

**Theorem 1**  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} . \sum_{x=n}^{2n} x = \frac{3(n+1)n}{2}$

**PROBLEM 1** *Proof by Induction*

Prove the above theorem using induction.

*Proof.*

We proceed by induction.

**Base Case** When  $n = 0$  we have  $\sum_{x=0}^0 0 = 0$  and  $\frac{3(0)9}{2} = 0$ , so the theorem holds for  $n = 0$ .

**Inductive step** Assume the theorem holds for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ : that is,  $\sum_{x=n}^{2n} x = \frac{3(n+1)n}{2}$ . Consider the sum evaluated at  $n + 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x=n+1}^{2(n+1)} x &= -n + 2n + 1 + 2n + 2 + \sum_{x=n}^{2n} x \\ &= 3n + 3 + \sum_{x=n}^{2n} x \\ &= 3n + 3 + \frac{3(n+1)n}{2} \\ &= 3n + 3 + \frac{3n^2 + 3n}{2} \\ &= \frac{6n + 6 + 3n^2 + 3n}{2} \\ &= \frac{3(n^2 + 3n + 2)}{2} \\ &= \frac{3(n+2)(n+1)}{2} \\ &= \frac{3((n+1)+1)(n+1)}{2} \end{aligned}$$

which means the theorem holds at  $n + 1$  as well.

By the principle of induction, the theorem holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

□

PROBLEM 2 *Proof by Contradiction*

Prove the above theorem using contradiction and the well-ordering principle.

*Proof.*

We proceed by contradiction.

Assume that the theorem is false; that is,  $\exists n \in \mathbb{N} \cdot \sum_{x=n}^{2n} x \neq \frac{3(n+1)n}{2}$ . By the well-ordering principle there must be a smallest such  $n$ ; call that smallest  $n$  where the theorem is false  $n_0$ .

Clearly  $n_0 > 0$  because  $\sum_{x=0}^0 x = 0 = \frac{3(0+1)0}{2}$ . Thus there must be a natural number  $m = n_0 - 1$ ; since  $m < n_0$  and  $n_0$  is the smallest value for which the theorem is false, the theorem must be true for  $m$ . This means that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x=n_0-1}^{2(n_0-1)} x &= \frac{3(n_0)(n_0-1)}{2} \\ (n_0-1) - (2n_0-1) - 2n_0 + \sum_{x=n_0}^{2(n_0)} x &= \frac{3(n_0^2 - n_0)}{2} \\ -3n_0 + \sum_{x=n_0}^{2(n_0)} x &= \frac{3(n_0^2 + n_0 - 2n_0)}{2} \\ -3n_0 + \sum_{x=n_0}^{2(n_0)} x &= \frac{3(n_0+1)n_0 - 6n_0}{2} \\ -3n_0 + \sum_{x=n_0}^{2(n_0)} x &= -3n_0 + \frac{3(n_0+1)n_0}{2} \\ \sum_{x=n_0}^{2(n_0)} x &= \frac{3(n_0+1)n_0}{2} \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts  $\sum_{x=n_0}^{2n_0} x \neq \frac{3(n_0+1)n_0}{2}$ .

Because the assumption that the theorem was false led to a contradiction, the theorem must be true.

□

You might consider grading your own work on the following rubric:

### Inductive Proof

- Identifies induction as proof structure
- Labels base case and inductive step
- Base case is smallest allowable  $n$
- Base case is shown to hold via algebra
- Inductive case assumes theorem holds for  $n$  and considers  $n + 1$
- Inductive case reduces  $n + 1$  to  $n$  via algebra
- Proof ends by stating some form of “by induction, holds for all  $n$ ”

### Proof by Contradiction

- Identifies proof by contradiction as proof structure
- Assumes the theorem is false
- Either assumes it is false for some  $n$ , or recognizes that  $\neg\forall \equiv \exists\neg$
- Uses well-ordering principle (considers smallest such  $n$ )
  - Shows that  $n$  can't be the smallest such  $n$  because
    - true for  $n$  implies true for  $n - 1$ , and
    - either there is always an  $n - 1$ , or by case analysis that the  $ns$  that do not have an  $n - 1$  also meet the theorem
- State explicitly that assuming not-theorem led to contradiction (noting it did so in all cases if case analysis used)
- Proof ends with some form of “by contradiction, theorem true”

You might also try doing the same two proof types with other summation formulae, such as

$$\sum_{i=0}^n i^2 = \frac{(n+1)(2n+1)(n)}{6}$$

$$6 \sum_{i=0}^n i^3 - i = \binom{n+2}{4}$$

$$\sum_{x=0}^n x^3 - x^2 = \frac{(n+1)(3n+2)(n)(n-1)}{12}$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^n 3i^2 + 2i = \frac{(2n+3)(n+1)(n)}{2}$$

$$\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} = \frac{2}{2^n}$$

$$\sum_{x=n}^{n^2} x = \frac{n+n^4}{2}$$

$$\sum_{x=0}^{2n} (-1)^x x = n$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2^i} = \frac{2^n - 1}{2^n}$$

$$\sum_{k=-n}^0 k = \frac{(n+1)n}{-2}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{3^i} = \frac{3^n - 1}{3^n - 3}$$

$$\forall k \neq 1 . \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{k^i} = \frac{k^n - 1}{k(k-1)} \right)$$

Please note: we expect you to be able to handle all of the following

- alternating series (i.e., with  $(-1)^i$  terms)
- arithmetic in both top and bottom of the summation bounds limits (e.g.,  $\sum_{2n}^{3n-4}$ )
- infinite sums (at least those based on geometric series) (i.e.,  $\sum^{\infty}$ )
- reverse sums (e.g.,  $\sum_{i=-n}^0$ )
- sums with free variables (e.g., the  $\forall k$  in the last example above)