Question Type: Likert contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering	55	4.5	5 0.57	32 (58.18%)	21 (38.18%)	2 (3.64%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
and Applied Science	Results for	SEAS	, 2000-level co	urses					
	Total	Mea	an Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
	3787	4.2	9 0.84	1709 (45.13%)	1473 (38.90%)	320 (8.45%)	103 (2.72%)	45 (1.19%)	137 (3.62%)
13. The average number of hours per	Doculto fee	<u></u>	10.004						
week I spent outside of class preparing for this course was:						4 - 6 (NA)		10) or more (NA)
Question Type: Multiple Choice	55		1 (1.82%)	(NA) 13 (23.649		21 38.18%)	(NA) 16 (29.09%	%)	4 (7.27%)
contributed by $Office$ of the Provost	Deres to 4	0540	. ,						
	Results for Total	SEAS	, 2000-level co Less than 1	urses 1 - 3		4 - 6	7 - 9	1() or more
	3619			(NA) 1175	(NA)		(NA) 536		(NA) 391
			232 (6.41%)	(32.47		35.51%)	(14.81%	%) (10.80%)

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~				~ ANSWER	MATRICES ~			
14. I learned a great deal in this course.	Results for	CS-2110-004						
Question Type: Likert \tilde{c}	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
	55	4.31	0.77	24 (43.64%)	26 (47.27%)	4 (7.27%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (1.82%)
	Results for	SEAS, 2000-I	evel courses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
	3608	4.20	0.91	1571 (43.54%)	1480 (41.02%)	339 (9.40%)	146 (4.05%)	72 (2.00%)
15. Overall, this was a worthwhile	Results for	CS-2110-004						
course. ~ Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
contributed by $Office$ of the Provost	55	4.33	0.82	26 (47.27%)	24 (43.64%)	3 (5.45%)	1 (1.82%)	1 (1.82%)
	Results for	SEAS, 2000-I	evel courses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
	3598	4.13	0.99	1543 (42.88%)	1379 (38.33%)	395 (10.98%)	178 (4.95%)	103 (2.86%)
16. The course's goals and requirements	Results for	CS-2110-004	, Hott, John					
were defined and adhered to by the instructor.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
Question Type: Likert	55	4.51	0.50	28 (50.91%)	27 (49.09%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS, 2000-I	evel courses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
	3782	4.31	0.80	1760 (46.54%)	1627 (43.02%)	264 (6.98%)	86 (2.27%)	45 (1.19%)
17. The instructor was approachable	Results for	CS-2110-004	, Hott, John					
and made himself/herself available to students outside the classroom.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
Question Type: Likert ~ contributed by Office of the Provost	55	4.35	0.70	25 (45.45%)	25 (45.45%)	4 (7.27%)	1 (1.82%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS, 2000-I	evel courses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
	3785	4.29	0.85	1844 (48.72%)	1396 (36.88%)	406 (10.73%)	83 (2.19%)	56 (1.48%)
18. Overall, the instructor was an	Results for	CS-2110-004	Hott, John					
effective teacher.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
contributed by Office of the Provost	55	4.44	0.60	27 (49.09%)	25 (45.45%)	3 (5.45%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS, 2000-I	evel courses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
	3793	4.18	0.98	1722 (45.40%)	1388 (36.59%)	416 (10.97%)	159 (4.19%)	108 (2.85%)

