CS4102 Algorithms Fall 2019

Warm up

Show that finding the minimum of an unordered list requires $\Omega(n)$ comparisons

Find Min, Lower Bound Proof

Show that finding the minimum of an unordered list requires $\Omega(n)$ comparisons

Suppose (toward contradiction) that there is an algorithm for Find Min that does fewer than $\frac{n}{2} = \Omega(n)$ comparisons.

This means there is at least one "uncompared" element We can't know that this element wasn't the min!



Homeworks

- HW3 due 11pm Tuesday, October 1
 - Divide and conquer
 - Written (use LaTeX!)
 - Submit BOTH a pdf and a zip file (2 separate attachments)
- Regrade Office Hours
 - Thursdays 11am-12pm @ Rice 210 (starting next week!)
 - Thursdays 4pm-5pm @ Rice 501 (starting today!)

Today's Keywords

- Sorting
- Linear time Sorting
- Counting Sort
- Radix Sort
- Maximum Sum Continuous Subarray

CLRS Readings

• Chapter 8

Sorting, so far

- Sorting algorithms we have discussed:
 - Mergesort $O(n \log n)$ Optimal!
 - Quicksort $O(n \log n)$ Optimal!
- Other sorting algorithms (will discuss):
 - Bubblesort $O(n^2)$
 - Insertionsort $O(n^2)$
 - Heapsort $O(n \log n)$ Optimal!

Speed Isn't Everything

- Important properties of sorting algorithms:
- Run Time
 - Asymptotic Complexity
 - Constants
- In Place (or In-Situ)
 - Done with only constant additional space
- Adaptive
 - Faster if list is nearly sorted
- Stable
 - Equal elements remain in original order
- Parallelizable
 - Runs faster with multiple computers



• Idea: Build a Heap, repeatedly extract max element from the heap to build sorted list Right-to-Left











 Idea: Build a Heap, repeatedly extract max element from the heap to build sorted list Rightto-Left $\frac{\text{Run Time?}}{\Theta(n \log n)}$ Constants worse
than Quick Sort













• Idea: Build a Heap, repeatedly extract max element from the heap to build sorted list Right-to-Left

<u>In Place?</u> <u>Adaptive?</u> <u>Stable?</u> Yes! No No Run Time? Θ(n log n) Constants worse than Quick Sort Parallelizable? No

Sorting, so far

- Sorting algorithms we have discussed:
 - Mergesort $O(n \log n)$ Optimal!
 - Quicksort $O(n \log n)$ Optimal!
- Other sorting algorithms (will discuss):
 - Bubblesort $O(n^2)$
 - Insertionsort $O(n^2)$
 - Heapsort $O(n \log n)$ Optimal!

Sorting in Linear Time

- Cannot be comparison-based
- Need to make some sort of assumption about the contents of the list
 - Small number of unique values
 - Small range of values
 - Etc.

• Idea: Count how many things are less than each element

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 6 & 4 & 1 & 3 & 6 & 1 & 6 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$$

1.Range is [1, k] (here [1,6]) make an array C of size k populate with counts of each value

For i in L: ++C[L[i]]

2.Take "running sum" of *C* to count things less than each value For i = 1 to len(*C*): C[i] = C[i - 1] + C[i]



• Idea: Count how many things are less than each element

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 6 & 4 & 1 & 3 & 6 & 1 & 6 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \end{bmatrix} C = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 & 5 & 5 & 7 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ Last item of value 6 \\ goes at index 8 \end{bmatrix}$$

For each element of *L* (last to first):
Use *C* to find its proper place in *B*
$$\begin{bmatrix} For \ i = len(L) \ downto \ 1: \\ B \begin{bmatrix} C[L[i]] \end{bmatrix} = L[i] \end{bmatrix}$$

