

Department of Computer Science

Summary of Course and Instructor Evaluation

Course: CSC 440 051 SS0 2005

Instructor: SHERRIFF

Number Responding: 25

	Your Dept	1	2	3	4	5	Distribution
01. Present class (5=Fr 4=So 3=Jr 2=Sr 1=Gr)	Scor Aver Goal	2.20 2.81					001 020 003 000 001
02. Required for (5=major 4=minor 3=CPE 2=Other 1=elect)		3.44 2.95		D			009 001 000 000 015
03. Class size is (5=lt20, 4=20-70, 3=gt70)		4.12 3.75					000 000 000 022 003
04. I made an effort to learn the material		4.56 4.47			D		000 000 002 007 016
05. Explains determination of final grades		4.76 4.42					000 000 000 006 019
06. Begins and ends class on time		4.88 4.47 4.00					000 000 000 003 021
07. Returns tests in a reasonable time		4.88 4.25 4.00					000 000 000 003 022
08. Informs students of prerequisites, etc.		4.88 4.44 4.00					000 000 000 003 022
09. Follows course description/outline		4.80 4.41 4.00					000 000 000 005 020
10. Course is challenging and stimulating		4.44 4.26					000 000 002 010 013
11. Previous courses prepared me well		4.20 3.57					000 001 003 011 010
12. Degree of Difficulty (5=very, 1=trivial)		3.44 3.73					000 002 011 011 001
13. Hrs spent (5=gt12, 4=8-12, 3=5-8, 2=2-5, 1=lt2)		2.88 2.92					001 009 008 006 001
14. Exams are representative of material		4.72 4.14					000 000 000 007 018
15. The exams are clearly worded		4.32 3.95					000 000 003 011 011
16. The grading of tests is fair and reasonable		4.36 4.08					000 002 001 008 014
17. The textbook is useful to the course		3.72 3.22 4.00					001 003 004 011 006
18. Demonstrates enthusiasm for teaching		4.76 4.37 4.00					000 000 000 006 019
19. Instructor is well prepared for lectures		4.32 4.34 4.00					000 001 003 008 013
20. Instructor writes legibly		4.40 4.23 4.00					000 000 003 009 013
21. Instructor speaks clearly		4.84 4.38 4.00					000 000 000 004 021
22. Receptive to student questions, comments		4.88 4.39 4.00					000 000 000 003 022
23. Instructor is available during posted office hours		4.32 4.18 4.00					000 000 007 003 015
24. Instructor is knowledgeable of the subject matter		4.64 4.50 4.00					000 000 000 009 016
25. Lectures are interesting and stimulating		4.28 3.70 4.00					000 000 003 012 010
26. Lectures are of value in understanding		4.60 4.03					000 000 001 008 016
27. Course effectiveness (5=Outstanding, 1=Poor)		4.16 3.59					000 000 005 011 009
28. I would recommend this instructor		4.52 3.90					000 001 002 005 017
29. Instructor effectiveness (5=Outstanding, 1=Poor)		4.32 3.79					000 000 004 009 012
30. Homework is of value in understanding		4.54 4.00					000 000 002 007 015
31. Program assignments of value in understanding		4.42 4.01 4.00					000 001 003 005 015
32. Computing facilities are conducive to learning		3.91 3.84					001 002 005 005 010
33. Classroom environment is conducive to learning		4.54 4.05					000 000 001 009 014
34. TA #1 attends office hours.		4.35 4.16					000 000 007 001 015
35. TA #1 is well informed.		4.61 4.21					000 000 003 003 017
36. TA #1 understands subject.		4.57 4.24					000 001 003 001 018
37. TA #1 understands platforms.		4.57 4.22					000 000 003 004 016
38. TA #1 overall (5=Outstanding, 1=Poor)		4.38 3.93					000 001 001 008 011
39. TA #2 attends office hours.		0.00 4.10					000 000 000 000 000
40. TA #2 is well informed.		0.00 4.16					000 000 000 000 000
41. TA #2 understands subject.		0.00 4.21					000 000 000 000 000
42. TA #2 understands platforms.		0.00 4.20					000 000 000 000 000
43. TA #2 overall (5=Outstanding, 1=Poor)		0.00 3.97					000 000 000 000 000

D is the department average. G is the department goal.

Unless otherwise stated, all scores are based on a 1 to 5 scale where 5 is strongly agree, 4 is agree, 3 is neutral, 2 is disagree and 1 is strongly disagree. Unless otherwise stated, all questions pertain to the instructor.