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~						
19. Please make any overall comments	Results for CS-2110-004						
or observations about this course:	Total	Individual Answers					
Question Type: Short Answer	27	See below for Individual Results					
contributed by Office of the Provost							
	I think Prof. Hot	t does his best to make the class cheerful and fun.					
	I learned a lot ir and understand	this class and thought that Professor Hott was a knowledgable teacher and very fair ing.					
	great class, the	hw took a lot of time but thats also because I struggle with CS					
	I greatly appreciated the video lectures! Also the HW assignments were very confusing, as often it was worth waiting a few days before starting it as there were always updates for errors in the homework. Thank you for a great semester!						
	class dropped o listened to the le very good profe	s soon as my professor started recording and uploading his lectures, attendance in the Irastically. I thought it was a little odd that people that didn't come to class and just ectures still received participation credit for in-class activities. Professor Hott was a ssor and lectured really well, and I think students should have given him more respect to class. Him recording his lectures was above and beyond what he was required to					
	you are likely to could be used in answering emain material. The ho	challenging but not overly difficult. If you paid attention in class and did the homework, do well. Professor Hott is knowledgeable and patient teacher, but some improvement n his ability to engage students and his availability outside the classroom (for example, ils promptly). However, his in class examples are helpful in terms of learning the promeworks were occasionally much too tedious, but luckily the professors and TAs improved the assignments.					
	 Professor Hott did a great job this semester conveying all the information for the class. In term the class structure itself, I was left at the end wishing for there to be more material, but I real could not have gone faster through the material we had. The homework problems were a bine (I came in with significant Java experience) but others seemed to be putting in many hour assignment, which seemed adequate for the course. The tests were generally fair, although challenging that missing two questions knocked you out of an A for a test. Because they are than half of the class however, I feel that situation was offset well. I wish I could have seen a scores so that I could actually learn from them. Overall, a well structured, pretty fair course is credits. I've taken CS 1110 in Fall 2018 but I found the beginning few classes in CS 2110 to be hard comprehend (due to abstract concepts). If this course can be designed (in the first few class students contextualize abstract concepts and hence build a solid understanding towards the of Java programming language that would be awesome Well put together class. The homework were challenging and taught you a lot. However I the the second instagram homework and the GUI homework were both way harder then the oth homeworks which took me by surprise 						
		k assignments were unreasonable, but for the most part this was a very good class, weekend quizzes, those were just a waste of time and the hardest part about them ng to do them					
		d being enrolled in this course and found that I learned a lot. I thought Professor Hott n teaching the course.					
	assignments we only complaint i	ss was taught in a logical order with topics building upon one another. Homework are sometimes very difficult, but as a whole, helped with applying my knowledge. My s that I felt like the tests tended to test the same topic in multiple questions/only topics when I felt like other topics could have at least had a question or two.					
	I took this cours	e after taking many more advanced CS courses because it's required for the major					
	Professor Hott	was very helpful, especially when it came to accommodating issues					
	The homeworks could be a little difficult. To be honest, my biggest problem with this class was how early in the day it was.						
		uired a good amount of work but it wasn't anything that couldn't be done with the ation and resources. The homeworks were also very good for learning and mastering mation.					
		s very informative and Professor Hott made each of the topics easy to understand. The re also very useful and interesting.					
	While I fully understand why there were homework assignments that built on each other, but if that the goal, please give us some general solutions for the previous homework after the final submission date. It puts us at an extreme disadvantage going into the next homework if the previous homework doesn't run (correctly or at all). It was at one point bad enough to dedicate a whole lab period to the issue and the TA's still had trouble fixing my code.						

~ OUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~
	 ANSWER MATRICES ~ good class. learned a lot more than I thought I would. other than this course needing to get it's homeworks figured out, the course did teach me a lot. it is not cool to assign homeworks that take a long time at the end of the semester when they are 25% of your grade, and also apologizing for giving everyone way too hard of a homework and not curving the grade for it is not cool by any means n Class activities were a drag at times, but I understand their purpose. Professor Hott is very knowledgeable about the subject matter, but at times lecture could be a little bit dry. Overall, very useful course and I would recommend. wish we could have spent more time in class doing coding examples with Eclipse rather than spending time on more theoretical discussion I understand the importance of having a strong background before applying knowledge, but I think having more guided practice would've helped with homeworks/tests. I did appreciate having the daily in-class activities, though, because they force you o practice (even if just a little bit) everyday and they were a good grade booster. Overall I liked the course and felt like I actually learned more about computer science/data structures than I did in 1110 which seemed more like learning syntax only). Good teacher and really simplifies CS Class was great. The homeworks were very poorly made however. I think they need someone to theck and make sure it is consistent with itself and with previous homeworks because the ypo's/inconsistencies made it difficult to decipher the homework Would like to do big projects toward the end of the class similar to the Python course. I believe we did he large project too soon. t was a course that you had to put a lot of effort into but once that is through you get to learn a lot.