Decrement that position of C

For
$$i = \operatorname{len}(L)$$
 downto 1:

$$B\left[C[L[i]]\right] = L[i]$$

$$C[L[i]] = C[L[i]] - 1$$

Idea: Count how many things are less than each element

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 6 & 4 & 1 & 3 & 6 & 1 & 6 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \end{bmatrix} C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & 5 & 5 & 7 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ Last item of value 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

For each element of *L* (last to first): Use *C* to find its proper place in *B* Decrement that position of C

For
$$i = \operatorname{len}(L)$$
 downto 1:

$$B\left[C[L[i]]\right] = L[i]$$

$$C[L[i]] = C[L[i]] - 1$$

goes at index 2

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & & & 6 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$$

Idea: Count how many things are less than each element

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 6 & 4 & 1 & 3 & 6 & 1 & 6 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \end{bmatrix} C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & 5 & 5 & 6 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ Last item of value 6 \\ goes at index 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

For each element of *L* (last to first):
Use *C* to find its proper place in *B*
Decrement that position of C
$$\begin{bmatrix} C[L[i]]] = L[i] \\ C[L[i]] = C[L[i]] - 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & & 6 & 6 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$$

Run Time: O(n + k)Memory: O(n + k)

- Why not always use counting sort?
- For 64-bit numbers, requires an array of length $2^{64} > 10^{19}$
 - 5 GHz CPU will require > 116 years to initialize the array
 - 18 Exabytes of data
 - Total amount of data that Google has

12 Exabytes



Radix Sort

• Idea: Stable sort on each digit, from least significant to most significant

103	801	401	323	255	823	999	101	113	901	555	512	245	800	018	121
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15

Place each element into a "bucket" according to its 1's place



Radix Sort

 Idea: Stable sort on each digit, from least significant to most significant

Place each element into a "bucket" according to its 10's place



Radix Sort

 Idea: Stable sort on each digit, from least significant to most significant

Place each element into a "bucket" according to its 100's place

Run Time: O(d(n + b)) d = digits in largest valueb = base of representation



Maximum Sum Contiguous Subarray Problem

The maximum-sum subarray of a given array of integers A is the interval [a, b] such that the sum of all values in the array between a and b inclusive is maximal.

Given an array of n integers (may include both positive and negative values), give a $O(n \log n)$ algorithm for finding the maximum-sum subarray.

Divide and Conquer $\Theta(n \log n)$



Divide and Conquer $\Theta(n \log n)$



Divide and Conquer $\Theta(n \log n)$

Return the Max of Left, Right, Center



Divide and Conquer Summary

Typically multiple subproblems. Typically all roughly the same size.

- Divide
 - Break the list in half
- Conquer
 - Find the best subarrays on the left and right
- Combine
 - Find the best subarray that "spans the divide"
 - I.e. the best subarray that ends at the divide concatenated with the best that starts at the divide

Generic Divide and Conquer Solution

def **myDCalgo**(problem): if baseCase(problem): solution = solve(problem) #brute force if necessary return solution subproblems = Divide(problem) for sub in subproblems: subsolutions.append(myDCalgo(sub)) solution = Combine(subsolutions) return solution

MSCS Divide and Conquer $\Theta(n \log n)$

def MSCS(list):

```
if list.length < 2:
      return list[0] #list of size 1 the sum is maximal
{listL, listR} = Divide (list)
for list in {listL, listR}:
      subSolutions.append(MSCS(list))
solution = max(solnL, solnR, span(listL, listR))
return solution
```

Types of "Divide and Conquer"

- Divide and Conquer
 - Break the problem up into several subproblems of roughly equal size, recursively solve
 - E.g. Karatsuba, Closest Pair of Points, Mergesort...
- Decrease and Conquer
 - Break the problem into a single smaller subproblem, recursively solve
 - E.g. Impossible Missions Force (Double Agents), Quickselect, Binary Search

Pattern So Far

- Typically looking to divide the problem by some fraction (½, ¼ the size)
- Not necessarily always the best!
 - Sometimes, we can write faster algorithms by finding unbalanced divides.