Department of Computer Science

Summary of Course and Instructor Evaluation

Course: CSC 440 051 Instructor: SHERRIFF Number Responding: 34

2004

	Your Dept	1	2	3	4	5	Distribution
01. Present class (5=Fr 4=So 3=Jr 2=Sr 1=Gr)	Scor Aver	Goal					
02. Required for (5=major 4=minor 3=CPE 2=Other 1=elect)	2.12 2.87			D			002 026 006 000 000
03. Class size is (5=lt20, 4=20-70, 3=gt70)	2.94 2.95			D			017 000 000 000 016
04. I made an effort to learn the material	4.00 3.73						000 000 001 032 001
05. Explains determination of final grades	4.41 4.51						000 001 001 015 017
06. Begins and ends class on time	4.65 4.43						000 000 001 011 023
07. Returns tests in a reasonable time	4.50 4.45 4.00						000 002 000 011 021
08. Informs students of prerequisites, etc.	4.82 4.25 4.00						000 000 000 006 028
09. Follows course description/outline	4.62 4.44 4.00						000 000 000 013 021
10. Course is challenging and stimulating	4.56 4.41 4.00						000 000 000 015 019
11. Previous courses prepared me well	4.15 4.28						000 001 002 022 009
12. Degree of Difficulty (5=very, 1=trivial)	3.91 3.60						000 001 011 012 010
13. Hrs spent (5=gt12, 4=8-12, 3=5-8, 2=2-5, 1=lt2)	3.35 3.73						000 003 016 015 000
14. Exams are representative of material	2.53 2.94						002 014 017 000 001
15. The exams are clearly worded	4.68 4.11						000 000 002 007 025
16. The grading of tests is fair and reasonable	4.53 3.95						000 000 000 016 018
17. The textbook is useful to the course	4.53 4.09						000 000 002 012 020
18. Demonstrates enthusiasm for teaching	3.59 3.31 4.00						000 004 011 014 005
19. Instructor is well prepared for lectures	4.59 4.40 4.00						000 000 001 012 021
20. Instructor writes legibly	4.00 4.32 4.00						001 000 006 018 009
21. Instructor speaks clearly	3.94 4.27 4.00						000 002 008 014 010
22. Receptive to student questions, comments	4.71 4.43 4.00						000 000 000 010 024
23. Instructor is available during posted office hours	4.71 4.42 4.00						000 000 000 010 024
24. Instructor is knowledgeable of the subject matter	4.27 4.19 4.00						000 000 008 008 017
25. Lectures are interesting and stimulating	4.26 4.50 4.00						000 001 003 016 014
26. Lectures are of value in understanding	3.97 3.70 4.00						000 002 008 013 011
27. Course effectiveness (5=Outstanding, 1=Poor)	4.41 4.01						000 001 002 013 018
28. I would recommend this instructor	3.97 3.62						001 000 006 019 008
29. Instructor effectiveness (5=Outstanding, 1=Poor)	4.03 3.93						001 001 003 008 021
30. Homework is of value in understanding	4.03 3.82						001 000 005 019 009
31. Program assignments of value in understanding	4.00 3.95						000 002 006 015 010
32. Computing facilities are conducive to learning	4.03 4.05 4.00						000 002 006 015 011
33. Classroom environment is conducive to learning	3.61 3.80						001 000 012 015 003
34. TA #1 attends office hours.	4.27 4.02						000 000 003 018 012
35. TA #1 is well informed.	4.35 4.09						000 000 004 009 013
36. TA #1 understands subject.	4.33 4.16						000 000 003 012 012
37. TA #1 understands platforms.	4.37 4.19						000 000 001 015 011
38. TA #1 overall (5=Outstanding, 1=Poor)	4.46 4.18						000 000 001 012 013
39. TA #2 attends office hours.	4.23 3.87						000 000 003 014 009
40. TA #2 is well informed.	0.00 4.05						000 000 000 000 000
41. TA #2 understands subject.	0.00 4.15						000 000 000 000 000
42. TA #2 understands platforms.	0.00 4.16						000 000 000 000 000
43. TA #2 overall (5=Outstanding, 1=Poor)	0.00 3.91						000 000 000 000 000

D is the department average. G is the department goal.

Unless otherwise stated, all scores are based on a 1 to 5 scale where 5 is strongly agree, 4 is agree, 3 is neutral, 2 is disagree and 1 is strongly disagree. Unless otherwise stated, all questions pertain to the instructor.

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE

SUMMARY OF COURSE AND INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION

CSC 440 section 051 Instructor: Sherriff

SummerII 2003 Number responding: 21

QUESTION	YOUR SCORE	DEPT AVG	GOAL	1	2	3	4	5	RAW DATA				
									1	2	3	4	5
1. Present class (5=Fr,4=So,3=Jr,2=Sr,1=Gr)	2.10	2.53							3	13	5	0	0
2. This course is (5=Required 4=Elective)	2.95	3.51							10	1	0	0	10
3. Class size (5=<20, 4=20-70, 3=>70)	4.00	4.24							0	0	0	21	0
4. I made an effort to learn the material	4.48	4.54							0	0	1	9	11
5. Explains determination of final grades	4.81	4.63							0	0	0	4	17
6. Begins and ends class on time	4.67	4.52	4.00						0	0	0	7	14
7. Returns tests in a reasonable time	4.81	4.41	4.00						0	0	0	4	17
8. Informs students of prerequisites, etc.	4.76	4.56	4.00						0	0	0	5	16
9. Follows course description/outline	4.62	4.52	4.00						0	0	2	4	15
10. Course is challenging & stimulating	4.33	4.37							0	0	0	14	7
11. Previous courses prepared me well	3.95	3.81							0	1	5	9	6
12. Degree of Difficulty (5=very, 1=trivial)	3.62	3.63							0	0	10	9	2
13. Hrs spnt (5=>12, 4=8-12, 3=5-8, 2=2-5, 1=<2)	3.00	3.11							1	5	9	5	1
14. Exams are representative of material	4.67	4.36							0	0	1	5	15
15. Exams are clearly worded	4.48	4.19							0	1	2	4	14
16. Grading of tests is fair and reasonable	4.38	4.25							0	1	1	8	11
17. Textbook is useful to the course	4.52	3.60	4.00						0	0	1	8	12
18. Demonstrates enthusiasm for teaching	4.57	4.40	4.00						0	0	0	9	12
19. Well prepared for lectures	4.38	4.50	4.00						0	0	2	9	10
20. Writes legibly	4.10	4.46	4.00						0	1	4	8	8
21. Speaks clearly	4.76	4.54	4.00						0	0	0	5	16
22. Receptive to student questions, comments	4.71	4.49	4.00						0	0	0	6	15
23. Available during posted office hours	4.65	4.25	4.00						0	0	1	4	12
24. Knowledgeable of the subject matter	4.43	4.54	4.00						0	0	2	8	11
25. Lectures are interesting & stimulating	4.24	4.02	4.00						0	0	3	10	8
26. Lectures are of value in understanding	4.33	4.32							0	1	1	9	10
27. Course Effectiveness (5=Outstdg, 1=Poor)	3.95	3.83							0	0	4	14	3
28. I would recommend this instructor	4.38	4.22							0	0	0	4	13
29. Instructor Effectiveness(5=Outstd, 1=Poor)	4.00	4.05							0	0	4	13	4
30. Homework is of value in understanding	4.35	4.18							0	1	2	6	11
31. Prog assignmts of value in understanding	4.19	4.14	4.00						0	0	4	9	8
32. Computing facilities conducive learning	3.68	4.00							0	1	8	6	4
33. Classroom environment conducive learning	4.30	4.29							0	0	1	12	7
34. TA #1 attends office hours.	3.93	4.19							0	0	7	2	6
35. TA #1 is well informed.	4.06	4.26							0	0	5	5	6
36. TA #1 understands subject.	4.12	4.32							0	0	5	4	7
37. TA #1 understands platforms.	4.12	4.35							0	0	5	4	7
38. TA #1 overall	3.88	4.02							0	0	7	4	5
39. TA #2 attends office hours.	0.00	4.03							0	0	0	0	0
40. TA #2 is well informed.	0.00	4.17							0	0	0	0	0
41. TA #2 understands subject.	0.00	4.13							0	0	0	0	0
42. TA #2 understands platforms.	0.00	4.17							0	0	0	0	0
43. TA #2 overall	0.00	4.00							0	0	0	0	0

In the bar chart, the '*' is the score, the 'D' is the department average, and the 'G' is the department goal.

Unless otherwise stated, all scores are based on a 1 to 5 scale where 5 is strongly agree, 4 is agree, 3 is neutral, 2 is disagree and 1 is strongly disagree. Unless otherwise stated, all questions pertain to the instructor.